TfN Strategic Transport Plan Independent Integrated Sustainability Appraisal

Habitats Regulations Assessment – Stage 1 Screening and Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment Transport for the North

February 2019

Notice

This report has been prepared by Atkins Limited for the sole and exclusive use of Transport for the North in response to their particular instructions.

No liability is accepted for any costs claims or losses arising from the use of this document, or any part thereof, for any purpose other than that which it has specifically been prepared or for use by any party other than Transport for the North.

The information which Atkins Limited has provided has been prepared by an environmental specialist in accordance with the Code of Professional Conduct of the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management. Atkins Limited confirms that the opinions expressed are our true and professional opinions.

This document does not purport to provide legal advice.

Atkins Limited

This document has 79 pages including the covers.

Document history

| | | Document ref: Transport for the North HRA Stage 1 and 2 Final 160119.docx | | | | |
|----------|---------------------|--|-------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|
| Revision | Purpose description | Originated | Checked | Reviewed | Authorised | Date |
| Rev 1.0 | Draft for comment | Alex Watson | | Jules Price | Cristina West | December 2018 |
| Rev 2.0 | Final | Alex Watson | Jules Price | Jules Price | Cristina West | February 2019 |

Client

| Client | Transport for the North |
|----------------|--|
| Project | Error! Use the Home tab to apply Title to the text that you want to appear here. |
| Document Title | Habitats Regulations Assessment – Stage 1 Screening |
| Job No. | 5153450 |

Table of contents

| Chap | ter | Pages |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|
| 1. 1.1. 1.2. 1.3. | Introduction Background to this Assessment Background to Habitats Regulations Assessment Outline of this Report | 4 4 5 |
| 2. | Background to Strategic Transport Plan | 6 |
| 3. 3.1. 3.2. 3.3. 3.4. 3.5. 3.6. 3.7. | Methodology Gathering Information Determination of European Sites included in the HRA Obtaining Information on European Sites with the Potential to be Affected Identification of Relevant European Sites Obtaining Information on the European Sites with the Potential to be Affected Assessing the Impacts of the Plan 'Alone' and 'In-Combination' Stage 2: Appropriate Assessment | 8 8 8 14 14 14 15 |
| 4. | Stage 1 Screening Assessment | 17 |
| 5. | Stage 1 Screening Conclusions | 19 |
| 6. 6.1. 6.2. | Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment Introduction Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment of the Plan Alone | 20 20 20 |
| 7. | Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment Conclusions | 22 |
| Appen A.1. A.2. | dix A. European Sites Conservation Objectives and Site Vulnerabilities European Sites Conservation Objectives European Sites with Supplementary Advice on Conservation Objectives - Supplementary 28 | 23 24 Advice |
| A.3. | European Sites Vulnerabilities | 61 |
| Appen B.1. | dix B. Findings of Stage 1 – Screening Assessment HRA Results Tables | 70 71 |

Tables

| Table 2-1 – TfN Network Principles and Ambitions | 6 |
|--|----|
| Table 3-1 – European Sites Designated for Nature Conservation | 9 |
| Table 3-2 – Examples of Potential In-combination Effects | 14 |
| Table 4-1 - Results of the HRA Stage 1 – Screening | 17 |
| Table A-1 – SAC and SPA Conservation Objectives | 24 |
| Table A-2 – Threat Codes for SACs and SPAs | 61 |
| Table A-3 – Information about the negative threats, pressures and activities on European sites: STP area | |
| and up to 20 km from its boundaries | 63 |
| Table B-4 – Categories of Potential Effects of Land-Use Plans on European Sites | 71 |
| Table B-5 – HRA Stage 1 Screening Findings for Objectives and Principles | 73 |

1. Introduction

1.1. Background to this Assessment

Atkins Limited (Atkins) has been commissioned by Transport for the North (TfN) to undertake a Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) of the TfN Strategic Transport Plan (STP) 2019.

1.2. Background to Habitats Regulations Assessment

HRA is required by Regulation 63 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (the Habitats Regulations) for all plans and projects which may have likely significant effects on a European site and are not directly connected with or necessary to the management of the European site.

European sites include Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) and Special Protection Areas (SPA). HRA is also required, as a matter of UK Government policy for potential SPAs (pSPA), candidate SACs (cSAC), Wetlands of European importance (Ramsar sites), and proposed Ramsar sites (pRamsar) for the purposes of considering plans and projects, which may affect them¹. Hereafter all of the above designated nature conservation sites are referred to as 'European sites'.

There are four stages to the HRA process. These are summarised below:

- **Stage 1 Screening:** To test whether a plan or project either alone or in combination with other plans and projects is likely to have a significant effect² on an European site;
- Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment: To determine whether, in view of a European site's conservation objectives, the plan (either alone or in combination with other projects and plans) would have an adverse effect on the integrity of the site with respect to the site structure, function and conservation objectives. If adverse impacts are anticipated, potential mitigation measures to alleviate impacts should be proposed and assessed;
- Stage 3 Assessment of alternative solutions: Where a plan is assessed as having an adverse impact (or risk of this) on the integrity of an European site, there should be an examination of alternatives (e.g. alternative locations and designs of development); and
- Stage 4 Assessment: In exceptional circumstance where no alternative solutions remain and where adverse impacts remain (e.g. where there are imperative reasons of overriding public interest). Compensatory measures would usually be required to offset negative impacts.

1.2.1. Habitats Regulations Assessment Stage 1 Screening

Having determined that the project or plan is not directly connected, or necessary for the management of a Natura 2000 site, it is necessary to undertake screening to determine whether the proposals are likely to have a Likely Significant Effect (LSE) on any European sites.

It is important to note that the burden of evidence is to show, on the basis of objective information, that the project or plan will have no Likely Significant Effect (LSE) on a European site. If there may be an LSE, or there is uncertainty and an LSE cannot be ruled out, this would trigger the need for an appropriate assessment. As a result of European case law, irrespective of the normal English meaning of 'likely', in this statutory context a 'likely significant effect' is a 'possible significant effect', one whose occurrence cannot be ruled out on the basis of objective information (Tyldesley and Chapman, 2018).

Recent European case law ruled that it was not acceptable at screening to take account of measures intended to avoid or reduce effects upon European sites.

¹ National Planning Policy Framework. Department for Communities and Local Government. March 2012.

² Likely significant effect is any effect that may reasonably be predicted as a consequence of a plan or project that may affect the conservation objectives of the features for which the site was designated. If any plan or project causes the cited interest features of a site to fall into unfavourable condition they can be considered to have a likely significant effect on the site.

Transport for the North HRA - Stage 1 Screening | Version 1.0 | October 2017 | 5153450Atkins F 2019

1.2.2. Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment

For European sites where LSE is predicted, or it cannot be concluded that there is no LSE, an appropriate assessment is required to determine whether the project or plan will have an adverse effect on the integrity of the European site in view of its conservation objectives.

According to the Waddenzee judgement (Judgement of 7th September 2004 – Case C127/02) (paragraph 45) an appropriate assessment will be required 'if a likely significant effect cannot be excluded on the basis of objective information'. That is to say, 'if the plan or project is likely to undermine the site's conservation objectives, the assessment of that risk being made in the light inter alia of the characteristics and specific environmental conditions of the site concerned by such a plan or project' (paragraph 49).

For all sites and associated qualifying features where it cannot be concluded that there will be no LSE, further information required to inform an appropriate assessment includes:

- Conservation objectives of the site;
- Current condition status of the qualifying features;
- Site specific and regional population estimates for qualifying features;
- Assessment of potential impacts on qualifying features this detailed assessment is usually based upon information provided during the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process; and
- Importance of the Zone of Influence (ZoI) for the relevant qualifying features in the context of site and regional populations.

The strategic nature of the STP rmeans that the information available to undertake a detailed appropriate assessment is limited as there are no specific project details available.

This report comprises the Stage 1 Screening and Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment of the STP.

1.3. Outline of this Report

Following this introduction:

- Section 2 outlines the details of the STP;
- Section 3 of this report sets out the methodology used for the Stage 1 Screening;
- Section 4 details the results of Stage 1 Screening for the European sites;
- Section 5 provides the conclusions of the Stage 1 Screening assessment;
- Section 6 provides the Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment.

2. Background to Strategic Transport Plan

The STP sets out the case for investment in pan-Northern transport network through to 2050 in support of long term, sustainable economic growth across the North. The STP applies to an area of the North of England comprising the combined overall geographical extents of the 20 local transport authorities.

A key component of the STP is the series of transport objectives, which set out the aims of the STP and which will be applied across the North of England. These transport objectives are all of equal standing and as such, a key focus is on ensuring that sustainability is embedded throughout the STP. These objectives are detailed in Table 2-1 below.

| Increasing efficiency, reliability, integration, and resilience in the transport system | This objective aims to improve the performance and integration of the North's strategic transport network by making the case for interventions that improve its efficiency, reliability and resilience. The North's strategic transport networks and its connections with more local networks, must meet the needs of its users, whether they are residents, businesses or visitors. The management of these networks will need to be able to adapt to changing demands over the period to 2050, such as shifting commuter patterns, changing leisure aspirations, more extreme weather conditions as a result of climate change, and the emergence of new disruptive technologies, such as connected and autonomous vehicles. TfN will also identify opportunities to improve travel choices for the movement of both people and freight and to boost the resilience and sustainability of pan-Northern networks across the whole journey. This will include a particular focus on making more sustainable travel options as attractive as possible, acknowledging that mode choice in often influenced by the ease of the initial part of any journey. TfN will also promote measures that help support modal shift and make the best of our existing networks, exploring new technologies and demand management tools that help to maximise network efficiency. |
|--|--|
| Transforming economic performance | This objective aims to secure investment in transport between the important urban and rural economic centres and assets to support sustainable transformation of the North's economic performance. The objective focuses on addressing the challenges identified in the Northern Powerhouse Independent Economic Review. This includes securing investment in transport interventions, which improve productivity, unlock investment and deliver agglomeration benefits between the North's important economic centres and assets, both rural and urban. It is also vital to connect the North to the world's most important economic markets to enhance trade, tourism and inward investment through international gateways. |
| Improving inclusivity, health, and access to opportunities for all | This objective will ensure that the Strategic Transport Plan works for everyone who lives and works in the North through improved access to opportunities. Ultimately, transport is a means to an end. Economic growth in the North should be as inclusive as possible, avoiding transport poverty where the transport network limits access opportunities in communities. Investment in the strategic transport network should enable better access to key opportunities, including employment, health, social activities and education, regardless of an individual's age, income level, location and mobility, and promoting active and sustainable travel will also improve people's health, reduce air pollution and improve the environment. A carefully co-ordinated approach is required to ensure strategic and local transport investment programmes and policies are aligned and complementary. |
| Promoting and enhancing the built, | This objective will ensure that through collaboration with TfN's Partners, stakeholders and communities, transport interventions across the strategic transport system protect and enhance the natural, historic and built |

Table 2-1 – TfN Network Principles and Ambitions

| historic, and natural environment | environment, making sure that the North's strategic transport system is as sustainable as possible. It covers a range of issues, including the need to provide sustainable travel choices for the movement of people and goods across the North, reducing air pollutant and carbon emissions from transport, making best use of existing transport infrastructure before investing in new capacity and ensuring that new infrastructure is designed to minimise the negative impacts on the natural, historic and built environment, including on biodiversity and where possible result in net environmental gains. Promoting access to the natural and green environment will also promote physical and mental health. |
|--------------------------------------|---|

The STP focuses on creating an integrated and well co-ordinated transport system that supports a range of different travel needs. Supporting these transport objectives within the STP, there are a series of strategic development corridors that are connectivity priorities to support economic growth of the north:

- Connecting the Energy Coasts –Improving connectivity for people and goods between the nationally significant non-carbon energy and research assets located in Cumbria, Lancashire, North Yorkshire, the North East, and Tees Valley.
- West and Wales Improving connectivity, for people and goods, to, from and through the important economic centres and assets of Cheshire, Liverpool City Region and Greater Manchester, with strategic connectivity in to North Wales and the Midlands.
- Central Pennines Improving strategic east-west connectivity for some of the North's important economic centres and assets in North Yorkshire, West Yorkshire, East Riding and Hull and Humber through to Greater Manchester, Lancashire and Liverpool City Region.
- Southern Pennines Improving the strategic East-West, multi-modal connectivity between the important economic centres, assets and ports within Liverpool City Region, Greater Manchester, Cheshire, Sheffield City Region, East Riding and Hull and Humber, as well as cross-border movements to the Midlands.
- West Coast Sheffield City Region Strengthening rail connectivity between the advanced manufacturing clusters and assets in Cumbria, Lancashire, Greater Manchester and Sheffield City Region, with improved connectivity from the North in to Scotland.
- East Coast Scotland Strengthening rail connectivity and capacity along the East Coast Main Line and other key parallel rail lines, such as the Durham Coast Line, to provide enhanced strategic and local connectivity in the North East, Tees Valley, East Riding and North Yorkshire.
- Yorkshire Scotland Strengthening road connectivity between the Midlands, South Yorkshire, West Yorkshire, North Yorkshire, East Riding, Tees Valley, the North East, and Scotland, building on the existing road investment commitments.

3. Methodology

3.1. Gathering Information

All available information about the STP was gathered in order to analyse whether the STP is likely to have any likely significant effects on the European sites.

3.2. Determination of European Sites included in the HRA

An initial review of the STP in light of the Habitats Regulations has been undertaken as part of the HRA process. This initial review looked at the geographic extent or zone of influence of any impacts which could arise as a result of the STP and considered which European sites should be included within the assessment.

The lack of project-specific detail means that the HRA site selection and screening process is undertaken at a high level. Combined with recent European case law, which ruled that measures to avoid or reduce effects cannot be considered at the screening stage, very few European sites will be able to be screened out of further assessment without specific project details.

3.3. Obtaining Information on European Sites with the Potential to be Affected

The Conservation Objectives and site vulnerabilities for all European sites (where available) have been obtained from Natural England³ for the purpose of this assessment. Further details of these European sites are provided in Appendix A.

3.4. Identification of Relevant European Sites

The STP will apply to an area of the north of England comprising the combined overall geographical extents of the 20 Local Transport Authorities, comprising Transport for the North.

In accordance with the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) Volume 1, Section 4, Part 1 Assessment of Implications (of Highways and/or Road Projects) on European Sites (Including Appropriate Assessment) (HD 44/09) all sites where potential direct, indirect and in-combination impacts to Natura 2000 and Ramsar sites could reasonably be considered possible, a buffer of 2 km from the TfN geographical boundary was established which was extended to 30 km where bats are a qualifying feature.

Table 3-1 below provides a summary of the constituent local transport authorities and the European site which fall within each area.

There are also sites within 2 km of the STP area boundary which are in Scotland and as such would require consideration of trans-boundary issues.

³ http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/category/6490068894089216

| Local Transport Authority Area | SAC | SPA | Ramsar |
|---|----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Cheshire West and | Dee Estuary | Mersey Estuary | Rostherne Mere |
| Chester Unitary Authority; Cheshire | River Dee and Bala Lake | The Dee Estuary | Mersey Estuary |
| East Unitary Authority; Warrington Unitary | Oak Mere | Peak District Moors (South Pennine Moors Phase 1) | The Dee Estuary |
| Authority | South Pennine Moors | | Midland Meres & Mosses Phase 2 |
| | Rixton Clay Pits | | Midland Meres & Mosses - Phase 1 |
| | Manchester Mosses | | |
| Cumbria County | Moor House-Upper Teesdale | Solway Firth pSPA | Esthwaite Water |
| Council | Helbeck & Swindale Woods | North Pennine Moors | Upper Solway Flats & Marshes |
| | Tarn Moss | Morecambe Bay & Duddon Estuary | Irthinghead Mires |
| | River Kent | | Duddon Estuary |
| | Ullswater Oakwoods | | Morecambe Bay |
| | South Solway Mosses | | |
| | Solway Firth | | |
| | Cumbrian Marsh Fritillary Site | | |
| | Drigg Coast | | |
| | Morecambe Bay Pavements | | |
| | Roudsea Wood & Mosses | | |
| | Witherslack Mosses | | |
| | Yewbarrow Woods | | |
| | Tyne & Nent | | |
| | Clints Quarry | | |
| | Bolton Fell Moss | | |
| | Walton Moss | | |
| | Border Mires, Kielder-Butterburn | | |
| | River Eden | | |

 Table 3-1 – European Sites Designated for Nature Conservation

| | Borrowdale Woodland Complex | | |
|--|---|---|------------------------|
| | Lake District High Fells | | |
| | River Derwent & Bassenthwaite Lake | | |
| | North Pennine Dales Meadows | | |
| | North Pennine Moors | | |
| | Subberthwaite, Blawith & Torver Low Commons | | |
| | Asby Complex | | |
| | River Ehen | | |
| | Naddle Forest | | |
| | Wast Water | | |
| | Duddon Mosses | | |
| | Morecambe Bay | | |
| Greater Manchester Combined Authority | Rochdale Canal | Peak District Moors (South Pennine Moors Phase 1) | None identified |
| | South Pennine Moors | South Pennine Moors Phase 2 | |
| | Manchester Mosses | | |
| East Riding of | Lower Derwent Valley | Hornsea Mere | Lower Derwent Valley |
| Yorkshire Unitary Authority; North | Thorne Moor | Lower Derwent Valley | Humber Estuary |
| Lincolnshire Unitary | River Derwent | Flamborough Head & Bempton Cliffs | |
| Autority and North East | Flamborough Head | Flamborough and Filey Coast | |
| Lincolnshire Unitary Authority | Humber Estuary | Humber Estuary | |
| Additionity | Hatfield Moor | Thorne & Hatfield Moors | |
| Lancashire County | Morecambe Bay Pavements | Leighton Moss | Ribble & Alt Estuaries |
| Council, Blackpool Unitary Authority; | Calf Hill & Cragg Woods | Martin Mere | Leighton Moss |
| Blackburn with Darwen | South Pennine Moors | Ribble & Alt Estuaries | Martin Mere |
| Unitary Authority | Morecambe Bay | Bowland Fells | Morecambe Bay |
| | North Pennine Dales Meadows | Morecambe Bay and Duddon Estuary | |
| | | South Pennine Moors Phase 2 | |

| | | Liverpool Bay | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| West Yorkshire Combined Authority | Lower Derwent Valley | Lower Derwent Valley | Lower Derwent Valley |
| | River Derwent | North Pennine Moors | Malham Tarn |
| | Kirk Deighton | Peak District Moors (South Pennine Moors Phase 1) | |
| | Denby Grange Colliery Ponds | South Pennine Moors Phase 2 | |
| | Ingleborough Complex | | |
| | South Pennine Moors | | |
| | Strensall Common | | |
| | North Pennine Dales Meadows | | |
| | North Pennine Moors | | |
| | Craven Limestone Complex | | |
| | Skipwith Common | | |
| Liverpool City Region | Dee Estuary | Mersey Estuary | Ribble & Alt Estuaries |
| Combined Authority | Sefton Coast | Ribble & Alt Estuaries | Mersey Estuary |
| | | The Dee Estuary | Mersey Narrows & North Wirral Foreshore |
| | | Mersey Narrows & North Wirral Foreshore | |
| | | Liverpool Bay | |
| North East Combined | Moor House-Upper Teesdale | Farne Islands | Holburn Lake & Moss |
| Authority | | Holburn Lake & Moss | Lindisfarne |
| | Tweed Estuary | Lindisfarne | Teesmouth & Cleveland Coast |
| | Newham Fen | Teesmouth and Cleveland Coast | Northumbria Coast |
| | Thrislington | Northumbria Coast | Irthinghead Mires |
| | Ford Moss | Coquet Island | |
| | Berwickshire & North Northumberland Coast | North Pennine Moors | |
| | North Northumberland Dunes | | |
| | Castle Eden Dene | | |
| | Durham Coast | | |

| | Border Mires, Kielder-Butterburn | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| | River Eden | | |
| | Simonside Hills | | |
| | Harbottle Moors | | |
| | North Pennine Dales Meadows | | |
| | North Pennine Moors | | |
| | Tyne & Allen River Gravels | | |
| | Roman Wall Loughs | | |
| Sheffield City Region Combined Authority | Thorne Moor | Peak District Moors (South Pennine Moors Phase 1) | None identified |
| | Peak District Dales | Thorne & Hatfield Moors | |
| | Bees Nest & Green Clay Pits | | |
| | Gang Mine | | |
| | South Pennine Moors | | |
| | Hatfield Moor | | |
| Tees Valley Combined | North York Moors | North York Moors | Teesmouth and Cleveland Coast |
| Authority | | Teesmouth and Cleveland Coast | |
| North Yorkshire County | Lower Derwent Valley | Hornsea Mere | Lower Derwent Valley |
| Council; City of York | Fen Bog | Lower Derwent Valley | Humber Estuary |
| Unitary Authority | Thorne Moor | North York Moors | Malham Tarn |
| | River Derwent | Flamborough Head & Bempton Cliffs | |
| | Kirk Deighton | North Pennine Moors | |
| | North York Moors | Humber Estuary | |
| | Ox Close | South Pennine Moors Phase 2 | |
| | Ingleborough Complex | Thorne & Hatfield Moors | |
| | Beast Cliff-Whitby (Robin Hood's Bay) | | |
| | Arnecliff & Park Hole Woods | | |
| | | | |

| | South Pennine Moors | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Strensall Common | | |
| | North Pennine Dales Meadows | | |
| | North Pennine Moors | | |
| | Ellers Wood & Sand Dale | | |
| | Humber Estuary | | |
| | Craven Limestone Complex | | |
| Natura 2000 sites within 30 km of the STP area boundary for which bats are a qualifying feature | | None identified | None identified |

This HRA is a record of the assessment of 'likely significant effects' from the STP on the 119 European sites listed above. Information regarding their location and reasons for designation has not been included at this stage. Further details regarding the conservation objectives and site vulnerabilities and threats are provided in Appendix A.

3.5. Obtaining Information on the European Sites with the Potential to be Affected

Information on the vulnerabilities of all European sites identified was obtained from the Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) website⁴.

3.6. Assessing the Impacts of the Plan 'Alone' and 'In-Combination'

Following the gathering of information on the STP and the European sites, an assessment has been undertaken to predict the likely significant effects of the STP on the European sites 'alone'. In order to inform this process, all parts of the STP were assessed to see if they could result in likely significant effects on the European sites. This HRA assesses each of the Spatial Strategy, Development Policies and Sub Area Policies, as well as the objectives that support the policies.

Each of the principles, spatial themes and accompanying interventions (interventions which may lead to new infrastructure, improved access across the region or new development) have been examined to see if the STP could have a likely significant effect on the integrity of the European sites. However, as the STP is at a strategic level (i.e. the new infrastructure, extent of improvements to existing transport links, associated development that may arise as a result these interventions is unknown at this stage), the HRA has also been undertaken at a strategic level.

Although impacts from an individual project or plan may have no likely significant effect on a European site, cumulative impacts from other plans and projects may result in an 'in combination' effect on one or more interest features of the European site. Examples of how these in-combination effects may occur is summarised in Table 3-2 below.

| Example Plans and Projects | Potential In-combination Effects |
|--|---|
| Local Core Strategies and Allocation Plans | Direct land take; |
| Local Transport Plans | Hydrology changes; |
| Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects and associated developments | Water quality;Air qaulity; |
| | Noise; |
| | Lighting; and |
| | Recreation. |

Table 3-2 – Examples of Potential In-combination Effects

Likely significant effects by these means must also be considered at this stage as the STP covers the whole of the north of England in which 119 European sites have been identified. However, the STP is a very high level plan which provides no detail or outline of any development proposals nor details of where development may be located other than general areas, their design and/or when (or if) these sites will be constructed.

For European designated sites where an LSE alone was concluded, the potential exists for in-combination effects with other plans and projects for a number of effects. However, given the nature of the STP, there is inevitably going to be a delay between the adoption of the STP and any development. It is not possible to know when (or indeed if) any subsequent project proposal will come forward and it is not therefore possible to predict what other plans and projects will be relevant to such a future project assessment. There is a need

⁴ <u>http://jncc.defra.gov.uk</u>

to consider the potential for in-combination effects at the plan stage, but that assessment is relevant to the any subsequent development in its own right and needs to be scoped accordingly.

Therefore, it is recommended that 'in combination' assessment is undertaken at a lower tier when further details are known.

The STP seeks to protect European sites (Page 77 of the Inclusive and Sustainable Growth section of the Strategic Transport Plan). It states that any potential direct or indirect impacts on these sites that may arise from new and/or upgraded transport interventions will be appropriately assessed, mitigated, or, as a last resort, compensated for, in-line with existing best practice and relevant legislation across the life span of the Plan. Therefore, should infrastructure development arise from the interventions, the need for HRA will be highlighted and undertaken at the development management stage.

Likely significant effects are assessed by reference to the conservation objectives of the qualifying feature (interest feature) of the European site. Any plan or project that causes the cited interest features of a site to fall into unfavourable condition can be considered to have a likely significant effect on the site. Stage 1 of the HRA process does not assess effects on the integrity of European sites (this forms Stage 2 of the HRA process). It may be worth noting that under the terms of the European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018, it is understood that all relevant European environmental legislation, including the Habitats Directive, will remain in place for the foreseeable future.

Plans or projects can adversely affect a site by:

- Causing delays in progress towards achieving the conservation objectives of the site;
- Interrupting progress towards achieving the conservation objectives of the site;
- Disrupting those factors that help to maintain the favourable conditions of the site; and
- Interfering with the balance, distribution and density of key species that are the indicators of the favourable condition of the site.

HRA is an iterative process. Where necessary, suggestions can be made of how to amend the Strategy to avoid likely significant effects on a European site. This iterative approach has been adopted as part of this assessment and recommendations that were submitted to TfN have been included in the STP.

The precautionary principle (as enshrined in the Habitats Regulations) has been taken into account during this HRA. The precautionary principle is used when an HRA cannot objectively demonstrate that there will be no likely significant effects on the European sites. If this occurs, the subsequent stages of HRA must be completed for the project or plan.

3.7. Stage 2: Appropriate Assessment

The purpose of this assessment is to establish whether there are elements of the STP which could have an adverse effect on the integrity of these sites.

The integrity of a site is defined as "the coherence of the site's ecological structure and function, across its whole area, which enables it to sustain the habitat, complex of habitats and/or population of species for which the site has been designated" (European Commission, 2000a).

European Commission guidance on the provisions of Article 6, emphasises that site integrity involves its ecological functions and that the assessment of adverse effect should focus on and be limited to the site's conservation objectives (European Commission, 2000b).

For the Appropriate Assessment, English Nature (now Natural England) guidance on 'site integrity' has been used⁵ to identify suitable criteria for deciding whether impacts would be likely to be deemed 'adverse effects on integrity'.

As described in Natural England's guidance document The Habitats Regulations Assessment of Local Development Documents (Revised Draft)⁶:

"...it should be borne in mind that appropriate assessment for a plan is unlikely to be as detailed an assessment as one undertaken at project level.

Occasionally, where a proposal in a plan is advancing rapidly at project development level, concurrently with the plan-making process, such detailed information could be available, but usually such detailed assessments are unlikely to be achievable or feasible. The object is to assess whether it can be ascertained that the elements of the plan, alone or in combination with each other, and/or other plans or projects, would not have an adverse effect on the integrity of a European site."

Where necessary, mitigation measures have been put forward to address any adverse effects on integrity of the European sites (see Section 8). Policy level HRA offers an opportunity to highlight where lower tier plans and projects will require HRA in order to avoid conflict with conservation objectives for European sites. The purpose of policy level HRAs is to assess whether particular policies will impact on designated sites. If it cannot be ruled out that there will be no adverse effects on the integrity of the European sites, then policies must be amended or deleted. Where appropriate, safeguarding conditions can be used and/or deliverable mitigation identified to avoid or remove the potential adverse impacts of a policy. This approach will ensure the plan is robust and deliverable. It is supported by the decision in the case of Feeney v Oxford City Council [2011] EWHC 2699, in which the Court ruled that the use of safeguard conditions is not excluded by the precautionary principle; on the contrary such a condition is based upon advance consideration of potential future risks.

Impacts of a plan depend to a large extent on how policies and proposals are implemented on the ground. Due to the uncertainties inherent in policy-making, the exact effect of a policy or proposal may not be certain until detailed implementation. This can make it difficult to conclude with any certainty that adverse effects on integrity will not take place. Due to the requirement within the Habitats Directive to apply the precautionary principle if it is not possible to be certain that adverse effects will not occur, this HRA proposes methods to mitigate for adverse effects that could occur. This is important, in order to demonstrate that any development brought forward as a result of policies in the STP, can be delivered without adverse effects on integrity. Changes to the detailed design of development schemes, when they arise, may be necessary as well as mitigation.

⁵ English Nature, May 2004. European Sites Guidance - Internal Guidance to Decisions on 'Site Integrity': A Framework for Provision of Advice to Competent Authorities

⁶ The Habitats Regulations Assessment of Local Development Documents, Natural England, 2009

4. Stage 1 Screening Assessment

The findings of the Stage 1 – Screening for the European sites under consideration are provided in Table 4-1 below. Justification for the conclusions drawn below is provided in **Appendix B**.

| Site Designation | Sites List in Table 3-1 |
|--|--|
| Describe the individual elements of the STP likely to give rise to impacts on the European sites | The four strategic objectives and seven strategic development corridors policies focus on the need for improvements to existing infrastructure, creation of new transport links by the development of new infrastructure and improved (i.e. faster and more efficient) connectivity across the North of England. The four strategic objectives will not themselves lead to development e.g. because they relate to design or other qualitative criteria for development, or they are not a land use planning policy. Therefore, the strategic objectives are considered to have no likely significant effects on the European sites. The seven strategic development corridors policies are policies for which there is a theoretical possibility that, if implemented in one or more particular ways, could possibly have a significant effect on a European site. Using the precautionary approach, this policy may lead to a likely significant effect on European protected sites via several routes such as direct land take, pollution events, noise, air quality and |
| | increased recreation. As such will require a Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment to be undertaken. |
| Provisions included within the STP to protect European sites | Text within the STP: Environmental Responsibility seeks solely to protect European sites, important habitats and species. The principle ensures that any potential infrastructure project is adequately assessed for likely significant effects on European sites. The STP states the following: The North contains both statutory and non-statutory designated sites that are protected for their importance for nature conservation. Prime among these sites are Special Areas of Conservation and Special Protection Areas, which form the Natura 2000 European network of core breeding and resting sites for rare and threatened species, along with some rare natural habitat types. It is the aim of this network to ensure the long-term survival of Europe's most valuable and threatened species and habitats, listed under the European Commission's Habitats and Birds Directives. In addition to the Natura 2000 sites, there are also internationally important wetlands designated as Ramsar Sites. Across the North, there are a large number of nationally important Sites of Special Scientific Interest, National Nature Reserves, and green spaces that support wildlife and enhance the wellbeing of the local population. Whilst it is not directly connected to European Sites, the STP on page 76 also states: Working with Partners and other statutory bodies, such as the Environment Agency, Natural England and Historic England, TfN will aim to minimise the impact of transport on the historic and natural environment and will seek to deliver environmental |

Table 4-1 - Results of the HRA Stage 1 – Screening

| | enhancements and biodiversity net gain where possible. TfN also expects Delivery Partners to deliver transport investments that protect sites designated for important nature conservation, ensure that due regard is given to the need to undertake archaeological investigations, and protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of historic assets. |
|---|---|
| Describe any likely direct, indirect or secondary impacts of the STP on the European sites by virtue of: Size and scale; Land take; Resource requirements (i.e. water extraction etc.); Emissions (disposal to land, water or air); Excavation requirements; Duration of construction, operation, decommissioning etc.; and Other. | The seven strategic development corridors policies are policies which depend on how the policies etc are implemented in due course. There is a theoretical possibility that if implemented in one or more particular ways, the proposals could possibly have a significant effect on a European site. Using the precautionary approach, this policy may lead to a likely significant effect on European protected sites, however, owing to the high level nature of the policy the likely direct, indirect or secondary impacts cannot be quantified at this stage. |
| Describe any likely changes to the European sites arising as a result of: Reduction of habitat area; Disturbance to key species; Habitat or species fragmentation; Reduction in species density; Changes in key indicators of conservation value (e.g. water quality); and Climate change | The seven strategic development corridors policies are policies which depend on how the policies etc are implemented in due course. There is a theoretical possibility that if implemented in one or more particular ways the proposals could possibly have a significant effect on a European site. Using the precautionary approach this policy may lead to a likely significant effect on European protected sites, however, owing to the high level nature of the policy the likely changes to the European sites cannot be quantified at this stage. |
| Describe from the above those elements of the project, or combination of elements, where the above impacts are likely to be significant or where the scale or magnitude of impacts is not known | Based on findings of the HRA Stage 1 Screening Assessment, it is considered that the seven strategic development corridors policies may have a likely significant effect on the European sites. |

5. Stage 1 Screening Conclusions

This HRA has assessed whether the four strategic objectives and the seven Strategic Development Corridors set out within the STP are likely to lead to significant effects on these European sites and what these likely impacts are.

None of the strategic objectives will directly lead to development and therefore will not have a likely significant effect on any European sites.

Due to the strategic nature of the STP, the seven Strategic Development Corridors set out are high level only broadly defining the objectives and policy and providing limited detail of potential projects that may result at a later stage. However, using the precautionary principle it is considered that the Strategic Development Corridors may have a likely significant effect on European sites. Therefore, a Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment will be required to assess the potential effects of the seven Strategic Development Corridors on the European sites.

6. Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment

6.1. Introduction

Following completion of the HRA Stage 1 Screening assessment, it was concluded that the following seven Strategic Development Corridors may result in likely significant effects on European site and that as such these policies would require a Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment:

- Connecting the Energy Coasts –Improving connectivity for people and goods between the nationally significant non-carbon energy and research assets located in Cumbria, Lancashire, North Yorkshire, the North East, and Tees Valley.
- West and Wales Improving connectivity, for people and goods, to, from and through the important economic centres and assets of Cheshire, Liverpool City Region and Greater Manchester, with strategic connectivity in to North Wales and the Midlands.;
- Central Pennines Improving strategic east-west connectivity for some of the North's important economic centres and assets in North Yorkshire, West Yorkshire, East Riding and Hull and Humber through to Greater Manchester, Lancashire and Liverpool City Region.
- Southern Pennines Improving the strategic East-West, multi-modal connectivity between the important economic centres, assets and ports within Liverpool City Region, Greater Manchester, Cheshire, Sheffield City Region, East Riding and Hull and Humber, as well as cross-border movements to the Midlands.
- North west to Sheffield City Region Strengthening rail connectivity between the advanced manufacturing clusters and assets in Cumbria, Lancashire, Greater Manchester and Sheffield City Region, with improved connectivity from the North in to Scotland.
- East Coast to Scotland Strengthening rail connectivity and capacity along the East Coast Main Line and other key parallel rail lines, such as the Durham Coast Line, to provide enhanced strategic and local connectivity in the North East, Tees Valley, East Riding and North Yorkshire.
- Yorkshire to Scotland Strengthening road connectivity between the Midlands, South Yorkshire, West Yorkshire, North Yorkshire, East Riding, Tees Valley, the North East, and Scotland, building on the existing road investment commitments.

Two pieces of case law have clarified that an appropriate assessment of a plan does not have to provide a conclusive answer to all the questions legitimately raised about the potential for significant adverse effect on the integrity of the site.

In the Opinion of Advocate General Kokott at paragraph 49 she noted that an assessment of plans cannot by definition take into account all effects because "Many details are regularly not settled until the time of the final permission" and "[i]t would also hardly be proper to require a greater level of detail in preceding plans or the abolition of multi-stage planning and approval procedures so that the assessment of implications can be concentrated on one point in the procedure. Rather, adverse effects on areas of conservation must be assessed at every relevant stage of the procedure to the extent possible on the basis of the precision of the plan. This assessment is to be updated with increasing specificity in subsequent stages of the procedure".

6.2. Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment of the Plan Alone

The potential impacts on the European sites were considered to include the potential for the following:

- direct land take;
- water pollution;
- noise;

- air pollution;
- changes in hydrology; and
- increased recreation.

These impacts may result from construction works within or in proximity to European sites, or as operational consequence.

6.2.1. Mitigation

The assessment has identified mitigation measures that could be applied at a project HRA level and may be sufficient to avoid or mitigate any adverse effect on European site integrity. However, mitigation is project-specific and without sufficient information about a project it can only be considered in generic terms at this strategic level. However, the use of policy-specific caveats provides additional assurance to the decision maker that implementation of the plan will not adversely affect site integrity.

These provisions act as an 'additional safeguard' in the event of an unforeseen adverse effect being subsequently identified at project stage which cannot be resolved by mitigation. It can be relied upon to ensure that, in order for any development proposals coming forward to be in accordance with the policy statement, they must first demonstrate compliance with the requirements of the Habitats Regulations.

As the detailed potential impacts of the Strategic Development Corridors alone and in-combination cannot be identified on a site by site basis at this stage, it is not possible to detail potential detailed mitigation measures. However, it is considered that any potential impacts could be mitigated through the following:

- where possible habitat loss within the European sites will be avoided or minimised through sensitive siting and design;
- construction will seek to avoid the most sensitive times of year for qualifying species for which the European sites are designated within the respective zone of influence of those species;
- measures will be taken to minimise noise and visual disturbance impacts on species, where these are a likely impact on the European site; and,
- standard working practices, pollution prevention and control measures will be implemented where there is the potential for changes in air or water quality.

6.2.2. Provisions within the TfN STP that Protect European Sites

When planning applications are determined, all of the relevant policies and supporting text in the STP are taken into account and used as the basis for decision-making.

The STP includes text within the 'TfN's principle for Pan-Northern Transport System' on page 77 of the STP, which seeks to protect European sites by setting out how the HRA process should be taken forward for new infrastructure projects within the STP region. The text clearly states that any proposed development that may have an adverse effect on European important sites will be subject to the HRA process by the competent authority (see relevant text below).

The North contains both statutory and non-statutory designated sites that are protected for their importance for nature conservation. Prime among these sites are Special Areas of Conservation and Special Protection Areas, which form the Natura 2000 European network of core breeding and resting sites for rare and threatened species, along with some rare natural habitat types. It is the aim of this network to ensure the long-term survival of Europe's most valuable and threatened species and habitats, listed under the European Commission's Habitats and Birds Directives. In addition to the Natura 2000 sites, there are also internationally important wetlands designated as Ramsar Sites.

Across the North, there are a large number of nationally important Sites of Special Scientific Interest, National Nature Reserves, Ancient Woodlands, and many important

Local Nature Reserves and green spaces that support wildlife and enhance the wellbeing of the local population.

Any potential direct or indirect impacts on these sites that may arise from new or upgraded transport interventions will be appropriately assessed, mitigated, and/or compensated for, in line with existing best practice and relevant legislation over the lifetime of the Plan. This would include the Natura 2000 sites and Ramsar sites for which Habitats Regulation Assessment will be carried out, as necessary, prior to final decisions being made on transport interventions.

The Strategic Transport Plan and the Long Term Investment Programme recognise the importance of all these sites in the North and beyond and TfN is committed to working with Partners to avoid or minimise any adverse impacts on such sites as far as possible.

Whilst it is not directly connected to European Site the STP on page 76 also states:

Working with Partners and other statutory bodies, such as the Environment Agency, Natural England and Historic England, TfN will aim to minimise the impact of transport on the historic and natural environment and will seek to deliver environmental enhancements and biodiversity net gain where possible. TfN also expects Delivery Partners to deliver transport investments that protect sites designated for important nature conservation, ensure that due regard is given to the need to undertake archaeological investigations, and protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of historic assets.

The STP therefore ensures that the competent authority (in consultation with Natural England) will give consideration to European sites in order to inform infrastructure planning decisions on new transport projects. The text states that any development which has an adverse impact on an important environmental site should be avoided as far as possible. If this cannot be achieved, the adverse impacts will be adequately mitigated, or, as a last result, compensated for. A Habitat Regulations Appropriate Assessment will be undertaken in the case of European designated sites for any proposal likely to have significant effects on the site itself.

Therefore, any specific infrastructure proposals will need to be in-line with the STP and will need to satisfy the relevant Local Authority and the relevant statutory conservation body (Natural England, Scottish Natural Heritage and Natural Resources Wales) that there will be no adverse effect on the integrity of the European designated sites. Any adverse effects on integrity must be effectively mitigated, or, as a last result, compensated. This will act to safeguard European sites and features during the future assessment of schemes that are supported by the policies and through down-the-line assessment of individual projects.

7. Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment Conclusions

Taking into account the proposed mitigation measures and the robust wording in the STP (as set out above) which commits to the protection of the European sites, it can therefore be concluded that it is unlikely that the STP will have an adverse effect on the integrity of the European sites either alone or in-combination with other plans and projects. The only exception will be in situations where no alternative solutions exist for a scheme and where adverse impacts remain. In these situations, if it is deemed that the scheme should be allowed to proceed, the identification of imperative reasons of overriding public interest (IROPI) will be necessary and compensatory measures will need to be identified. This can only be decided at the next stage of project development.

Appendix A. European Sites Conservation Objectives and Site Vulnerabilities

A.1. European Sites Conservation Objectives

Table A-1 – SAC and SPA Conservation Objectives

| European Site Designation | Site Name | Conservation Objectives Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site of | | | |
|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | Conservation Status of its Qualifying Features, by maintaining or restoring | | | |
| SAC | Arnecliff & Park Hole Woods | The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species | | | |
| | Asby Complex | The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats The structure and function of the hebitate of qualifying species | | | |
| | Bees Nest & Green Clay Pits | The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species | | | |
| | Berwickshire & North Northumberland Coast | The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species, and, The populations of qualifying species, and, | | | |
| | Craven Limestone Complex | The distribution of qualifying species within the site. | | | |
| | Dee Estuary | | | | |
| | Drigg Coast | | | | |
| | Ellers Wood & Sand Dale | | | | |
| | Humber Estuary | | | | |
| | Moor House-Upper Teesdale | | | | |
| | Morecambe Bay | | | | |
| | Morecambe Bay Pavements | | | | |
| | Peak District Dales | | | | |
| | River Dee and Bala Lake | | | | |
| | River Derwent | | | | |
| | River Derwent & Bassenthwaite Lake | | | | |
| | River Eden | | | | |
| | River Kent | | | | |
| | Roman Wall Loughs | | | | |
| | Sefton Coast | | | | |
| | Beast Cliff-Whitby (Robin Hood's Bay) | The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats | | | |
| | Bolton Fell Moss | The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats, and | | | |
| | Borrowdale Woodland Complex | The supporting processes on which the qualifying natural habitats rely | | | |
| | Calf Hill & Cragg Woods | | | | |
| | Castle Eden Dene | | | | |
| | Harbottle Moors | | | | |
| | Ford Moss | | | | |
| | Gang Mine | | | | |
| | Ox Close | | | | |
| | Roudsea Wood & Mosses | | | | |
| | Simonside Hills | | | | |
| | Skipwith Common | | | | |
| | South Pennine Moors | | | | |
| | South Solway Mosses | | | | |
| | Strensall Common | | | | |
| | Subberthwaite, Blawith & Torver Low Commons | | | | |
| | Tarn Moss | | | | |
| | Thorne Moor | | | | |

contributes to achieving the Favourable

species rely

| | Thrislington | |
|-----|-----------------------------------|---|
| | Tyne & Allen River Gravels | |
| | Tyne & Nent | |
| | Ullswater Oakwoods | |
| | Walton Moss | |
| | Wast Water | |
| | Witherslack Mosses | |
| | Yewbarrow Woods | |
| | Border Mires, Kielder-Butterburn | The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats |
| | Duddon Mosses | The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats, and |
| | Durham Coast | The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying s |
| | Fen Bog | |
| | Flamborough Head | |
| | Hatfield Moor | |
| | Helbeck & Swindale Woods | |
| | Ingleborough Complex | |
| | Manchester Mosses | |
| | Naddle Forest | |
| | Newham Fen | |
| | North Pennine Dales Meadows | |
| | North York Moors | |
| | Oak Mere | |
| | Clints Quarry | The extent and distribution of the habitats of qualifying species |
| | Cumbrian Marsh Fritillary Site | The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species |
| | Denby Grange Colliery Ponds | The supporting processes on which the habitats of qualifying species rely |
| | Kirk Deighton | The populations of qualifying species, and, |
| | River Ehen | The distribution of qualifying species within the site. |
| | Rixton Clay Pits | |
| | Rochdale Canal | |
| | Lake District High Fells | The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species |
| | Lower Derwent Valley | The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats |
| | North Northumberland Dunes | The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species |
| | North Pennine Moors | The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying s |
| | Solway Firth | The populations of qualifying species, and, The distribution of qualifying species within the site. |
| SPA | Bowland Fells | Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the |
| | Coquet Island | site contributes to achieving the aims of the Wild Birds Directive, by maintaining or restoring; |
| | Farne Islands | The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features |
| | Flamborough Head & Bempton Cliffs | The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features |
| | Humber Estuary | The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely The population of each of the qualifying features, and, |
| | Leighton Moss | The population of the qualifying features within the site |
| | Lindisfarne | |
| | Lower Derwent Valley | |
| | Martin Mere | |

species rely species rely

| | - |
|---|--|
| Mersey Estuary | |
| Mersey Narrows & North Wirral Foreshore | |
| Morecambe Bay & Duddon Estuary | |
| North Pennine Moors | |
| North York Moors | |
| Northumbria Coast | |
| Peak District Moors (South Pennine Moors Phase 1) | |
| Ribble & Alt Estuaries | |
| South Pennine Moors Phase 2 | |
| Teesmouth & Cleveland Coast | |
| The Dee Estuary | |
| Thorne & Hatfield Moors | |
| Upper Solway Flats & Marshes | |
| Din Moss-Hoselaw Loch | To avoid deterioration of the habitats of the qualifying species (listed below) or significant disturbance integrity of the site is maintained; and To ensure for the qualifying species that the following are maint Population of the species as a viable component of the site Distribution of the species within site Distribution and extent of habitats supporting the species Structure, function and supporting processes of habitats supporting the species No significant disturbance of the species |
| Greenlaw Moor | To avoid deterioration of the habitats of the qualifying species (Pink-footed goose) or significant disturt the integrity of the site is maintained; and to ensure for the qualifying species that the following are matched the long term: Population of the species as a viable component of the site Distribution of the species within site Distribution and extent of habitats supporting the species Structure, function and supporting processes of habitats supporting the species. No significant disturbance of the species |
| Holburn Lake & Moss | Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site of Directive, by maintaining or restoring; The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features (Greylag goose) The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely The population of each of the qualifying features, and, The distribution of the qualifying features within the site |
| Hornsea Mere | Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site of Directive, by maintaining or restoring; The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features (Mute swan and Gadwall) The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely The population of each of the qualifying features, and, The distribution of the qualifying features within the site |
| Liverpool Bay | Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site contributes to achieving the aims of the Wild Birds Directive, by maintaining or restoring; The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely The population of each of the qualifying features, and, |

ce to the qualifying species, thus ensuring that the intained in the long term:

turbance to the qualifying species, thus ensuring that maintained in

e contributes to achieving the aims of the Wild Birds

e contributes to achieving the aims of the Wild Birds

all)

| | The distribution of the qualifying features within the site. The interest feature red-throated diver will be considered to be in favourable condition only when both (i) The size of the red-throated diver population is at, or shows only non-significant fluctuation ar designation of the SPA. To account for natural change; (ii) The extent of the supporting habitat within the site is maintained. |
|---|---|
| Castleloch, Lochmaben Langholm- Newcastleton Hills | To avoid deterioration of the habitats of the qualifying species (listed below) or significant distribution that the integrity of the site is maintained; and To ensure for the qualifying species that the following are maintained in the long term: Population of the species as a viable component of the site Distribution of the species within site Distribution and extent of habitats supporting the species Structure, function and supporting processes of habitats supporting the species No significant disturbance of the species |

th of the following two conditions are met: around the mean population at the time of

sturbance to the qualifying species, thus ensuring

ies

| European Site Designation | Site Name | Conservation Objectives | Supplementary Advice | Target |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|---|
| SAC | SAC Borrowdale Woodland | The extent and distribution of | Extent of the feature within the site | Restore the total extent of the H91A0 feature to 540 hectares. |
| | Complex | qualifying natural habitats | Vegetation community composition | Restore the distribution and configuration of the H91A0 feature, including where applicable its compor |
| | fı ty q h | The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats, and The supporting | Vegetation structure - canopy cover | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H91A0 feature are referable to and characterise Classification types: NVC W11 Quercus petraea –Betula pubescens – Oxalis acetocella NVC W17 Quercus petraea –Betula pubescens – Oxalis acetocella NVC W17 Quercus petraea –Sorbus aucuparia – Mercurialis perennis woodland NV excelsior Lysimachia nemorum woodland NVC W5 Alnus glutinosa – Carex paniculata woodland Mai NVC types and the more open h |
| | | processes on which the qualifying | Vegetation structure - open spac | Maintain a tree canopy cover of between 70-90% within woodland stands. |
| | | natural habitats rely | Vegetation structure – old growth | Maintain areas of permanent and temporary open space within the H91A0 woodland feature, typically woodland stand areas. |
| | | | Vegetation structure - dead wood | Maintain the extent and continuity of undisturbed, mature/old growth stands (typically comprising at leat time) and their assemblages of veteran and ancient trees (typically >10 trees per hectare). |
| | | | Vegetation structure – age class distribution | Maintain the continuity and abundance of standing or fallen dead and decaying wood, typically 6 faller dead trees per hectare |
| | | | Vegetation structure - shrub layer | Restore at least 3 age classes (pole stage/ medium/ mature) spread across the average life expectance |
| | | | Vegetation structure - woodland edge | Maintain an understorey shrub layer covering 10% of the stand area within oak stands and 25% in ald |
| | | | Adaptation and resilience | Restore a graduated woodland edge into adjacent semi-natural open habitats, other woodland/wood-p |
| | | | Browsing and grazing by herbivores | Maintain the resilience of the H91A0 feature by ensuring a diversity (at least 12 species) of site-native holly, ash, wych elm, yew, juniper, alder, rowan, bird cherry, willow, hazel and hawthorn) across the si |
| | | | Regeneration potential | Restore browsing to a (low) level that maintains a well-developed understorey with no obvious browse grazing sensitive species evident (e.g.bramble, ivy), and tree seedlings and sapling common in gaps. |
| | | | Tree and shrub species composition | Restore the potential for sufficient natural regeneration of desirable trees and shrubs; typically tree see by seedlings and <1.3m saplings - above grazing and browsing height) should be visible in sufficient n as regrowth as appropriate. |
| | | | Key structural, influential and/or distinctive species | Maintain a canopy and understorey of which 95% is composed of site native trees and shrubs. |
| | | Invasive, nonnative and/or introduced species | Maintain the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component of Tree and shrub species as listed in the adaptation and resilience section above. Constant and preferential species of site's NVC community types listed above. Assemblage of bryophyte and lichen communities associated with western acidic woodland Assemblage of ferns characteristic of western acidic woodland. Northern wood ant Formica lugubris Red squirrel Sciurus vulgaris | |
| | | | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Ensure invasive and introduced non-native species are either rare or absent, but if present are causing |
| | | | Functional connectivity with wider landscape | Maintain the properties of the underlying soil types, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, ratio, to w ithin typical values for theH91A0 habitat. |
| | | | Air quality | Maintain the soil structure within and around the root zones of the mature and ancient tree cohort in an |
| | | | Hydrology | Restore as necessary, the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the site-relevant the H91A0 feature of the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk). |

A.2. European Sites with Supplementary Advice on Conservation Objectives - Supplementary Advice

onent vegetation types, across the site

ised by the following National Vegetation Quercus petraea – Betula pubescens – NVC W7 Alnus glutinosa – Fraxinus flaintain a natural transition between these

Ily to cover approximately 10% of the

least 20% of the H91A0 feature at any one

len trees >30cm per hectare, and 5 standing

ancy of the commonest trees.

lder and ash woodlands

d-pasture types or scrub.

ve trees and shrubs (eg sessile oak, birch, site.

se line, lush ground vegetation with ome s.

seedlings of desirable species (measured t numbers in gaps, at the wood edge and/or

nt of the H91A0 habitat;

sing minimal damage to the H91A0 feature

H, soil nutrient status and fungal:bacterial

an un-compacted condition

evant Critical Load or Level values given for

| | | Illumination | Ensure artificial light is maintained at a level which is unlikely to affect natural phenological cycles and feature and its typical species at this site. |
|---------------|--|---|--|
| Clints Quarry | The extent and distribution of the | Overall Habitat Suitability Index score | Restore overall Great Crested Newt Habitat Suitability Index score to no less than 0.8 |
| | habitats of qualifying species | Presence of ponds | Maintain the 3 main breeding ponds and maintain up to 13 smaller more temporary ponds. Maintain th farmland known to support great crested newts and would be part of the same metapopulation |
| | The populations of qualifying species The structure and | Permanence of ponds | Restore the permanence of the three breeding ponds. Two of the three breeding ponds should hold was summer water depth 20cm. Ensure that smaller, temporary ponds are maintained within the site. |
| | function of the habitats of | Cover of macrophytes | Maintain or restore a high cover of macrophytes, typically between 25-100% of margin covered by mar of pond bottom/midwater/surface covered by submerged or floating species. |
| | qualifying species The supporting | Supporting terrestrial habitat | Maintain the quality of terrestrial habitat likely to be utilised by Great Crested Newts, with no fragmenta newt dispersal. |
| | processes on which the habitats of | Shading of ponds | Ensure pond perimeters are generally free of shade (< 25% of breeding ponds having > 20% of souther |
| | qualifying species | Presence of fish and wildfowl | Ensure that fish and wildfowl are absent from all ponds within the SAC. |
| | rely The distribution of | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Maintain the properties of the underlying soil types, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, s ratio, within typical values for the supporting habitat |
| | qualifying species within the site | Population abundance | Restore the abundance of the great crested newt population to a level which is at least 20% of the cou |
| | within the site | Population viability | Restore the presence of great crested newt eggs/juvenile eggs to all three breeding ponds |
| | | Supporting metapopulation | Maintain the connectivity of the SAC's great crested newt population with any associated metapopulati boundary) |
| | | Distribution of supporting habitat | Maintain the distribution and continuity of the feature's supporting habitat, including; Old quarry rubble which provides excellent summer hiding places and winter hibernation sites for newt Rough grassland, scrub and voids in the substrate which provide refuges that are shaded and capable |
| | | Extent of supporting habitat | Maintain the total extent of the habitats which support Great crested newt at 12.03ha as described abo |
| | | Conservation measures | Restore the management measures (either within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) wh structure, functions and supporting processes associated with the feature and its supporting habitats. |
| | | Adaptation and resilience | Restore the feature's ability, and that of its supporting habitat, to adapt or evolve to wider environmenta site |
| | | Air quality | Maintain or where necessary restore concentrations and deposition of air pollutants within the site-rele for this feature of the site on the Air Pollution Information System www.apis.ac.uk |
| | | Water quality | Maintain a suitably high quality of water within the ponds on site as indicated by; |
| | | | The presence of an abundant and diverse invertebrate community. Phosphorus levels should be below 0.12mg/I PO4-P. |
| | | | Nitrogen levels less than 2.0mg/I TON |
| | | | Conductivity <850µS/cm pH neutral to slightly alkaline |
| Duddon Mosses | Supplementary Advic | e for Qualifying Features: H7110 | Active raised bogs |
| | The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats The supporting processes on which qualifying natural | Extent of the feature within the site | Restore the H7110 feature over the whole site |
| | | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H7110 feature are referable to and characterised Classification types: |
| | | | M18 Erica tetralixSphagnum papillosum raised and blanket mire M2 Sphagnum cuspidatum/ recurvum |
| | | Structural diversity | Restore the full range of typical structural features associated with the H7110 feature at this site, e.g. v hydrological zonations |
| | | Key structural, influential and distinctive species | Restore the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component o 1. Mixed assemblage of typical bryophytes (predominantly Sphagnum spp), Cyperaceae and dwarf sh Caprimulgus uropaeus (not expected to be present in every year as this site is at the edge of its range) |

nd processes to the detriment of the H91A0

the presence of any ponds in adjacent

water at any one time with minimum

narginal and emergent species and 25 -75

entation of habitat by significant barriers to

thern margin solidly shaded).

H, soil nutrient status and fungal/bacterial

count for 4 consecutive years.

lations (either within or outside of the SAC

wts.

ble of retaining moisture.

above.

which are necessary to restore the

ntal change, either within or external to the

elevant Critical Load or Level values given

sed by the following National Vegetation

um bog pool community

. vegetation cover, surface patterning and

nt of H7110 Active Raised Bog habitat; shrubs (mainly Ericaceae) 2.Nightjar ge)

| | habitats and the habitats of qualifying species | | 4. Large heath butterfly Coenonympha tullia 5. Veilwort Pallavicinia lyellii 6. Assemblage of wet mire invertebrates (including bog bush cricket Metrioptera brachyptera and rafts) |
|---------------|---|---|--|
| | rely | Invasive, non-native and/or introduced species | Ensure invasive and introduced non-native species are either rare or absent, but if present are causing |
| | | Supporting off-site habitat | Restore the extent, quality and spatial configuration of land or habitat surrounding or adjacent to the |
| | | Hydrology | At a site level, restore natural hydrological processes to provide the conditions necessary to sustain th |
| | | Water chemistry | Maintain the surface water and groundwater supporting the hydrology of the H7110 feature at a low nu |
| | | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Restore the properties of the underlying peat, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, soil ne within typical values for H7110 Active Raised Bogs habitat |
| | | Adaptation and resilience | Restore the H7110 feature's ability, and that of its supporting processes, to adapt or evolve to wider er external to the site |
| | | Air quality | Restore as necessary the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the site relevation the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk) |
| | | Conservation measures | Maintain the management measures (either within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) we structure, functions and supporting processes associated with the H7110 feature |
| | Supplementary Advi | ce for Qualifying Features: H7120 | Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration |
| | Extent and distribution of the | Extent of the feature withinthe site | Avoid further degradation in the extent of the H7120feature, whilst restoring the H7120 feature to H717 |
| | feature. Structure and function (including its typical species) Supporting processes (on which the feature relies) | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Avoid any further degradation of the peat substrate of the H7120 feature and restore the properties of structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, soil nutrient status and fungal/bacterial ratio, to within typical v habitat |
| | | Vegetation community composition | Restore the component vegetation communities of the H7210 feature to those resembling and charact Vegetation Classification types typical of H7110 Active Raised Bog; M18 Erica tetralixSphagnum papil Sphagnum cuspidatum/Sphagnum recurvum bog pool community (mire expanse and rand) M4 Carex arex echinataSphagnum recurvum/ auriculatum mire M23 Juncus effusus/acutiflorus-Galium palustre caeruleaPotentilla erecta mire M27 Filipendula ulmariaAngelica sylvestris mire S4 Phragmites austral rostrataPotentilla palustris fen W5 Alnus glutinosa – Carex paniculate woodland W6 Alnus glutinosa – |
| | | Structural diversity | Restore the full range of structural features (e.g. vegetation cover, surface patterning and hydrological H7110 Active Raised Bog to the H7120 feature at this site |
| | | Key structural, influential and distinctive species | Restore the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component of species listed for the H7110 feature in table 1 above] |
| | | Invasive, non-native and/or introduced species | Ensure invasive and introduced non-native species are either rare or absent, and if present are not un feature |
| | | Supporting off-site habitat | Restore the extent, quality and spatial configuration of land or habitat surrounding or adjacent to the si restoration of the H7120 degraded bog feature |
| | | Hydrology | At a site level, restore natural hydrological processes to provide the water levels and conditions neces H7120 feature within the site and to enable its restoration to H7110 active raised bog |
| | | Water chemistry | Maintain the surface water and groundwater supporting the hydrology of the H7120 feature at a low nu |
| | | Air quality | Restore the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the siterelevant Critical Load the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk) |
| | | Conservation measures | Maintain the management measures (either within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) we structure, functions and supporting processes for restoration to H7110 Active Raised Bog |
| Naddle Forest | Supplementary Advi acidic oak woodland | | . Old sessile oak woods with Ilex and Blechnum in the British Isles; Western |
| | The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats | Extent of the feature within the site | Restore the total extent of the H91A0 feature to 197.2 hectares. |
| | | Spatial distribution of the feature within the site | Restore the distribution and configuration of the H91A0 feature, including where applicable its compon |

Ift spider Dolomedes fimbriatus sing minimal damage to the H7110 feature

ne site which supports the H7110 feature

the H7110 feature within the site

nutrient status

I nutrient status and fungal:bacterial ratio, to

r environmental change, either within or

evant Critical Load or Level values given for

which are necessary to restore the

7110 Active Raised Bog by 2035

of the underlying peat type, including its al values for H7110 Active Raised Bog

acterised by the following National apillosum raised and blanket mire M2 ex rostrataSphagnum recurvum mire M6 re rush-pasture M25 Molinia ralis swamp & reedbeds S27 Carex a – Urtica dioica woodland (lagg)

cal transitions) typically associated with

t of H7110 Active Raised Bog habitat; [see

undermining the restoration of the H7120

site which is known to support the

essary to prevent further degradation of the

nutrient status

ad or Level values given for this feature of

which are necessary to restore the

oonent vegetation types, across the site

| The structure and function (including | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H91A0 feature are referable to and characterise Classification types: |
|---|--|--|
| typical species) of qualifying natural habitats The supporting | | W9 Fraxinus excelsior – Sorbus aucuparia – Mercurialis perennis woodland, W11 Quercus petraea - E woodland, W17 Quercus petraea - Betula pubescens - Dicranum majus woodland, W4 Betula pubesce Alnus glutinosa - Fraxinus excelsior - Lysimachia nemorum woodland Maintain transitions between these NVC types and open heathland and mire communities |
| processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the | Vegetation structure - canopy cover | Maintain an appropriate tree canopy cover across the H91A0 feature, which will typically be at least 80 stands |
| habitats of qualifying species | Vegetation structure - open space | Maintain areas of permanent and temporary open space within the woodland typically to cover approx |
| rely | Vegetation structure – old growth | Maintain the extent and continuity of undisturbed, mature/old growth stands (typically comprising at leat time) and the assemblages of veteran and ancient trees (typically >10 trees per hectare). |
| | Vegetation structure - dead wood | Maintain the continuity and abundance of standing or fallen dead and decaying wood, typically 6 fallen standing dead trees per hectare |
| | Vegetation structure - age class distribution | Restore at least 3 age classes (pole stage/ medium/ mature) spread across the average life expectance |
| | Vegetation structure -shrub layer | Maintain an understorey (shrub layer of 2- 5metres in height) which covers at least 10% of total stand and at least 30% within the ashhazel stands on deeper soils. |
| | Vegetation structure – woodland edge | Restore a graduated woodland edge into adjacent semi-natural open habitats, other woodland/wood-p |
| | Adaptation and resilience | Maintain the resilience of the H91A0 feature by ensuring a diversity (at least 10 species) of sitenative t alder rowan, wych elm, cherry, willow) and a diversity (at least 5 species) of site-native shrubs (e.g. ha willow). |
| | Browsing and grazing by herbivores | Maintain grazing/browsing at a low level that allows a well-developed understorey of trees and shrubs |
| | Regeneration potential | Restore the potential for sufficient natural regeneration of desirable trees and shrubs as appropriate |
| | Tree and shrub species composition | Maintain a canopy and under-storey of which 95% is composed of site native trees and shrubs |
| | Key structural, influential and sitedistinctive species | Maintain the diversity and abundance of the species and species groups listed below to enable them to habitat; |
| | | Site-native tree and shrub species (as listed in adaptation and resilience section above) Ground flora r community types (including transitional types) as listed in vegetation community composition section a |
| | | Assemblages of lichens, bryophytes, ferns and breeding birds strongly characteristic of western acidic |
| | Invasive, nonnative and/or introduced species | Ensure invasive and introduced non-native species are either rare or absent, but if present are causing |
| | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Maintain the properties of the underlying soil types, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, ratio, to within typical values for the H91A0 habita |
| | Root zones of ancient trees | Maintain the soil structure within and around the root zones of the mature and ancient tree cohort in an un-compacted conditio |
| | Air quality | Restore the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to within the site-relevant Critical Load or L of the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk) |
| | Hydrology | At a site, unit and/or catchment level, maintain natural hydrological processes to provide the conditions within the site |
| | Illumination | Ensure artificial light is maintained at a level which is unlikely to affect natural phenological cycles and feature and its typical species at this site. |
| | Conservation measures | Maintain the management measures (either within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) where the structure, functions and supporting processes associated with the H91A0 feature |
| | e for Qualifying Features: H4030. d with cross-leaved heath | European dry heaths; H4010. Northern Atlantic wet heaths with Erica |
| | Extent of the feature within the | Maintain the total extent of the H4030 feature at 55 hectares. Maintain the total extent of the H4010 feature |

ised by the following National Vegetation

- Betula pubescens - Oxalis acetosella scensMolinia caerulea woodland and W7

80% canopy cover within the woodland

oximately 20% of area

least 20% of the H91A0 feature at any one

len trees >30cm per hectare, and >6

ancy of the commonest trees.

nd area within the oak and wet woodlands

d-pasture types or scrub.

ve trees (eg oak, birch, holly, ash, sycamore, hazel, hawthorn, blackthorn, juniper,

DS

to be a viable component of the H91A0

a representative of relevant NVC nabove.

dic oak woodland

sing minimal damage to the H91A0 feature

H, soil nutrient status and fungal:bacterial

Level values given for this H91A0 feature

ons necessary to sustain the H91A0 feature

nd processes to the detriment of the H91A0

which are necessary to maintain or restore

feature at 12.64 hectares

| | Extent and distribution of the feature. Structure and function (including its typicalspecies). | Spatial distribution of the feature within the site | Maintain the distribution and configuration of the H4030 and H4010 features, including where applicab across the sit |
|---|---|---|--|
| | | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H4030 feature are referable to and characterised Classification types: H12 Calluna vulgaris-Vaccinium myrtillis heath and H10 Calluna vulgaris- Erica ci vegetation communities of the H4010 feature are referable to and characterised by the following Nation Tricophorum cespitosum – Erica terralix wet heath |
| | Structure and function (including its Typical species) | Vegetation community transitions | Restore areas of transition between the features and communities which form other heathland-association fen, scrub and woodland. |
| | Supporting processes (on | Vegetation structure: cover of dwarf shrubs | Restore an overall cover of dwarf shrub species of at least 50% of the H4030 and H4010 features |
| | which the feature relies). | Vegetation composition: bracken cover | Restore a low cover of dense bracken (typically <10%) to the H4030 and H4010 features |
| | | Vegetation structure: tree cover | Maintain the broadly open character of the H4030 and H4010 features, with a scattered cover of native trees and scrub (<2 |
| | | Vegetation structure: heather age structure | Maintain a diverse and natural age structure amongst the ericaceous shrubs typically found on the site |
| | | Vegetation: undesirable species | Maintain the frequency/cover of the following undesirable species to within acceptable levels and prevenutrient levels or hydrology which may encourage their spread: Agricultural weeds, Rhododendron. |
| | | Key structural, influential and/or site distinctive species | Maintain the abundance of the species or species groups listed below to enable each of them to be a v H4010 habitats; Dwarf shrubs as listed above Petty whin Genista anglica, Juniper Juniperus communi- species strongly characteristic of the heathland features Assemblage of bird species strongly characte Eriophorum angustifolium, deer-grass Trichophorum cespitosum, bog asphodel Narthecium ossifragur Pinguicula spp |
| | | Functional connectivity with wider landscape | Restore the overall extent, quality and function of any supporting features within the local landscape w connection with the site |
| | | Adaptation and resilience | Restore the H4030 and H4010 feature's ability, and that of its supporting processes, to adapt or evolve within or external to the site |
| | | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Maintain the properties of the underlying soil types, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, s ratio, to within typical values for the H4030 and H4010 habitats. |
| | | Conservation measures | Maintain the management measures (either within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) wh structure, functions and supporting processes associated with the H4030 and H4010 features |
| | | Air quality | Restore the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to within the site-relevant Critical Load or Le H4010 features of the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk). |
| | | Water quality | Where the feature is dependent on surface water and/or groundwater, maintain water quality and quar necessary conditions to support the H4010 feature |
| | | Hydrology | At a site, unit and/or catchment level maintain natural hydrological processes to provide the conditions within the site |
| Rixton Clay Pits | Rixton Clay Pits The structure and function of the | Overall Habitat Suitability Index score | For this SAC, maintain an overall Great Crested Newt (GCN) Habitat Suitability Index score of no less |
| qual The proc the h qual rely The | habitats of | Presence of ponds | Maintain the number of ponds present within the site (7 breeding ponds within site) |
| | qualifying species The supporting | Permanence of ponds | Maintain the permanence of water within ponds present within the site |
| | processes on which the habitats of qualifying species | Cover of macrophytes | Maintain a high cover of macrohytes, typically between 50-80%, within ponds |
| | | Supporting terrestrial habitat | Maintain the quality of terrestrial habitat likely to be utilised by Great Crested Newts, with no fragmenta newt dispersal |
| | | Shading of ponds | Ensure breeding pond perimeters are generally free of shade (typically no more than 60% cover of the |
| | The populations of qualifying species The extent and distribution of the | Presence of fish and wildfowl | Ensure fish and wildfowl are absent or rare in all ponds |
| | | Water quality | Maintain the quality of pond waters within the site as indicated by the presence of an abundant and div |
| | | Conservation measures | Maintain the management measures (either within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) wh structure, functions and supporting processes associated with the great crested newt feature and/or its |

cable their component vegetation types, sed by the following National Vegetation cinerea heathland Ensure the component tional Vegetation Classification type: 15 ciated habitats, such as wet heath, mires, <25% cover) ite. event changes in surface condition, soils, a viable component of the H4030 and unis Assemblage of lichen and bryophyte cteristic of heathland features Cotton-grass gum, sundew Drosera rotundifolia butterwort which provide a critical functional olve to wider environmental change, either H, soil nutrient status and fungal:bacterial which are necessary to restore the Level values given for this H4030 and uantity to a standard which provides the ons necessary to sustain the H4010 feature ss than 0.8

ntation of habitat by significant barriers to

he shoreline)

diverse invertebrate community.

which are necessary to maintain the r its supporting habitats.

| | habitats of qualifying species The distribution of qualifying species within the site | Adaptation and resilience | Maintain the feature's ability, and that of its supporting habitat, to adapt or evolve to wider environmen site |
|--------------|--|--|--|
| | | Air quality | Maintain or restore, where necessary, concentrations and deposition of air pollutants at or below the s given for this feature of the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk) |
| | within the site | Population abundance | Maintain the abundance of the Great Crested Newt population at a level which is consistently above 5 deterioration from its current level as indicated by the latest mean peak count or equivalent. |
| | | Population viability | Maintain the presence of great crested newt eggs in breeding ponds at a level which is likely to mainta above its target level. |
| | | Supporting metapopulation | Maintain the connectivity of the SAC's great crested newt population with any associated meta-popula boundary) |
| | | Distribution of supporting habitat | Maintain the distribution and continuity of the great crested newt's supporting habitat, including where types and associated transitional vegetation types, across the site |
| Roudsea Wood | Supplementary Advic | ce for Qualifying Features: H7110 | Active raised bogs (Priority feature) |
| & Mosses | The extent and distribution of | Extent of the feature within the site | Restore the total extent of the H7110 feature to about 385 hectares |
| | qualifying natural habitats | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H7110 feature are referable to and characterise Classification types: |
| | The structure and function (including | | M18 Erica tetralix-Sphagnum papillosum raised and blanket mire |
| | typical species) of | | M2 Sphagnum cuspidatum/Sphagnum recurvum bog pool community |
| | qualifying natural habitats | Structural diversity | Restore the full range of typical structural features associated with the H7110 feature at this site, e.g. why hydrological zonations |
| | The supporting processes on which | Key structural, influential or | Restore the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component of |
| | qualifying natural | distinctive species | Mixed assemblage of typical bryophytes (predominantly Sphagnum spp), Cyperaceae and dwarf shrul Nightjar Caprimulgus europaeus (at the edge of its range), adder Vipera berus, large heath butterfly C |
| | habitats rely | | Assemblage of bog invertebrates including bog bush cricket Metrioptera brachyptera, raft spider Dolor Coenophila subrosea; The lagg on the western side of Deer Dike Moss supports large yellow-sedge C |
| | | Invasive, non-native and/or introduced species | Ensure invasive and introduced non-native species are either rare or absent, but if present are causing |
| | | Supporting off-site habitat | Restore the extent, quality and spatial configuration of land or habitat surrounding or adjacent to the si feature |
| | | Hydrology | At a site level, restore natural hydrological processes to provide the conditions necessary to sustain the |
| | | Water chemistry | Maintain the surface water and groundwater supporting the hydrology of the rain-fed bog at a low nutr |
| | | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Restore the properties of the underlying peat type, including its structure, bulk density, total carbon, pl ratio, to within typical values for H7110 Active Raised Bog habitat |
| | | Adaptation and resilience | Restore the H7110 feature's ability, and that of its supporting processes, to adapt or evolve to wider external to the site |
| | | Air quality | Restore as necessary, the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the site-relevant the H7110 feature of the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk) |
| | | Conservation measures | Maintain the management measures within and outside the site boundary which are necessary to rest supporting processes associated with the H7110 feature |
| | Supplementary Advic | ce for Qualifying Features: H7120 | Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration |
| | The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats The structure and function (including typical species) of | Extent of the feature within the site | Avoid the further degradation of the extent of the H7120 feature, whilst restoring the H7120 feature to |
| | | Vegetation community composition | Restore the component vegetation communities of the H7210 feature to those resembling and charact Vegetation Classification type(s) typical of H7110 Active Raised Bog; M2 Sphagnum cuspidatum/ recutetralix – Sphagnum papillosum raised and blanket mire |
| | | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Avoid the further degradation of the peat substrate of the H7120 feature and restore its properties, inc carbon, pH, soil nutrient status and fungal/bacterial ratio, to within typical values for H7110 Active Rais |
| • | • | • | |

ental change, either within or external to the

site-relevant Critical Load or Level values

500 individuals, whilst avoiding

ntain the abundance of the population at or

ulations (either within or outside of the site

re applicable its component vegetation

ised by the following National Vegetation

g. vegetation cover, surface patterning and

t of the H7110 Annex 1 habitat; rrubs (mainly Ericaceae);

Coenonympha tullia;

lomedes fimbriatus and rosy marsh moth e Carex flava at one of its few sites in Britain

sing minimal damage to the H7110 feature

e site which is known to support the H7110

the H7110 feature within the site

utrient status

pH, soil nutrient status and fungal/bacterial

r environmental change, either within or

evant Critical Load or Level values given for

estore the structure, functions and

to H7110 Active Raised Bog by 2035

acterised by the following National ecurvum bog pool community M18 Erica

including its structure, bulk density, total aised Bog habitat

| qualifying natural habitats | Structural diversity | Restore the full range of structural features (vegetation cover, surface patterning and hydrological zon Active Raised Bog to the H7120 feature at this site. | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats rely | Key structural, influential or distinctive species | Restore the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component Mixed assemblage of typical bryophytes (predominantly Sphagnum spp), Cyperaceae and dwarf shru Nightjar Caprimulgus europaeus (present at the edge of its UK range), adder Vipera berus, large heat Assemblage of invertebrates including bog bush cricket Metrioptera brachyptera, raft spider Dolomede Coenophila subrosea; The lagg on the western side of Deer Dike Moss supports Large yellow-sedge Carex flava at one of its | | | |
| | Invasive, non-native and/or introduced species | Ensure invasive and introduced non-native species are either rare or absent, and if present are causin | | | |
| | Hydrology | At a site level, restore natural hydrological processes to provide the conditions necessary to restore H | | | |
| | Water chemistry | Maintain the surface water and groundwater supporting the hydrology of the rain-fed bog at a low nutr | | | |
| | Air quality | Restore the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the site-relevant Critical Load the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk). | | | |
| | Conservation measures | Maintain the management measures (either within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) we structure, functions and supporting processes for restoration to H7110 Active Raised Bog | | | |
| Supplementary Advice | Supplementary Advice for Qualifying Features: H9180 Tilio-Acerion forests of slopes, screes and ravines (priority feature) | | | | |
| The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats | Extent of the feature within the site | Maintain the total extent of the H9180 feature at around 18 hectares, and, Maintain the total extent of the H9180 feature, including; • Wet woodland on acid peats • Dry acid woodland on Silurian Shales | | | |
| The structure and function (including typical species) of | Spatial distribution of the feature within the site | Maintain the distribution and configuration of the H9180 feature, including where applicable its comport across the site | | | |
| qualifying natural habitats | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H9180 feature are referable to and characterise Classification types; | | | |
| The supporting | | W8/9 (including yew groves that may be mapped as W13) | | | |
| processes on which qualifying natural habitats rely | Vegetation structure - canopy cover | Maintain an appropriate tree canopy cover across the feature, which will typically be between 40-90% | | | |
| | Vegetation structure - open space | Maintain areas of permanent/temporary open space within the H9180 woodland feature, typically to co | | | |
| | Vegetation structure - old growth | Maintain the extent and continuity of undisturbed, mature/old growth stands (typically comprising at leat time) and the cohort of veteran and ancient trees (typically >10 trees per hectare). | | | |
| | Vegetation structure - dead wood | Restore the continuity and abundance of standing or fallen dead and decaying wood, typically between fallen timber or 3-5 fallen trees >30cm per hectare, and >10 standing dead trees per hectare | | | |
| | Vegetation structure - age class distribution | structure - age Restore at least 3 age classes (pole stage/ medium/ mature) spread across the average life e ution | | | |
| | Vegetation structure - shrub layer | Maintain an understorey of shrubs covering 20 - 60% of the woodland stand area | | | |
| | Vegetation structure - woodland edge | Maintain a graduated woodland edge from the H9180 feature into adjacent semi-natural open habitats and wet woodland | | | |
| | Adaptation and resilience | Maintain the resilience of the H9180 feature by ensuring a diversity of site-native trees (at least 4 site- lime/ sycamore/ rowan/ bird cherry/ birch) is present across the site | | | |
| | Browsing and grazing by herbivores | Restore browsing to a (low) level that allows well developed understorey with no obvious browse line, grazing sensitive species evident (bramble, ivy etc), and tree seedlings and sapling common in gaps | | | |
| | Regeneration potential | Restore the potential for sufficient natural regeneration of desirable trees and shrubs; typically tree see by seedlings and <1.3m saplings - above grazing and browsing height) should be visible in sufficient n as regrowth as appropriate. | | | |
| | Key structural, influential or distinctive species | Maintain the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component of Species defining the component NVC types of W8 and W9 types which include; ash Fraxinus excelsion avellana; yew Taxus baccata falsebrome Brachpodium sylvaticum; pignut Conopodium majus; meador | | | |

onations) typically associated with H7110

- nt of H7110 Active Raised Bogs;
- rubs (mainly Ericaceae);
- eath butterfly Coenonympha tullia; edes fimbriatus and rosy marsh moth
- f its few sites in Britain
- sing minimal damage to the feature
- H7120 degraded bog to H7110 active bog. utrient status.
- oad or Level values given for this feature of
- which are necessary to restore the
- of woodland types present in transition with
- ponent and transitional vegetation types,
- sed by the following National Vegetation
- 0% of the H9180 feature
- cover approximately 10% of area
- least 20% of the H9180 feature at any one
- een 30 50 m³ per hectare of standing or
- ancy of the commonest trees
- ats, such as bog, river margin and acidic
- te-native tree species e.g. ash/ small-leaved
- e, & lush ground vegetation with some s
- seedlings of desirable species (measured t numbers in gaps, at the wood edge and/or
- nt of the H9180 habitat; sior; oak Quercus robur; hazel Corylus dowsweet Filipendula ulmaria; Herb Robert

| bramble Rubus fruticosus; common dogviolet Viola Distinctive flora of this feature; small-leaved lime Ti fingered sedge Carex digitata wild service-tree Sort Distinctive fauna of this feature; hazel dormouse Ma | ilia cordata wych elm Ulmus glabra wild cherry Prunus avium spindle Euonymus europaeus |
|---|--|
| fingered sedge Carex digitata wild service-tree Sort Distinctive fauna of this feature; hazel dormouse M Rare and threatened fungi; Cortinarius praestans S | |
| Rare and threatened fungi; Cortinarius praestans S | |
| | |
| | Strobilomyces floccopus Hygrocybe calyptriformis Limacella glioderma Russula lundellii |
| | rt Cololejeunea rossettiana Lesser Striated Feathermoss Eurhynchium (Plasteurhynchium) |
| Invasive, non-native and/or introduced species Ensure invasive and introduced non-native species | s are either rare or absent, but if present are causing minimal damage to the H9180 feature |
| Soils, substrate and nutrient cyclingMaintain the properties of the underlying soil types, ratio, to within typical values for the H9180 habitat. | , including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, soil nutrient status and fungal :bacterial |
| Functional connectivity Maintain the overall with wider landscape extent, querification functional connection with the site | uality and function of any supporting features within the local landscape which provide a |
| Air quality Restore as necessary, the concentrations and depotentiation in the site on the Air Pollution Information | osition of air pollutants to at or below the site relevant Critical Load or Level values given for ion System (www.apis.ac.uk |
| Hydrology At a site, unit and/or catchment level, maintain nature within the site | ural hydrological processes to provide the conditions necessary to sustain the H9180 feature |
| feature and its typical species at this site | is unlikely to affect natural phenological cycles and processes to the detriment of the H9180 |
| Supplementary Advice for Qualifying Features: H9110 Taxus baccata woods of the British Isles (Priority fea | |
| The extent and distribution of site Site Maintain the total extent of the H9110 feature at 2.4 | 43 hectares |
| qualifying natural habitatsSpatial distribution of the feature within the siteMaintain the distribution and configuration of the HSThe structure andSpatial distribution of the siteThe structure and | 9110 feature, including where applicable its component vegetation types, across the site |
| Interstructure and function (including typical species) of qualifying naturalVegetation community compositionEnsure the component vegetation communities of the Classification types; W8/9 or W13 (yew groves may be mapped as W13) | the H9110 feature are referable to and characterised by the following National Vegetation |
| habitats Vegetation structure - canopy Maintain an appropriate tree canopy cover across to cover | the H9110 feature, which will typically be between 40-100% |
| processes on which qualifying natural habitats rely | nature/old growth stands (typically comprising at least 50% of the feature at any one time) (typically >10 trees per hectare). |
| Vegetation structure - dead wood Maintain the continuity and abundance of standing fallen timber or 3-5 fallen trees >30cm per hectare | or fallen dead and decaying wood, typically between 30 - 50 m3 per hectare of standing or |
| Vegetation structure – age class distribution Restore at least 2 age classes (eg pole stage, mature) | ure, veteran) spread across the average life expectancy of the trees |
| Vegetation structure – shrub Maintain an understorey of shrubs that is sparse un layer | nder the yew canopy |
| Vegetation structure – Woodland edge (graduated edge; buffered; mosaics with other habitats) | t semi-natural open habitats, such as bog, upper estuarine habitats and river margin. |
| Adaptation and resilience Restore the resilience of the H9110 feature by ensure a scattering of one or more of whitebeam, ash, birch | uring a diversity of site-native tree species; although yew dominates, this can be provided by ch, sycamore and oak. |
| | ation of desirable trees and shrubs; typically tree seedlings of desirable species (measured and browsing height) should be visible in sufficient numbers in gaps, at the wood edge and/or |
| Tree and shrub species composition Maintain a canopy and understorey of which 95% is | s composed of site native trees and shrubs |
| Key structural, influential or distinctive species Maintain the abundance of the species listed below | v to enable each of them to be a viable component of the H9110 Annex 1 habitat; |

| | | | Yew Taxus baccata All species listed in Table 3 as key species can also occur with yew | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| | | Invasive, nonnative and/or introduced species | Ensure invasive and introduced non-native species are either rare or absent, but if present are causing minimal damage to the H9110 feature | | |
| | | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Maintain the properties of the underlying soil types, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, soil nutrient status and fungal:bacterial ratio, to within typical values for the H9110 habitat | | |
| | | Functional connectivity with wider landscape | Maintain the overall extent, quality and function of any supporting features within the local landscape which provide a critical functional connection with the site the site | | |
| | | Air quality | Restore as necessary, the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the site-relevant Critical Load or Level values given for this H9110 feature of the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk) | | |
| | | Hydrology | Maintain natural hydrological processes to provide the conditions necessary to sustain the H9110 feature within the site | | |
| | | Illumination | Ensure artificial light is maintained at a level which is unlikely to affect natural phenological cycles and processes to the detriment of the H9110 feature and its typical species at this site | | |
| South Solway | Supplementary Advid | upplementary Advice for Qualifying Features: H7110. Active raised bogs | | | |
| function (includir typical species) of qualifying natural habitats The supporting processes on wh the qualifying natural habitats Supplementary / The extent and distribution of | distribution of | Extent of the feature within the site | Maintain the total extent of the H7110 feature at approximately 1504 hectares | | |
| | habitats The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H7110 feature are referable to and characterised by the following National Vegetation Classification types: M18 Erica tetralixSphagnum papillosum raised and blanket mire M2 Sphagnum cuspidatum/Sphag num recurvum bog pool community Bog Woodland as described by nvc communities: W18 Pinus sylvestris – Hylocomium splendens woodland and W4c Betula pubescens – Molinia caerulea woodland, Sphagnum subcommunity | | |
| | processes on which | Structural diversity | Maintain the full range of typical structural features associated with the H7110 feature at this site, e.g. vegetation cover, surface patterning, pools and hydrological zonations | | |
| | the qualifying natural habitats rely | Invasive, nonnative and/or introduced species | Ensure invasive and introduced non-native species are either rare or absent, but if present are causing minimal damage to the H7110 feature | | |
| | | Supporting off-site habitat | Maintain the extent, quality and spatial configuration of land or habitat surrounding or adjacent to the site which is known to support the H7110 feature | | |
| | | Hydrology | At a site level, restore natural hydrological processes to provide the Conditions necessary to sustain the H7110 feature within the site | | |
| | | Key structural, influential or distinctive species | Restore the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component of the H7110 Annex 1 habitat; Peat-forming species including hyophytes (predominantly Sphagnum spp), Cyperaceae (cotton grasses) and dwarf shrubs (mainly Ericaceae), Bog rosemary Andromeda polifolia, common and intermediate sundew Drosera rotundifolia and Intermedia Empetrum nigrum, Bog Asphodel Narthecium ossifragum and Cranberry Vaccinium oxycoccos Underlying SSSI Breeding bird Assemblage large heath butterfly Coenonympha tullia | | |
| | | Water chemistry | Maintain the surface water and groundwater supporting the hydrology of the rain-fed bog at a low nutrient status | | |
| | | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Maintain the properties of the underlying soil types, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, soil nutrient status and fungal:bacterial ratio, to within typical values for the H7110 habitat. | | |
| | | Adaptation and resilience | Restore the H7110 feature's ability, and that of its supporting processes, to adapt or evolve to wider environmental change, either within or external to the site | | |
| | | Air quality | Maintain the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants at or below the siterelevant Critical Load or Level values given for the H7110 feature of the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk) | | |
| | | Conservation measures | Maintain the management measures (either within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) which are necessary to maintain the structure, functions and supporting processes associated with the H7110 feature | | |
| | Supplementary Advice for Qualifying Features: H7120. Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration | | Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration | | |
| | distribution of | Extent of the feature within the site | Restrict the further degradation of the extent of the H7120 feature, whilst restoring 452 hectares of the H7120 feature to H7110 Active Raised Bog | | |
| | qualifying natural habitats | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Restrict further degradation of the peat substrate of the H7120 feature and restore the properties of the underlying peat type, including its structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, soil nutrient status and fungal/bacterial ratio, to within typical values for H7110 Active Raised Bog habitat. | | |

| | The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats | Vegetation community composition | Restore the component vegetation communities of the H7210 feature to those resembling and charact Vegetation Classification type(s) typical of H7110 Active Raised Bog as listed above |
|--|---|---|---|
| | | Structural diversity | Restore the full range of structural features (e.g. vegetation cover, surface patterning and hydrological H7110 Active Raised Bog to the H7120 feature at this site. |
| | The supporting processes on which | Key structural, influential or distinctive species | Restore the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to become a viable compor degraded Annex 1 habitat; |
| | the qualifying natural habitats rely | | Peat-forming species including typical bryophytes (predominantly Sphagnum spp), Cyperaceae (cotton Ericaceae), |
| | | | Willow tit Poecile montanus, White faced darter dragonfly Leucorrhinia dubia Marsh fritillary butterfly Euphydryas aurinia Underlying SSSI Breeding bird Assemblage |
| | | Invasive, nonnative and/or introduced species | Ensure invasive and introduced non-native species are either rare or absent, and if present are not un feature |
| | | Supporting off-site habitat | Restore the extent, quality and spatial configuration of land or habitat surrounding or adjacent to the si restoration of the H7120 raised bog feature |
| | | Hydrology | At a site level, restore natural hydrological processes to provide the water levels and conditions necession H7120 feature within the site and to enable its restoration to H7110 active raised bog |
| | | Water chemistry | Maintain the surface water and groundwater supporting the hydrology of the rain-fed bog at a low nutri |
| | | Adaptation and resilience | Restrict the further degradation of the H7120 feature's ability, and that of its supporting processes, to e evolve to wider environmental change, either within or external to the site |
| | | Air quality | Maintain as necessary, the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the site-relevation this feature of the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk) |
| | | Conservation measures | Maintain the management measures (either within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) wh structure, functions and supporting processes for restoration to H7110 Active Raised Bog |
| Subberthwaite, | Supplementary Advic | ce for Qualifying Features: H7140. | Transition mires and quaking bogs and H7150. Depressions on peat substrates of the Rhynchosporion |
| Blawith & Torver Low Commons Tyne & Nent | The extent and distribution of | Extent of the feature within the site | Restore the combined total extent of the H7140 and H7150 features to 308 ha |
| Tyne & Nent | qualifying natural habitats The structure and | Spatial distribution of the feature within the site | Maintain the distribution and configuration of the H7140 and H7150 features, including here applicable across the site |
| | function (including typical species) of | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H7140 and H7150 features include and are cha Vegetation Classification |
| | qualifying natural | | types; |
| | habitats | | M1 Sphagnum auriculatum bog pools M2 Sphagnum cuspidatum/ recurvum bog pools |
| | The supporting processes on which the qualifying natural habitats rely | | M2 Sphaghum cuspidatum/ recurvum bog pools M4 Carex rostrata – Sphagnum recurvum mire |
| | | | M5 Carex rostrata – Sphagnum squarrosum mire |
| | | | M6 Carex echinata – Sphagnum recurvum/auriculatum mire |
| | | | M8 Carex rostrata – Sphagnum warnstorfii mire |
| | | | M9 Carex rostrata – Calliergon cuspidatum/giganteum mire |
| | | | M10 Carex dioica – Pinguicula vulgaris mire |
| | | | M17 Scirpus cespitosus – Eriophorum vaginatum blanket Mire M21 Narthecium ossifragum – Sphagnum papillosum valley mire |
| | | | M29 Hypericum elodes – Potamogeton polygonifolius soakway |
| | | | M30 Related vegetation of seasonally inundated habitats |
| | | | S27 Carex rostrata – Potentilla palustris tall herb fen |
| | | Key structural, influential and | Restore the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component of |
| | | site distinctive species: flora and fauna | polifolia, Angelica sylvestris, Calluna vulgaris, Caltha palustris, Cardamine pratensis, Carex diandra, C small to medium sized spp, Drosera spp, Epilobium palustre, Equisetum fluviatile, Equisetum fluviatile, Eriophorum vaginatum, Galium palustre, Hydrocotyle vulgaris, Hypericum elodes, Lysimachia vulgaris Menyanthes trifoliata, Myrica gale, Narthecium ossifragum, Non-crustose lichens, Phragmites australis |

acterised by the following National

cal transitions) typically associated with

oonent of the H7110 active rather than

ton grasses) and dwarf shrubs (mainly

undermining the restoration of the H7120

site which is known to support the

essary to prevent further degradation of the

utrient status.

o ensure that the feature can adapt or

evant Critical Load or Level values given for

which are necessary to restore the

on

ble their component vegetation types,

naracterised by the following National

t of the Annex 1 habitats; Andromeda , Carex nigra, Carex rostrata, Carex spp: ile, Erica spp, Eriophorum angustifolium, ris, Lythrum salicaria, Mentha aquatica, alis, Pleurocarpous mosses, Potamogeton

| | | | polygonifolius, Potentilla palustris, Racomitrium lanuginosum, Rhynchospora alba, Selaginella selagin Trichophorum cespitosum, Vaccinium spp, Valeriana dioica, Viola palustris |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| | | invasive, nonnative and/or introduced species | Ensure invasive and introduced on-native species are either rare or absent, but if present are causing |
| | | Presence/cover of woody species | Maintain a low cover (<10% of the area) of scrub or trees within stands of H7140 and H7150 |
| | | Exposed substrate | Maintain a low cover of exposed substrate of between 5-10% across the H7140 and H7150 features |
| | | Hydrology | At a site, unit and/or catchment level, restore natural hydrological processes to provide the conditions the site |
| | | Water chemistry | Maintain the surface water and groundwater supporting the hydrology of the two features at a low nutr |
| | | Hydrology | Maintain a high piezometric head and permanently high water table (allowing for natural seasonal fluct mires. |
| | | Adaptation and resilience | Maintain the feature's ability, and that of its supporting processes, to adapt or evolve to wider environn the site |
| | | Air quality | Restore the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to within the site-relevant Critical Load or L the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk). |
| | | Conservation measures | Restore those management measures (either within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) v structure, functions and supporting processes associated with the two features |
| | The extent and distribution of | Extent of the feature within the site | Maintain the total extent of the H6130 feature at approximately 15 hectares and as part of a matrix with the site |
| | qualifying natural habitats | Spatial distribution of the feature within the site | Maintain the distribution and configuration of the H6130 feature, including where applicable its compor |
| | The structure and function (including typical species) of | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H6130 feature are referable to and characterise Classification type; OV37 sheep's-fescue Festuca ovina – spring sandwort Minuartia verna grassland |
| | qualifying natural habitats The supporting | Key structural, influential and/or distinctive species | Maintain the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component of maritima, Pyrenean scurvy-grass Cochleria pyrenaica, spring sandwort Minuartia verna, sea campion maritima), alpine pennycress Thlaspi caerulescens, mountain pansy Viola lutea, moonwort Botrychium |
| | processes on which the qualifying | Manatatian, un de sinch la | Assemblage of lichens associated with river hingle and metal-rich spoil |
| | natural habitats rely | Vegetation: undesirable species | Maintain the frequency/cover of the following undesirable species to within acceptable levels and prev nutrient levels or hydrology which may encourage their spread. |
| | | | Cow parsley Anthriscus sylvestris, thistles Cirsium arvense, Cirsium vulgare, Hogweed Heracleum spł coarse grasses eg Arrhenatherum elatius, Holcus lanatus; woody species |
| | | Vegetation community transitions | Maintain the pattern of naturally occurring zonations and transitions between the H6130 feature and of |
| | | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Maintain the properties of the underlying soil types, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, concentration and fungal:bacterial ratio, to within typical values for the H6130 habitat. |
| | | Hydrology: Flooding regime | Maintain the timing, frequency, extent and duration of surface flooding as appropriate to the maintenar |
| | | Functional connectivity with wider landscape | Maintain the overall extent, quality and function of any supporting features within the local landscape v connection with the H6130 habitat |
| | | Adaptation and resilience | Maintain the H6130 feature's ability, and that of its supporting processes, to adapt or evolve to wider e external to the ite |
| | | Air quality | Maintain the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the site-relevant Critical Loa the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk) |
| | | Conservation measures | Maintain the management measures (either within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) whe structure, functions and supporting processes associated with the H6130 feature |
| Ullswater Oakwoods | The extent and distribution of | Extent of the feature within the site | Restore the total extent of the H91A0 feature to 122.31 hectares. |
| | qualifying natural habitats | Spatial distribution of the feature within the site | Restore the distribution and configuration of the H91A0 feature, including where applicable its compor |

inoides, Sphagnum spp, Succisa pratensis,

ng minimal damage to the features

ns necessary to sustain the features within

utrient status.

actuations) on groundwater dependent

nmental change, either within or external to

Level values given for these features of

e) which are necessary to restore the

vith other vegetation types occurring within

oonent vegetation types, across the site

sed by the following National Vegetation d

nt of the H6130 habitat; Thrift Armeria on Silene uniflora (= Silene vulgaris ssp um lunaria

event changes in surface condition, soils,

phondylium, Common nettle Urtica dioica,

other vegetation

I, soil nutrient status, heavy metal

ance/restoration of the H6130 feature

which provide a critical functional

environmental change, either within or

bad or Level values given for this feature of

which are necessary to maintain or restore

onent vegetation types, across the site

| 1003363 | The extent and distribution of | Extent of the feature within the site | Restore the H7110 feature over the whole site |
|-----------------------|--|--|---|
| Witherslack Mosses | | ce for Qualifying Features: H7110. | |
| | | Conservation measures | Maintain the management measures (either within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) we the structure, functions and supporting processes associated with the H91A0 feature |
| | | Illumination | Ensure artificial light is maintained at a level which is unlikely to affect natural phenological cycles and feature and its typical species at this site. |
| | | Hydrology | At a site, unit and/or catchment level, maintain natural hydrological processes to provide the condition within the site |
| | | Air quality | Restore the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to within the site-relevant Critical Load or L of the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk) |
| | | Root zones of ancient trees | Maintain the soil structure within and round the root zones of the mature and ancient tree cohort in an |
| | | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Maintain the properties of the underlying soil types, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, ratio, to within typical values for the H91A0 habitat. |
| | | Invasive, nonnative and/or introduced species | Ensure invasive and introduced non-native species are either rare or absent, but if present are causin |
| | | | Ground flora representative of relevant NVC community types (including transitional types) as listed in section above. Assemblages of lichens, bryophytes, ferns and breeding birds associated with western oak woods |
| | | Key structural, influential and site distinctive species | Maintain the diversity and abundance of the species and species groups listed below to enable them thabitat; Tree and shrub species (as listed in adaptation and resilience section above) |
| | | Tree and shrub species composition | Maintain a canopy and under-storey of which 95% is composed of site-native trees and shrubs |
| | | Regeneration potential | Restore the potential for sufficient natural regeneration of desirable trees and shrubs |
| | | Browsing and grazing by herbivores | Maintain browsing at a low level that allows well developed understorey |
| | | Adaptation and resilience | Maintain the resilience of the H91A0 feature by ensuring a diversity (at least 10 species) of site native sycamore, alder rowan, wych elm, cherry, willow) and a diversity (at least 5 species) of site-native shr juniper, willow) across the site. |
| | | Vegetation structure – woodland edge | Restore a graduated woodland edge into adjacent semi-natural open habitats, other woodland/wood-r |
| | | Vegetation structure - shrub layer | Maintain an understorey (shrub layer of 2- 5metres) covering at least 10% of total stand area within th the mixed stands with ash-hazel on deeper soils. |
| | | Vegetation structure -age class distribution | Restore at least 3 age classes (pole stage/ medium/ mature) spread across the average life expectant |
| | | Vegetation structure - dead wood | Maintain the continuity and abundance of standing or fallen dead and decaying wood, typically 6 faller standing dead trees per hectare |
| | | Vegetation structure – old growth | Maintain the extent and continuity of undisturbed, mature/old growth stands (typically comprising at least time) and the assemblages of veteran and ancient trees (typically >10 trees per hectare). |
| | | Vegetation structure - open space | Maintain areas of permanent and temporary open space within the woodland typically to cover approx |
| | processes on which the qualifying natural habitats rely | Vegetation structure - canopy cover | Maintain an appropriate tree canopy cover across the H91A0 feature, which will typically be at least 80 stands |
| | habitats The supporting | | W9 Fraxinus excelsior – Sorbus aucuparia – Mercurialis perennis woodland, and W7 Alnus glutinosa nemorum woodland |
| | function (including typical species) of qualifying natural | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H91A0 feature are referable to and characterise Classification types: W11 Quercus petraea - Betula pubescens - Oxalis acetosella woodland, W17 Qu Dicranum majus woodland Maintain transitions between these NVC types and other woodland types reflecting different soil types |

ised by the following National Vegetation Quercus petraea - Betula pubescens -

es, especially transitions to; a - Fraxinus excelsior - Lysimachia

80% canopy cover within the woodland

roximately 20% of area

least 20% of the H91A0 feature at any one

len trees >30cm per hectare, and >6

ancy of the commonest trees

the oak woodlands and at least 30% within

d-pasture types or scrub.

ve trees (eg oak, birch, holly, ash, shrubs (eg hazel, hawthorn, blackthorn,

m to be a viable component of the H91A0

I in vegetation community composition

sing minimal damage to the H91A0 feature

H, soil nutrient status and fungal:bacterial

an un-compacted condition r Level values given for this H91A0 feature

ons necessary to sustain the H91A0 feature

nd processes to the detriment of the H91A0

which are necessary to maintain or restore

| qualifying natural habitats The structure and | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H7110 feature are preferable to and characterist Classification types; M18 Erica tetralixSphagnum papillosum raised and blanket mire M2 Sphagnum co community |
|--|--|--|
| function (including typical species) of qualifying natural | Structural diversity | Restore the full range of typical structural features associated with the H7110 feature at this site, e.g. whydrological zonations |
| habitat The supporting processes on which the qualifying natural habitats rely | Key structural, influential and/or distinctive species | Restore the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component o 1. Mixed assemblage of typical bryophytes (predominantly Sphagnum spp), Cyperaceae and dwarf sh 2.Assemblage of Herptiles -common toad Bufo bufo, adder Vipera berus, and common lizard Zootoca 3. Large heath butterfly Coenonympha tullia 4. Plants Labrador tea Rhododendron groenlandicum, Bogsedge Carex limosa Oblong-leaved Sundev Pallavicinia lyellii |
| | Invasive, non-native and/or | 5. Assemblage of wet mire invertebrates (including bog bush cricket Metrioptera brachyptera) Ensure invasive and introduced nonnative species are either rare or absent, but if present are causing |
| | introduced species Supporting off-site habitat | Restore the extent, quality and spatial configuration of land or habitat surrounding or adjacent to the sin feature |
| | Hydrology | At a site, unit and/or catchment level (as necessary, restore natural hydrological processes to provide H7110 feature within the site |
| | Water chemistry | Maintain the surface water and groundwater supporting the hydrology of the H7110 feature at a low nu |
| | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Restore the properties of the underlying peat, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, soil nu within typical values for H7110 Active Raised Bogs. |
| | Adaptation and resilience | Restore the H7110 feature's ability, and that of its supporting processes, to adapt or evolve to wider er external to the site |
| | Air quality | Restore the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the site relevant Critical Load the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk) |
| | Functional connectivity with wider landscape | Restore the overall extent, quality and function of any supporting features within the local landscape w connection with the site |
| | Conservation measures | Maintain the management measures (either within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) wh structure, functions and supporting processes associated with the H7110 feature |
| Supplementary Advic | e for Qualifying Features: H7120. | Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration |
| The extent and distribution of | Extent of the feature within the site | Avoid further degradation of the extent of the H7120 feature, whilst restoring the H7120 feature to H71 |
| qualifying natural habitats The structure and | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Avoid further degradation of the peat substrate of the H7120 feature and restore the properties of the ustructure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, soil nutrient status and fungal/bacterial ratio, to within typical value habitat. |
| function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitat The supporting processes on which the qualifying natural habitats rely | Vegetation community composition | Restore the component vegetation communities of the H7210 feature to those resembling and characterised by the following National Vegetation Classification Bog; M18 Erica tetralix-Sphagnum papillosum raised and blanket mire M2 Sphagnum cuspidatum/ Sphagnum recurvum bog pool community (mire expanse and rand) M4 Carex rostrata-Sphagnum recurvum mire M6 Carex echinata-Sphagnum recurvum/auriculatum mire M23 Juncus effusus/acutiflorusGalium palustre rush-pasture M25 Molinia caerulea-Potentilla erecta mire M27 Filipendula ulmariaAngelica sylvestris mire S4 Phragmites australis swamp & reedbeds S27 Carex rostrata-Potentilla palustris fen W5 Alnus glutinosa – Carex paniculata woodland W6 Alnus glutinosa – Urtica dioica woodland (lagg)] |
| | | |

rised by the following National Vegetation n cuspidatum/ recurvum bog pool

. vegetation cover, surface patterning and

at of H7110 Active Raised Bog habitat; shrubs (mainly Ericaceae) ca(Lacerta) vipera

dew Drosera intermedia and Veilwort

ing minimal damage to the H7110 feature

e site which is known to support the H7110

de the conditions necessary to sustain the

nutrient status.

I nutrient status and fungal:bacterial ratio, to

r environmental change, either within or

bad or Level values given for this feature of

which provide a critical functional

which are necessary to restore the

17110 Active Raised Bogs by 2035

ne underlying peat type, including its al values for H7110 Active Raised Bog

ation type(s) typical of H7110 Active Raised

cal transitions) typically associated with

| | | Key structural, influential and/or distinctive species | Restore the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component of species listed for the H7110 feature in Table 1 above.] |
|---------------------|---|---|--|
| | | Invasive, nonnative and/or introduced species | Ensure invasive and introduced non-native species are either rare or absent, but if present are not un feature |
| | | Supporting offsite habitat | Restore the extent, quality and spatial configuration of land or habitat surrounding or adjacent to the si restoration of the H7120 raised bog feature. |
| | | Hydrology | At a site level, restore natural hydrological processes to provide the water levels and conditions neces H7120 feature within the site and to enable its restoration to H7110 active raised bog |
| | | Water chemistry | Maintain the surface water and groundwater supporting the hydrology of the H7120 feature at a low nu |
| | | Adaptation and resilience | Avoid the further degradation of the feature's ability, and that of its supporting processes, to adapt or e either within or external to the site |
| | | Air quality | Restore as necessary, the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the site-relevation the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk) |
| | | Conservation measures | Maintain the management measures (either within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) we structure, functions and supporting processes for restoration to H7110 Active Raised Bog |
| Castle Eden Dene | The extent and distribution of | Extent of the feature within the site | Maintain the total extent of the H91J0 feature (including its transitions to other habitats) at 194.40 hect |
| | qualifying natural habitats | Spatial distribution of the feature within the site | Maintain the distribution and configuration of the H91J0 feature, including where applicable its compor |
| | The structure and function (including typical species) of | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H91J0 feature are referable to and characterise Classification type, Yew woodland W13b Taxus baccata; Mercurialis perennis sub-community |
| | qualifying natural habitat | Vegetation structure - canopy cover | Maintain an appropriate tree canopy cover across the H91J0 feature, which will typically be >75% of the second sec |
| | The supporting processes on which | Vegetation structure - open space | Maintain areas of permanent/temporary open space within the H91J0 woodland feature, typically to co |
| | the qualifying natural habitats rely | Vegetation structure – old growth | Maintain the extent and continuity of undisturbed, mature/old growth stands (typically comprising at least time) and the assemblages of veteran and ancient trees (typically >10 trees per hectare). |
| | | Vegetation structure - dead wood | Maintain the continuity and abundance of standing or fallen dead and decaying wood, typically betwee fallen timber or 3-5 fallen trees >30cm per hectare |
| | | Vegetation structure – age class distribution | Maintain at least 2 age classes (e.g. pole stage, mature, veteran) spread across the average life experimentary hundreds of years. |
| | | Vegetation structure - shrub layer | Maintain a typically sparse understorey under the yew canopy, with occasionally present shrubs (e.g. |
| | | Vegetation structure – Woodland edge (graduated edge; buffered; mosaics with other habitats) | Maintain a graduated woodland edge into adjacent semi-natural open habitats, other woodland/wood- |
| | | Adaptation and resilience | Maintain the resilience of the H91J0 feature by ensuring a diversity of site-native tree species; althoug a scattering of one or more of whitebeam, ash, beech, sycamore and oak. |
| | | Regeneration potential | Maintain the H91J0 feature's potential for sufficient natural regeneration of desirable trees and shrubs species (measured by seedlings and <1.3m saplings - above grazing and browsing height) should be the wood edge and/or as regrowth as appropriate |
| | | Tree and shrub species composition | Maintain a canopy and understorey of which 95% is composed of site native trees and shrubs. These Corylus, oak Quercus, ash Fraxinus, alder Alnus glutinosa hawthorn Crataegus spp., wild cherry Prun |
| | | Key structural, influential and/or distinctive species | Maintain the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component of Euonymus europaea Ulmus glabra Mercurialis perennis Assemblage of woodland invertebrates |
| | | Invasive, nonnative and/or introduced Species | Ensure invasive and introduced non-native species are either rare or absent, but if present are causing |

- t of H7110 Active Raised Bog habitat; [see
- undermining the restoration of the H7120
- site which is known to support the
- cessary to prevent further degradation of the
- nutrient status.
- r evolve to wider environmental change,
- evant Critical Load or Level values given for
- which are necessary to restore the
- ectares.
- ponent vegetation types, across the site
- ised by the following National Vegetation
- f the canopy on site
- cover approximately 10% of area
- least 50% of the H91J0 feature at any one
- veen 30 50 m3 per hectare of standing or
- pectancy of the trees which can be
- g. holly, hawthorn, elder)
- od-pasture types or scrub.
- ough yew dominates, this can be provided by
- bs; typically tree seedlings of desirable be visible in sufficient numbers in gaps, at
- se include yew Taxus baccata, hazel runus avium and rowan Sorbus aucuparia.
- nt of the H91J0 habitat; Taxus baccata
- sing minimal damage to the H91J0 feature

| | | | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Maintain the properties of the underlying soil types, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, ratio, to within typical values for the H91J0 habitat |
|--|------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | Functional connectivity with wider landscape | Restore the overall extent, quality and function of any supporting features within the local landscape w connection with the SAC |
| | | | Air quality | Restore as necessary, the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the site-relevant this feature of the site on the Air Pollution Information System (APIS). |
| | | | Hydrology | At a site level maintain natural hydrological processes to provide the conditions necessary to sustain t |
| | | | Illumination | Ensure artificial light is maintained to a level which is unlikely to affect natural phenological cycles and feature and its typical species at this site. |
| | | | Conservation measures | Maintain the management measures (either within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) w structure, functions and supporting processes associated with the H91J0 feature |
| | Newham Fen | The extent and distribution of | Extent of the feature within the site | Maintain the total extent of the H7230 alkaline fen feature at not less than 1.4 hectares, comprising at 0.7 ha of transitional habitat to S25/W2 vegetation |
| | | qualifying natural | Distribution of the feature | Maintain the current distribution and configuration of the H7230 alkaline fen feature, including its comp |
| | | habitats The structure and function (including | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H7230 alkaline fen feature are preferable to and Vegetation Classification type(s); |
| | | typical species) of qualifying natural habitat | | M13 Schoenus nigricans – Juncus subnodulosus mire (black bogrush and blunt-flowered rush) M9 Carex rostrata – Calliergon cuspidatum/giganteum mire (bottle sedge, pointed spearmoss, giant s S25 Phragmites australis – Eupatorium cannabinum tall-herb fen (common reed – hemp agrimony) |
| | | The supporting processes on which | Invasive, nonnative and/or introduced species | Ensure invasive non-native species are either rare or absent components of the H7230 alkaline fen fe |
| | | qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely | Presence/ cover of woody species | Maintain a low cover of woody species in the open alkaline fen area of not more than 10% scrub/tree of springs; low Salix sp acceptable more than 5m from edge of spring/flush feature. |
| | | | Browsing and grazing by herbivores | Maintain an appropriate level of grazing within the site |
| | | | Typical species: flora and fauna | Maintain the abundance of the typical species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable comp Carex diandra (Newham Fen is the only Northumberland location for this species), Carex lasiocarpa, I europaeus, Sium latifolium (reintroduction), Stellaria palustris, Potamogeton polygonifolius, P. Colorat Corallorhiza trifida, Salix myrsinifolia and Pyrola rotundifolia Assemblage of fenland invertebrates |
| | | | Water chemistry | Maintain irrigating water supplying the H7230 alkaline fen feature with low fertility and is very rich in ba |
| | | | Hydrology | At a site, unit and/or catchment level (as necessary), maintain natural hydrological processes to provid H7230 alkaline fen feature within the site, including a high piezometric head and permanently high wa fluctuations). |
| | | | Adaptation and resilience | Maintain the alkaline fen's ability, and that of its supporting processes, to adapt or evolve to wider envexternal to the site |
| | | | Functional connectivity with the wider landscape | Maintain the Winlaw Burn (in terms of its present extent, quality and function as a watercourse) as a s landscape which has a critical functional connection with the SAC |
| | | | Air quality | Maintain or restore as necessary the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the given for the H7230 feature of the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk). |
| | Moors The dist qua hab | | Conservation measures | Maintain management or other measures (within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) whi functions and supporting processes associated with the H7230 alkaline fen feature. |
| | | Supplementary Advic | ce for Qualifying Features: H4010. | Northern Atlantic wet heaths with Erica tetralix; Wet heathland with crossleaved heath and H4030. Eur |
| | | The extent and distribution of | Extent of the feature within the site | Maintain the total extent of the H4010 feature at 7,751ha Maintain the total extent of the H4030 feature at 24,769ha |
| | | qualifying natural habitats The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitat | Spatial distribution of the feature within the site | Maintain the distribution and configuration of the H4010 and H4030 features, including where applicat across the site |
| | | | Vegetation community transitions | Maintain any areas of transition between the H4010 and H4030 features and communities which form such as dry and humid heaths, mires, acid grasslands, scrub and woodland |
| | | | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the features are generally referable to and characte Classification types; |
| | | | | |

- H, soil nutrient status and fungal:bacterial
- which provide a critical functional
- evant Critical Load or Level values given for
- n the H91J0 feature within the site nd processes to the detriment of the H91J0
- which are necessary to restore the
- at least 0.7 ha of M13/M9 vegetation and
- mponent vegetation types, across the site and characterised by the following National
- t spear-moss)
- feature.
- e cover. No woody species in flushes or
- mponent of the H7230 Annex 1 habitat; a, Eriophorum angustifolium, Lycopus ratus, Dactylorhiza traunsteineri,
- base ions (alkalinity > 130 mg I–1 CaCO3)
- ovide the conditions necessary to sustain the water table (allowing for natural seasonal
- nvironmental change, either within or
- supporting feature within the local
- he site-relevant Critical Load or Level values
- which are necessary to restore the structure,
- uropean dry heaths
- cable its component vegetation types,
- rm other heathland associated habitats,
- cterised by the following National Vegetation

| The supporting processes on wind qualifying natura | al | H4010 wet heath M16 - Erica tetralix – Sphagnum compactum wet heath, and/or as mosaics with H9 flexuosa heath, H10 - Calluna vulgaris – Erica cinerea heath, M6 - Carex echinate – Sphagnum recur caerulea – Potentilla erecta mire. |
|--|--|--|
| habitats and the habitats of | | H4030 dry heath H9 - Calluna vulgaris – Deschampsia flexuosa heath, H10 - Calluna vulgaris – Erica Vaccinium myrtillus heath; |
| qualifying specie rely | Vegetation structure: cover of dwarf shrubs | Maintain or restore an overall cover of dwarf shrubs to the H4010 and H4030 features which is typical |
| | Vegetation structure: heather age structure | Maintain or restore a diverse age structure amongst the ericaceous shrubs typically found on the site |
| | Vegetation structure: cover of gorse | Maintain a low cover of common gorse typically at <10% |
| | Vegetation structure: tree cover | Maintain the open character of the H4010 and H4030 features, with a typically scattered and low cove |
| | Vegetation composition: bracken cover | Maintain a low cover of dense bracken (typically at <5%) |
| | Key structural, influential and/or distinctive species | Maintain the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component of both Annex 1 habitats; |
| | | Calluna vulgaris, Empetrum nigrum, E. cinerea, E.tetralix, Myrica gale, Salix repens, Vaccinium spp. C Eriophorum angustifolium, Juncus acutiflorus, Juncus articulatus, Molinia caerulea, Trichophorum ces Potentilla erecta. |
| | Vegetation: undesirable species | Maintain the frequency/cover of the following undesirable species to within acceptable levels and prev nutrient levels or hydrology which may encourage their spread; Rhododendron ponticum, Gaultheria s nodiflorum, Cirsium arvense, Digitalis purpurea, Epilobium spp. (excl. E. palustre), Glyceria fluitans, Ju crocata, Phragmites spp., Ranunculus repens, Fallopia japonica, Senecio jacobaea, Rumex obtusifoli glutinosa, Betula spp., Prunus spinosa, Pinus spp., Rubus spp., Quercus spp. Acrocarpous mosses; F |
| | Functional connectivity with wider landscape | Maintain or restore the overall extent, quality and function of any supporting features within the local la functional connection with the site |
| | Adaptation and resilience | Maintain or restore the H4010 and H4030 features ability, and that of its supporting processes, to ada change, either within or external to the site |
| | Conservation measures | Maintain the management measures (either within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) w the structure, functions and supporting processes associated with the 4010 and H4030 features |
| | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Maintain the properties of the underlying soil types, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, ratio, within typical values for the H4010 and H4030 habitats. |
| | Air quality | Restore the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to below the site-relevant Critical Load or L the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk). |
| | Water quality | Maintain or restore water quality and quantity to a standard which provides the necessary conditions t |
| | Hydrology | At a site, unit and/or catchment level (as necessary), maintain the natural hydrological regime to provi the H4010 feature within the site |
| Supplementary | Advice for Qualifying Features: H7130 | . Blanket bogs |
| The extent and distribution of | Extent of the feature within the site | Maintain the total extent of the H7130 feature of 4,207 ha |
| qualifying natura habitats | feature within the site | Maintain the distribution and configuration of the H7130 feature, including where applicable its compo |
| The structure ar function (includin typical species) qualifying natura habitat | ng vegetation community of composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H7130 feature are referable to and characterise following National Vegetation Classification types; M18 Erica tetralix – Sphagnum papillosum raised and blanket mire M19 Calluna vulgaris – Eriophorum vaginatum blanket mire. |
| The supporting processes on w | | Ensure invasive and introduced non-native species are either rare or absent, but if present are causin |
| qualifying natura habitats and the | | Maintain a low cover of scrub or trees within stands of H7130 (<10% of the area). |

| 9 - Calluna vulgaris – Deschampsia urvum/ auriculatum mire or M25 – Molinia |
|--|
| a cinerea heath or 12 – Calluna vulgaris – |
| ally between 25- 90% |
| 9 |
| |
| ver of trees and scrub (<20% cover) |
| |
| |
| . Carex panicea, Eleocharis spp., espitosum, Narthecium ossifragum, |
| event changes in surface condition, soils, a shallon, Fallopia japonica, Apium Juncus effusus, J. squarrosus, Oenanthe olius, Typha spp., Urtica spp. Alnus ; Phytophthora disease |
| landscape which provide a critical |
| lapt or evolve to wider environmental |
| which are necessary to maintain or restore |
| I, soil nutrient status and fungal/bacterial |
| Level values given for these features of |
| to support the H4010 feature. |
| vide the conditions necessary to sustain |
| |
| |
| oonent vegetation types, across the site |
| sed by the |
| ing minimal damage to the H7130 feature |
| |

| | habitats of qualifying species rely | Vegetation composition: undesirable species | The following undesirable competitive species should be absent or rare (individually and collectively le common bent-grass Agrostis capillaris, Yorkshire fog Holcus lanatus, common reed Phragmites austra creeping buttercup Ranunculus repens |
|-----------------|---|---|--|
| | | Structural diversity | Maintain or Restore the full range of typical structural features associated with the H7130 feature at the patterning and hydrological zonation. |
| | | Physical structure: ground disturbance (and peat erosion) | Ensure significant areas of disturbed and eroding bare ground are either absent or where present, are 1% of the total extent of the H7130 feature |
| | | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Maintain or restore the properties of the underlying soil types, including structure, bulk density, total ca fungi/bacteria ratio, to within typical values for the H7130 habitat. For this feature the peat substrate should consist of both acrotelm and catotelm layers. |
| | | Adaptation and resilience | Restore the H7130 feature's ability, and that of its supporting processes, to adapt or evolve to wider er external to the site |
| | | Key structural, influential and/or site distinctive species | Maintain or Restore the abundance of the typical species listed below to enable each of them to be a v Sphagnum spp., Calluna vulgaris, Vaccinium spp., Eriophorum spp.,Trichophorum cespitosum, Androi Empetrum nigrum. |
| | | Air quality | Restore the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the site-relevant Critical Load the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk). |
| | | Hydrology | At a site, unit and/or catchment level (as necessary), maintain the natural hydrological processes to pr levels necessary to sustain the H7130 fature within the site |
| | | Conservation measures | Maintain or restore the management measures (either within and/or outside the site boundary as appro or restore the structure, functions and supporting processes associated with the H7130 feature |
| Simonside Hills | Supplementary Advid | ce for Qualifying Features: H4030. | European dry heaths |
| | The extent and distribution of | Extent of the feature within the site | Maintain the total extent of the H4030 feature at 1500 hectares. |
| | qualifying natural habitats | Spatial distribution of the feature within the site | Maintain the distribution and configuration of the H4030 feature, including where applicable its compor |
| | The structure and function (including typical species) of | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H4030 feature are referable to and characterise Classification type: H12 Calluna vulgaris – Vaccinium myrtillus heath |
| | qualifying natural habitats | Vegetation community transitions | Maintain any areas of transition between the H4030 feature and other heathland-associated habitats, s scrub and woodland |
| | The supporting processes on which | Vegetation structure: cover of dwarf shrubs | Maintain an overall cover of dwarf shrub species across the H4030 feature which is typically between 2 |
| | the qualifying natural habitats rely | Vegetation composition: bracken cover | Restore a low cover of dense bracken (typically at <5%) across the H4030 feature |
| | | Vegetation structure: cover of gorse | Maintain the cover of common gorse Ulex europaeus at <50% |
| | | Vegetation structure: tree cover | Maintain the open character of the H4030 feature, with a typically scattered and low cover of trees and |
| | | Vegetation structure: heather age structure | Maintain a diverse age structure amongst the ericaceous species typically found on the site |
| | | Vegetation: undesirable species | Maintain the frequency/cover of undesirable species at within acceptable levels of <1% and prevent ch levels or hydrology which may encourage their spread. Undesirable species include: Cirsium arvense; Ranunculus repens; or Urtica dioica All invasive non-native species are included as undesirable specie |
| | | Key structural, influential and distinctive species E.cinerea, Vaccinium myrtillus, Empetrum nigrum and V.vitisidaea | Maintain the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component of vulgaris, Erica tetralix, |
| | | Functional connectivity with wider landscape | Maintain the overall extent, quality and function of any supporting features within the local landscape v connection with the site |
| | Adaptation and resilience | Maintain the H4030 feature's ability, and that of its supporting processes, to adapt or evolve to wider e | |

y less than 1% of vegetation cover); stralis, bracken Pteridium aquilinum,

this site, e.g. vegetation cover, surface

are temporary and typically do not exceed

carbon, pH, soil nutrient status and

r environmental change, either within or

a viable component of the Annex 1 habitat; dromeda polifolia, Drosera rotundifolia,

oad or Level values given for this feature of

provide consistently near-surface water

opropriate) which are necessary to maintain

ponent vegetation types, across the site.

ised by the following National Vegetation

s, such as blanket bog, acid grasslands,

en 25-75%

and scrub (<20% cover)

t changes in surface condition, soils, nutrient se; Cirsium vulgare; Rumex acetosa; ecies

nt of this H4030 Annex 1 habitat; Calluna

e which provide a critical functional

environmental change, either within or

| | | | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Maintain the properties of the underlying soil types, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, ratio, to within typical values this H4030 habitat. |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| | | | Conservation measures | Maintain the management measures (within the site boundary which are necessary to maintain the str processes associated with the H4030 feature. |
| | | | Air quality | Maintain as necessary, the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the site-relevant this feature of the site on the Air Pollution Information System (APIS). |
| | | Supplementary Advid | ce for Qualifying Features: H7130. | . Blanket bogs |
| | | The extent and distribution of | Extent of the feature within the site | Maintain the total extent of H7130 blanket bog habitat feature at 279.4 ha |
| | | qualifying natural habitats | Spatial distribution of the feature within the site | Maintain the distribution and configuration of the H7130 feature, including where applicable its compo |
| | | The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H7130 feature are referable to and characterise Classification type(s): M18 Erica tetralix – Sphagnum papillosum raised and blanket mire M19 Calluna blanket mire |
| | | habitats The supporting | Invasive, nonnative and/or introduced species | Ensure invasive and introduced non-native species are either rare or absent, but if present are causing |
| | | processes on which the qualifying | Presence/ cover of woody species | Maintain a low cover (<10 % of the area) of scrub or trees within stands of H7130. |
| | | natural habitats rely | Vegetation composition: undesirable species | Ensure the following undesirable competitive species are either absent or rare (individually and collect common bent-grass Agrostis capillaris, Yorkshire fog Holcus lanatus, common reed Phragmites austra creeping buttercup Ranunculus repens. |
| | | | Structural diversity | Maintain the full range of typical structural features associated with the H7130 feature at this site, e.g. hydrological zonations |
| | | | Physical structure: ground disturbance (and peat erosion) | Restrict and restore significant areas of disturbed and eroding bare ground. Where present, any affect of the total feature, and be considered only as a temporary stage. |
| | | | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Maintain the properties of the underlying soil types, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, ratio, to within typical values for the H7130 habitat. For this feature the peat substrate should consist of |
| | | | Adaptation and resilience | Maintain the H7130 feature's ability, and that of its supporting processes, to adapt or evolve to wider external to the site |
| | | | Key structural, influential and/or distinctive species | Maintain the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component e Erica tetralix, E.cinerea, Vaccinium myrtillus, Empetrum nigrum, V.vitis-idaea, Drosera spp., Eriophoru Assemblage of Sphagnum mosses. |
| | | | Air quality | Restore as necessary, the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the site-relevant this feature of the site on the Air Pollution Information System (APIS) |
| | | | Hydrology | At a site, unit and/or catchment level (as necessary), maintain and where site specific restore the natu consistently near-surface water levels necessary to sustain the H7130 feature within the site |
| | | | Conservation measure | Maintain the management measures (either within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) we structure, functions and supporting processes associated with the H7130 feature |
| | Tyne & Allen River Gravels | | Extent of the feature within the site | Maintain the total extent of the H6130 feature at 8.3 hectares. |
| | habitats The structure a function (includ typical species qualifying natur habitats The supporting | | Distribution of the feature within the site | Maintain the current distribution and configuration of the H6130 feature, including where applicable, its site |
| | | function (including | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H6130 habitat include and are characterised by Classification types; OV37 Festuca ovina – Minuartia verna. |
| | | qualifying natural | Key structural, influential and site distinctive species: flora and fauna | Maintain the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component of lichens and bryophytes Thrift Armeria maritima, Pyrenean scurvey-grass Cochleria pyrenaica, Spring (= Silene vulgaris ssp maritima), Alpine pennycress Thlaspi caerulescens, Mountain pansy Viola lutea var. youngiana, |
| | | the qualifying natural habitats rely | Undesirable species | Maintain the frequency/cover of the following undesirable species to within acceptable levels and prev nutrient levels or hydrology which may encourage their spread; Anthriscus sylvestris, Cirsium arvense |

H, soil nutrient status and fungal: bacterial

structure, functions and supporting

evant Critical Load or Level values given for

ponent vegetation types, across the site

sed by the following National Vegetation na vulgaris – Eriophorum vaginatum

sing minimal damage to the H7130 feature

ectively less than 1% of vegetation cover); stralis, bracken Pteridium aquilinum,

g. vegetation cover, surface patterning and

ected areas should typically not exceed 1%

H, soil nutrient status and fungi:bacteria t of both acrotelm and catotelm layers.

environmental change, either within or

nt of the Annex 1 habitat; Calluna vulgaris, prum angustifolium, E. vaginatum

evant Critical Load or Level values given for

atural hydrological processes to provide

which are necessary to maintain the

its component vegetation types, across the

by the following National Vegetation

nt of the H6130 habitat; Assemblage of ng sandwort Minuartia verna,Silene uniflora tea, Dune helleborine Epipactis helleborine

event changes in surface condition, soils, se, Cirsium vulgare, Heracleum

| | | | sphondylium, Urtica dioica, Coarse grasses eg Arrhenatherum elatius, Holcus lanatus, and Himalayan non-native species. |
|-----------------|---|--|---|
| | | Vegetation community transitions | Maintain the pattern of natural vegetation zonations associated with the H6130 feature. |
| | | Hydrology: Flooding regime | Maintain the timing, frequency, extent and duration of surface flooding commensurate with the mainter |
| | | Supporting off-site supply of heavy metals (river shingle sites) | Maintain a supply of heavy metal enriched river-borne sediment to the SAC from within its surrounding |
| | | Functional Connectivity with wider landscape | Maintain the overall extent, quality and function of any supporting features within the local landscape v connection with the site |
| | | Air quality | Maintain the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants at below the site-relevant Critical Load or l site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk). |
| | | Conservation measures | Maintain the management measures (either within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) we restore the structure functions and supporting processes associated with the H6130 feature |
| Bees Nest & | Supplementary Advic | ce for Qualifying Features: 1166 G | reat crested newt Triturus cristatus |
| Green Clay Pits | The supporting processes on which | Conservation measures | Management or other measures, (within and outside the site boundary) which are necessary to maintaprocesses associated with the great crested newt feature are underway and are not being undermined |
| | qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of | Extent of supporting terrestrial habitat | The extent of habitats which support the great crested newt feature are either being maintained at or r ground and rock, scrub, tall and short acid and calcareous grassland, heathland, wet and flushed area |
| | qualifying species rely The extent and | Distribution of supporting habitat | Maintain the distribution and continuity of habitat supporting the feature, including where applicable its associated transitional vegetation types, across the site. The component elements of the habitat mosa over time, although a full range of successional states should always be present |
| | distribution of qualifying natural habitats and | Adaptation and resilience of the feature and the supporting processes on which it relies | The feature's ability, and that of its supporting habitat, to adapt or evolve to wider environmental changes not prejudiced |
| | habitats of qualifying species | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Maintain the properties of the underlying soil types, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, PLFA ratio within typical values for the habitat |
| | The structure and function (including typical species) of | Air quality | Maintain or restore as necessary the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the given for this feature of the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk). |
| | qualifying natural habitats | Overall Habitat Suitability Index score | For this SAC, maintain an overall Great Crested Newt Habitat Suitability Index score of no less than 0. breeding ponds) and no less than 0.6 for other breeding ponds. |
| | The structure and function of the | Presence of ponds | Maintain the number and surface area of at least 12 ponds present within the site |
| | habitats of qualifying species | Permanence of ponds | Maintain the permanence of water within ponds present within the site, with at least 50% of all ponds r August to mid-September) of at least 10cm |
| | The populations of | Cover of macrophytes | Maintain a high cover of macrophytes, typically between 50- 80%, within ponds 1A, 1C, IG, 1H and 1I |
| | qualifying species, and, | Quality of supporting terrestrial habitat | Maintain the quality of terrestrial habitat likely to be utilised by Great Crested Newts, with no fragmenta newt dispersal. |
| | The distribution of qualifying species | Shading of ponds | Pond perimeters should generally be free of shade (typically affecting less than 60% of the shoreline). |
| | within the site. | Presence of fish and wildfowl | Fish and wildfowl are absent or rare in all ponds. |
| | | Water quality | Maintain the quality of pondwaters within the site as indicated by the presence of an abundant and div |
| | | Population size | The size of the great crested newt population is being maintained at or above a peak mean of 381 ind |
| | | Population viability | The presence of great crested newt eggs in breeding ponds is consistently at a level which is likely to at or above its target level |
| | | Supporting metapopulation | Maintain the connectivity of the SAC great crested newt population with other closely-associated population boundary) |
| | Supplementary Advic limestone | e for Qualifying Features: H6210 | Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies: on calcareous substrates (Festuco-Brometalia); Dry |
| | The supporting processes on which | Extent of the feature within the site | Maintain the full extent of the H6210 grassland feature currently estimated to be approximately 9ha |

an balsam (Impatiens glandulifera) or other

ntenance of the H6130 feature ling catchment

e which provide a critical functional

or Level values given for this feature of the

which are necessary to maintain and/or

ntain the structure, functions and supporting ned or compromised.

or recovering to a dynamic mosaic of bare reas

its component vegetation types and osaic should be dynamic and may change

ange, either within or external to the site, is

H, soil nutrient status and fungal:bacterial

he siterelevant Critical Load or Level values

0.8 for ponds 1A, 1C, IG, 1H and 1I (main

Is maintaining a summer water depth (mid-

11 (main breeding ponds).

ntation of habitat by significant barriers to

e).

diverse invertebrate community.

ndividuals

to maintain the abundance of the population

opulations (either within or outside of the site

bry grasslands and scrublands on chalk or

| | qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species | Distribution of the feature, including associated transitional habitats, within the site | The distribution and continuity of the H6210 grassland feature, including where applicable its compone transitional vegetation types, across the site is maintained |
|--------------------------|--|---|--|
| | rely The extent and distribution of qualifying natural | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H6210 grassland feature are referable to and c Vegetation Classification types; CG2 Festuca ovina – Avenula pratensis lowland calcareous grasslan pilosella – Thymus praecox grassland. |
| | habitats and habitats of qualifying species | Vegetation composition: proportion of herbs (including Carex spp) | The proportion of herbaceous species within the sward is being consistently maintained within the range |
| | The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats The structure and function of the habitats of | Key structural, influential and/or distinctive species | Maintain the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component of site; CG2: Anthyllis vulneraria, Campanula glomerata, Cirsium acaule, Filipendula vulgaris, Genista tinctoria nummularium, Hippocrepis comosa, Leontodon hispidus/L. saxatilis, Leucanthemum vulgare, Linum c officinarum (Hieracium pilosella), Plantago media, Polygala spp., Primula veris, Sanguisorba minor, So Succisa pratensis, Thymus spp CG7: Aira spp, Athanes spp, Astragalus danicus, Centaurium erythrae Erigeron acer, Erodium cicutarium, Fragria vesca, Galium verum, Helianthemum nummularium, Leont corniculatus, Pilosella officinarum, Rumex acetosella, Sedum acre, Thymus spp. |
| | qualifying species The populations of qualifying species, | Vegetation composition: undesirable species | The frequency/cover of the following undesirable species are maintained at acceptable levels as expre- changes in surface condition, soils, nutrient levels or changes to hydrology; |
| | and, The distribution of qualifying species within the site. | and, The distribution of qualifying species | No species/taxa more than occasional throughout the sward, or singly or together more than 5% covulgare, docks Rumex crispus, Rumex obtusifolius, ragwort Senecio jacobaea,common nettle Urtica d Cover of wavy hair-grass Deschampsia flexuosa should be not more than 20% Cover of coarse grasses such as Yorkshire fog Holcus lanatus and cock'sfoot Dactylis glomerate sh Cover of bracken Pteridium aquilinum should not be more than 10% Cover of the grasses Brachypodium pinnatum and Bromopsis erecta should not be more than 10% |
| | | Vegetation community transitions | Maintain the pattern of any natural vegetation zonations/transitions which form part of the H6210 grass scrub/grassland matrix. |
| | | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | The properties of the underlying soil types, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, soil nutri ratio, are maintained within typical values for the H6210 grassland habitat |
| | | Air quality | Maintain as necessary concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the site relevant C feature of the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk). |
| | | Adaptation and resilience of the feature and the supporting processes on which it relies | The feature's ability, and that of its supporting processes, to adapt or evolve to wider environmental clisite, is not prejudiced |
| | | Conservation measures | Management or other measures (within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) necessary to supporting processes associated with the H6210 grassland feature are underway and are not being un |
| Morecambe E Pavements | | ariscus and species of the Caricion | Hard oligo-mesotrophic waters with benthic vegetation of Chara spp.; Calcium-rich nutrient-poor lakes, n davallianae; Calcium-rich fen dominated by great fen sedge (saw sedge) |
| | The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of | Extent of the features within the site | Restore the total extent of the H3140 feature to 8.2 ha Restore the total extent of the H7210 feature. |
| | | Spatial distribution of the feature within the site | Restore the distribution and configuration of the H7210 feature, including where applicable its compon |
| | qualifying species The structure and | Invasive, nonnative and/or introduced species | Ensure non-native species categorised as 'high-impact' in the UK under the Water Framework Directivare causing minimal damage to the feature |
| | function (including typical species) of | Invasive, nonnative and/or introduced species | Ensure invasive and introduced non-native species are either rare or absent, but if present are causing |
| | qualifying natural habitats | Macrophyte community structure | Restore a characteristic zonation of macrophyte vegetation; Chara beds should normally cover a minir extent will be variable according to site and seasonal changes. |
| | The structure and function of the | Macrophyte community structure | Maintain maximum depth of plant colonisation. This is likely to be the maximum depth colonised by Ch |

onent vegetation types and associated

l characterised by the following National and; CG7 Festuca ovina - Hieracium

ange 40%-90%

nt of the H6210 grassland feature at this

oria, Gentianella spp., Helianthemum n catharticum, Lotus corniculatus, Pilosella Scabiosa columbaria, Serratula tinctoria, raea, Cladonia spp, Dianthus deltoids, ontodon hispidus/saxatilis, Lotus

pressed below and are not encouraged by

cover: thistles Cirsium arvense, Cirsium a dioica.

should be not more than 10%

assland habitat, such as the

trient status and fungal:bacterial PLFA

Critical Load or Level values given for this

change, either within or external to the

to maintain the structure, functions and undermined or compromised

es, lochs and pools and H7210. Calcareous

onent vegetation types, across the site

ctive are either rare or absent but if present

sing minimal damage to the H7210 feature

nimum of 50% of the photic zone, although

Chara spp

| | bitats of alifying species | Macrophyte community structure | Maintain a characteristic and well defined hydrosere associated with the water body (where this is pre | | |
|---------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| | e populations of alifying species, | Physical structure - lake shoreline | Restore the natural shoreline of the lake at Hawes Water. | | |
| The | e distribution of alifying species | Physical structure – lake substrate | Maintain the natural and characteristic substrate for the lake. | | |
| with | hin the site. e supporting | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H7210 feature are referable to and characterise Classification type: S2 Cladium mariscus swamp and sedge-beds | | |
| qua hab hab | qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of | qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of | habitats and the habitats of | Key structural, influential and/or distinctive species | Restore the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component of (species believed to have been present) Chara aspera, C. curta, C. hispida, C. rudis, Potamogeton pra Hawes Water Chara aspera, Potamogeton lucens, P. coloratus, Fontinalis antipyretica, Schoenoplectus, spp. Maintain the abundance of saw sedge Cladium mariscus as the main component of the H7210 fe |
| qua rely | alifying species / | Presence/ cover of woody species | Maintain a low cover of not more than 5% of scrub or trees within stands of H7210. | | |
| | | Fisheries | Maintain a total projected estimate for biomass of total fish production at less than 200kg/ha (this shou of the resident and stocked fish). | | |
| | | Water chemistry | Maintain the low nutrient status of water irrigating the H7210 feature, ensuring it is rich in base ions, pa | | |
| | | Water quality - phosphate | Restore stable nutrient levels appropriate for lake type. The maximum annual mean concentration of T Water) and 15 μ g P I-1 for shallow (<3m) oligo-mesotrophic hard standing waters (Cunswick Tarn <3m) | | |
| | | Water quality - nitrogen | Restore a stable nitrogen concentration, which will typically be between 1- 2mg/l. (1.5mgL-1 for Cunsv | | |
| | | Water quality – acidity | Maintain acidity levels to reflect unimpacted conditions - values of Acid Neutralising Capacity (ANC) sholigomesotrophic hard lakes. | | |
| | | Water quality – other pollutants | Restore water quality to good chemical status (i.e. compliance with relevant Environmental Quality Sta | | |
| | | Water quality – dissolved oxygen | Maintain dissolved oxygen levels at >6mg/l (for cyprinid waters) throughout the year. | | |
| | | Water transparency | Restore the clarity of water to a depth of at least 3.5 metres | | |
| | | Water quality - algae | Maintain chlorophyll at concentrations which comply with WFD high ecological status; blooms of blue- | | |
| | | Hydrology | At a catchment level restore natural hydrological processes to provide the conditions necessary to sus | | |
| | | Hydrology | Maintain a high piezometric head and permanently high water table (allowing for natural seasonal fluct sites. | | |
| | | Sediment load | Maintain the natural sediment load | | |
| | | Supporting off-site habitat | Restore the extent, quality and spatial configuration of land or habitat surrounding or adjacent to the si | | |
| | | Adaptation and resilience | Restore the feature's ability, and that of its supporting processes, to adapt or evolve to wider environm the site | | |
| | | Air quality | Restore the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to within the siterelevant Critical Load or Le site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk). | | |
| | | Functional onnectivity/isolation | Maintain the natural isolation of the water body and its lack of connectivity to other water bodies | | |
| | | Conservation measures | Restore the management measures (either within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) wh structure, functions and supporting processes associated with the H7210 feature | | |
| | | | Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies: on calcareous substrates (Festuco-Brometalia); Dry erus communis formations on heaths or calcareous grasslands | | |
| disti qua hab | e extent and tribution of alifying natural pitats and | Extent of the features within the site | Maintain and Restore as appropriate the total extent of the H6210 grassland feature to 513.7 ha grass heath, juniper scrub and other habitats. Restore the total extent of the H5130 juniper feature to 2.2 ha juniper/grassland/heath/rock mosaic and presence in some component SSSIs (see Table A) Maintain feature occurring as a mosaic within 391 ha of grassland. | | |
| qua The | bitats of alifying species e structure and ction (including | Spatial distribution of the features within the site | Maintain the distribution and configuration of the H6210 grassland and H4030 heath features, includin vegetation types, across the site Restore the distribution and configuration of the H5130 juniper featur component vegetation types, across the site | | |
| | ical species) of | Vegetation structure - age class | Restore a population of Juniper comprising plants at different life stages; this should comprise phases to mature and pioneer/seedling (<5cm girth) | | |
| | | | | | |

| re | s | е | n | t) |
|----|---|---|---|----|
| | | | | |

sed by the following National Vegetation

t of the H3140 feature; Cunswick Tarn praelongus Schoenoplectus lacustris ctus lacustris, Hippuris vulgaris, Utricularia feature.

nould take into account the growth potential

, particularly calcium.

of TP is 10 μg P I-1 for deep (>3m) (Hawes 3m).

swick Tarn)

) should be typically pH 7.5-9.5 for

Standards).

e-green or green algae should not occur. ustain the features within the site

ustain the realtnes within the site

actuations) on groundwater dependent

e site which is known to support the features nmental change, either within or external to

Level values given for this feature of the

which are necessary to Restore the

Dry grasslands and scrublands on chalk or

assland, including mosaics and transitions to ha of dense juniper within 391 ha of in the total extent of the H4030 heath

ling where applicable their component sure, including where applicable its

es of old growth (>100 years old), building

| qualifying natural habitats | Vegetation structure: heather age structure | Restore a diverse heather age structure to the H4030 feature |
|---|--|---|
| The structure and function of the habitats of | Vegetation structure: cover of dwarf shrubs | Maintain and restore as appropriate an overall cover of dwarf shrub species which is typically between |
| qualifying species The populations of | Vegetation structure: cover of gorse | Maintain cover of common gorse Ulex europaeus at <5% |
| qualifying species, and, | Vegetation composition: bracken cover | Restore a cover of dense bracken which is low, typically at <10% |
| The distribution of qualifying species within the site. | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H6210 grassland and H4030 heath feature are r following National Vegetation Classification type (s); Calcareous grassland CG9a, CG9b Heath H9 and types |
| The supporting processes on which qualifying natural | Vegetation: proportion of herbs (including Carex spp) | Restore the proportion of herbaceous species within the H6210 feature to within the range 40%-90% |
| habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely | Key structural, influential and/or distinctive species | Restore the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component of Asperula cynanchica, Carlina vulgaris, Campanula rotundifolia, Euphrasia spp., Filipendula vulgaris, Galium sterneri, Gentianella spp., Helianthemum oelandicum, Helianthemum nummularium, Hhispidus, Lotus corniculatus, Pilosella officinarum, Sanguisorba minor, Scabiosa columbaria, Sesleria of polytrichus. |
| | Key structural, influential and/or distinctive species | Maintain the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component of Calluna vulgaris, E. cinerea, Vaccinium myrtillus, Agrostis spp., Carex spp., Danthonia decumbens, De Nardus stricta, Galium saxatile, Hypochaeris radicata, Lotus corniculatus, Plantago lanceolata, Polyga acetosella, Thymus praecox, Viola riviniana, Filipendula vulgaris, Galium verum, Helianthemum numm |
| | Vegetation: undesirable species | Maintain the frequency/cover of the following undesirable species within acceptable levels and prevent nutrient levels or hydrology which may encourage their spread; H6210 grassland Cirsium arvense, Cirs dioica, Cotoneaster spp, particularly C. horizontalis, Larix spp. H5130 juniper Cotoneaster spp Shading can include important species such as yew Taxus baccata or Lancaster whitebeam Sorbus lancastrien |
| | Vegetation community transitions | Maintain the pattern of natural vegetation zonations/transitions between shallow and deeper soils, to recalcareous grassland and acidic grassland, scrub, woodland, heath and juniper. |
| | Physical structure: ground disturbance | Maintain a provision of disturbed and eroding bare ground at a level which is compatible with maintaini of the H5130 feature |
| | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Maintain the properties of the underlying soil types, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, s ratio, to within typical values for the habitat. |
| | Functional connectivity with wider landscape | Restore the overall extent, quality and function of any supporting features within the local landscape w connection with the site |
| | Adaptation and resilience | Maintain the feature's ability, and that of its supporting processes, to adapt or evolve to wider environm the site |
| | Air quality | Maintain the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants within the site-relevant Critical Load or Lev on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk). |
| | Air quality | Restore the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the site-relevant Critical Load the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk). |
| | Conservation measures | Maintain and restore, as appropriate, the management measures (either within and/or outside the site necessary to restore the structure, functions and supporting processes associated with the features |
| | Conservation measures | Restore the management measures (either within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) whi structure, functions and supporting processes associated with the feature |
| Supplementary Adv | ice for Qualifying Features: H8240. | Limestone pavements |
| The extent and distribution of | Extent of the feature within the site | Maintain the total extent of the H8240 feature at 517 ha. (This is a minimum area as some pavement n |
| qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H8240 feature are referable to and characterised Classification types:- CG9 Sesleria albicans – Galium terneri grassland CG10 Festuca ovina – Agrostis OV38 Gymnocarpium robertianum – Arrhenatherum elatius community OV39 Asplenium trichomanes Asplenium viride - Cystopteris fragilis community W8 Fraxinus excelsior – Acer campestre - Mercurialis excelsior – Sorbus aucuparia - Mercurialis perennis woodland W13 Taxus baccata woodland |

en 25-90% e referable to and characterised by the and mosaics with calcareous grassland t of the Annex 1 habitat; , Hippocrepis comosa, Leontodon a caerulea, Succisa pratensis, Thymus t of the Annex 1 habitat; Deschampsia flexuosa, Festuca spp., gala serpyllifolia, Potentilla erecta, Rumex mularium, Sanguisorba minor. ent changes in surface condition, soils, Cirsium vulgare, Senecio jacobaea, Urtica ing tree species (but note that tree cover ensis) rocky habitats such as scree and between ining or restoring the regeneration potential I, soil nutrient status and fungal/bacterial which provide a critical functional nmental change, either within or external to evel values given for this feature of the site ad or Level values given for this feature of te boundary as appropriate) which are which are necessary to Restore the

t may have been mapped as woodland)

sed by the following National Vegetation stis capillaris - Thymus praecox grassland es - A. ruta-muraria community OV40 alis perennis woodland W9 Fraxinus

| The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats | Vegetation community transitions | Maintain the pattern and combination of natural vegetation zonations/transitions between grassland, heath, scrub and woodland |
|---|---|---|
| | Invasive, nonnative and/or introduced species | Ensure invasive and introduced non-native species are either rare or absent, but if present are causing minimal damage to the H8240 f |
| The structure and function of the habitats of | Vegetation: undesirable species | Maintain the frequency/cover of the following undesirable species to within acceptable levels and prevent changes in surface condition, nutrient levels or hydrology which may encourage their spread; Arrhenatherum elatius, Cirsium arvense, Cirsium vulgare, large docks, Senecio jacobaea, Rubus fruticosus, Urtica dioica. |
| qualifying species The populations of | Wooded pavement: vegetation structure and distribution. | On wooded pavements, Restore the presence of seedlings, saplings, mature trees and shrubs comprising site-native species in wooded with open space typically present over 10%-30% of the pavement vegetation by area. |
| qualifying species, | Open pavement | On open pavements, maintain scrub and woody cover at between 5-25% of the pavement feature |
| and, The distribution of qualifying species | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Maintain the properties of the underlying soil types, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, soil nutrient status and fungal:bac ratio, to within typical values for the H8240 habitat. |
| within the site. The supporting | Adaptation and resilience | Maintain the feature's ability, and that of its supporting processes, to adapt or evolve to wider environmental change, either within or ext the site |
| processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species | Key structural, influential and site distinctive species: flora and fauna | Maintain the abundance of the I species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component of the Annex 1 habitat; Arabis hirsuta, Asplenium viride, Carex digitata, Carex ornithopoda, Ceterach officinarum, Clematis vitalba, Convallaria majalis, Cystopi fragilis, Dryopteris submontana, Epipactis atrorubens, Eupatorium cannabinum, Geranium sanguineum, Gymnocarpium robertianum, Hypericum montanum, Juniperus communis, Melica nutans, Melica uniflora, Polygonatum odoratum, Rubus saxatilis, Polystichum acule Thalictrum minus |
| rely | Air quality | Restore the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to within the site-relevant Critical Load or Level values given for this feature of site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk). |
| | Conservation measures | Restore the management measures necessary to restore the structure, functions and supporting processes associated with the H8240 |
| | | Old sessile oak woods with Ilex and Blechnum in the British Isles; Western acidic oak woodland, H91J0. Taxus baccata woods of the British forests of slopes, screes and ravines; Mixed woodland on base-rich soils associated with rocky slopes |
| The extent and distribution of qualifying natural | Extent of the features within the site | Maintain the total extent of the woodland features H91A0 – no area measurement currently available H91J0 - This feature occurs within acerion and has not always been measured separately. Areas available are; Hawes Water – 1 ha Marble Quarry and Hale Fell – 11.2 h Middlebarrow 2.3 ha Thrang Wood 3.1 ha H9180 902 ha, which includes some areas of yew and sessile oak woodland. |
| habitats and habitats of | Spatial distribution of the features within the site | Maintain the distribution and configuration of the woodland features including where applicable their component vegetation types, across site |
| qualifying species The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H91A0 feature are referable to and characterised by the following National Veget Classification types; W10e Quercus roburPteridium aquilinum-Rubus fruticosus woodland, Acer pseudoplatanus-Oxalis acetosella sub- community, W11 Quercus petraea Betula pubescens-Oxalis acetosella woodland, W16b Quercus sppBetula sppDeschampsia lexuo |
| function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats | | woodland, Vaccinium myrtillusDryopteris dilatata subcommunity, W17 Quercus petraea-Betula pubescens Dicranum majus woodland E |
| function (including typical species) of qualifying natural | Vegetation community composition | woodland, Vaccinium myrtillusDryopteris dilatata subcommunity, W17 Quercus petraea-Betula pubescens Dicranum majus woodland E the component University Press vegetation communities of the H91J0 feature are referable to and characterised by the following Nation Vegetation Classification types; W8/9 (yew groves) or W13 |
| function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species The populations of | 0 | woodland, Vaccinium myrtillusDryopteris dilatata subcommunity, W17 Quercus petraea-Betula pubescens Dicranum majus woodland E the component University Press vegetation communities of the H91J0 feature are referable to and characterised by the following Nation Vegetation Classification types; W8/9 (yew groves) or W13 Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H9180 feature are referable to and characterised by the following National Vegetation Classification types; W8/9 (yew groves) or W13 |
| function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species The populations of qualifying species, and, | composition Vegetation structure - canopy | woodland, Vaccinium myrtillusDryopteris dilatata subcommunity, W17 Quercus petraea-Betula pubescens Dicranum majus woodland E the component University Press vegetation communities of the H91J0 feature are referable to and characterised by the following Nation Vegetation Classification types; W8/9 (yew groves) or W13 Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H9180 feature are referable to and characterised by the following National Veget Classification types; W8/9 (including yew groves that may be mapped as W13) |
| function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species The populations of qualifying species, and, The distribution of qualifying species | composition Vegetation structure - canopy cover Vegetation structure - open | woodland, Vaccinium myrtillusDryopteris dilatata subcommunity, W17 Quercus petraea-Betula pubescens Dicranum majus woodland E the component University Press vegetation communities of the H91J0 feature are referable to and characterised by the following Nation Vegetation Classification types; W8/9 (yew groves) or W13 Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H9180 feature are referable to and characterised by the following National Veget Classification types; W8/9 (including yew groves that may be mapped as W13) Maintain an appropriate tree canopy cover across the woodland features, which will typically be between 40-90% of the site Maintain areas of permanent/temporary open space within the woodland feature, typically to cover approximately 10% of area |
| function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species The populations of qualifying species, and, The distribution of | composition Vegetation structure - canopy cover Vegetation structure - open space Vegetation structure – old | woodland, Vaccinium myrtillusDryopteris dilatata subcommunity, W17 Quercus petraea-Betula pubescens Dicranum majus woodland E the component University Press vegetation communities of the H91J0 feature are referable to and characterised by the following Nation Vegetation Classification types; W8/9 (yew groves) or W13 Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H9180 feature are referable to and characterised by the following National Veget Classification types; W8/9 (including yew groves that may be mapped as W13) Maintain an appropriate tree canopy cover across the woodland features, which will typically be between 40-90% of the site Maintain areas of permanent/temporary open space within the woodland feature, typically to cover approximately 10% of area Restore the extent and continuity of undisturbed, mature/old growth stands (typically comprising at least 20% of the feature at any one and the assemblages of veteran and ancient trees (typically >10 trees per hectare). |
| function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species The populations of qualifying species, and, The distribution of qualifying species within the site. The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the | compositionVegetation structure - canopy coverVegetation structure - open spaceVegetation structure - old growthVegetation structure - dead | woodland, Vaccinium myrtillusDryopteris dilatata subcommunity, W17 Quercus petraea-Betula pubescens Dicranum majus woodland E the component University Press vegetation communities of the H91J0 feature are referable to and characterised by the following Nation Vegetation Classification types; W8/9 (yew groves) or W13 Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H9180 feature are referable to and characterised by the following National Veget Classification types; W8/9 (including yew groves that may be mapped as W13) Maintain an appropriate tree canopy cover across the woodland features, which will typically be between 40-90% of the site Maintain areas of permanent/temporary open space within the woodland feature, typically to cover approximately 10% of area Restore the extent and continuity of undisturbed, mature/old growth stands (typically comprising at least 20% of the feature at any one and the assemblages of veteran and ancient trees (typically >10 trees per hectare). Restore the continuity and abundance of standing or fallen dead and decaying wood, typically between 30 - 50 m³ per hectare of standing dead trees per hectare |
| function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species The populations of qualifying species, and, The distribution of qualifying species within the site. The supporting processes on which qualifying natural | compositionVegetation structure - canopy coverVegetation structure - open spaceVegetation structure - old growthVegetation structure - dead woodVegetation structure - dead | woodland, Vaccinium myrtillusDryopteris dilatata subcommunity, W17 Quercus petraea-Betula pubescens Dicranum majus woodland E the component University Press vegetation communities of the H91J0 feature are referable to and characterised by the following Nation Vegetation Classification types; W8/9 (yew groves) or W13 Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H9180 feature are referable to and characterised by the following National Veget Classification types; W8/9 (including yew groves that may be mapped as W13) Maintain an appropriate tree canopy cover across the woodland features, which will typically be between 40-90% of the site Maintain areas of permanent/temporary open space within the woodland feature, typically to cover approximately 10% of area Restore the extent and continuity of undisturbed, mature/old growth stands (typically comprising at least 20% of the feature at any one tand the assemblages of veteran and ancient trees (typically >10 trees per hectare). Restore the continuity and abundance of standing or fallen dead and decaying wood, typically between 30 - 50 m3 per hectare of standing fallen timber or 3-5 fallen trees >30cm per hectare, and >10 standing dead trees per hectare Restore the continuity and abundance of standing or fallen dead and decaying wood, typically between 30 - 50 m3 per hectare of standing fallen timber or 3-5 fallen trees >30cm per hectare, and >10 standing dead trees per hectare |

| | Vegetation structure – woodland edge | Maintain a graduated woodland edge into adjacent semi-natural open habitats, other woodland/wood- |
|--|---|---|
| | Adaptation and resilience | H91A0 feature – Restore the resilience of the feature by ensuring a diversity (at least 3 species of site oak, birches, holly, rowan) across the site. H91J0 feature – Maintain the resilience of the feature by enspecies; although yew dominates, this can be provided by a scattering of one or more of whitebeam, a feature – Maintain the resilience of the feature by ensuring a diversity of site-native tree species (at least aspen/alder/ sycamore/ rowan/ bird cherry/ birch) is present across the site. |
| | Browsing and grazing by herbivores | Restore browsing to a level that allows a well developed woodland understorey (as indicated by no ob with some grazing-sensitive species evident (e.g. bramble, ivy), and tree seedlings and sapling commo |
| | Regeneration potential | Restore the potential for sufficient natural regeneration of desirable trees and shrubs; typically tree see by seedlings and <1.3m saplings - above grazing and browsing height) should be visible in sufficient n as regrowth as appropriate. |
| | Tree and shrub species composition | H91A0 feature – Maintain a canopy and under-storey of which 95% is composed of site native trees a yew, hazel, blackthorn, hawthorn, honeysuckle, bramble. H91J0 feature - Maintain a canopy and under site native trees and shrubs e.g. yew, ash, hazel |
| | Key structural, influential and site distinctive species: flora and fauna H9180 feature | Restore the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component of Canopy: Oak spp, birch spp, yew Understorey: Rowan, holly, hazel, hawthorn, occasional juniper, bravulgaris, bilberry Vaccinium myrtillus; rich assemblage of woodland bryophytes; assemblage of bracked Dryopteris species; Oxalis acetosella and Galium saxatile Assemblage of holenesting woodland birds flora - Dog's mercury Mercurialis perennis or hart's-tongue Phyllitis scolopendrium. Other species (shabrome Brachypodium sylvaticum; pignut Conopodium majus; meadowsweet Filipendula ulmaria; Herb avens Geum urbanum; ivy Hedera helix; barren strawberry Potentilla sterilis; bramble Rubus fruticosu Species defining the component NVC types of W8 and W9 types which include; ash Fraxinus excelsion avellana; yew Taxus baccata false-brome Brachypodium sylvaticum; Herb Robert Geranium robertian Hedera helix; dog's ercury Mercurialis perennis; barren strawberry Potentilla sterilis; bramble Rubus frutions avellana; zercury Mercurialis perennis; barren strawberry Potentilla sterilis; bramble Rubus fruticosu species defining the component NVC types of W8 and W9 types which include; ash Fraxinus excelsion avellana; yew Taxus baccata false-brome Brachypodium sylvaticum; Herb Robert Geranium robertian Hedera helix; dog's ercury Mercurialis perennis; barren strawberry Potentilla sterilis; bramble Rubus frutiona 2. Distinctive flora of this feature; small-leaved lime Tilia cordata; wych elm Ulmus glabra; will Euonymus europaeus; fingered sedge Carex digitata; Lancastrian whitebeam Sorbus lancastriensis |
| | | 3. Distinctive fauna of this feature; Red wood ant Formica rufa (on some component SSSIs) |
| | Invasive, nonnative and/or introduced species | Ensure invasive and introduced non-native species are either rare or absent, but if present are causing feature |
| | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Maintain the properties of the underlying soil types, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, ratio, to within typical values for the woodland habitat. |
| | Functional connectivity with wider landscape | Maintain the overall extent, quality and function of any supporting features within the local landscape v connection with the site |
| | Air quality | Maintain the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants within the site-relevant Critical Load or Lev on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk) |
| | Hydrology | At a site and catchment level, maintain natural hydrological processes to provide the conditions neces within the site |
| | Illumination | Ensure artificial light is maintained at a level which is unlikely to affect natural phenological cycles and woodland feature and its typical species at this site. |
| Supplementary Adv | ice for Qualifying Features: S1014. | . Vertigo angustior; Narrow-mouthed whorl snail |
| The extent and distribution of | Conservation measures | Maintain the management measures which are necessary to maintain the structure, functions and sup Narrow-mouthed whorl snail and/or its supporting habitats. |
| qualifying natural habitats and | Extent of supporting habitat | Maintain the total extent of the habitat which supports the Narrow-mouthed whorl snail feature. The ha limestone blocks in pavement) shaded by hazel and yew. |
| habitats of qualifying species The structure and | Distribution of supporting habitat | Maintain the distribution and continuity of the feature and its supporting habitat, including where applic associated transitional vegetation types, across the site |
| function (including typical species) of | Adaptation and resilience | Maintain the feature's ability, and that of its supporting habitat, to adapt or evolve to wider environmen site |
| qualifying natural habitats | Air quality | Restore concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to within the site-relevant Critical Load or Leve on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk). |
| The structure and function of the | Population abundance | Maintain the abundance of the narrow-mouthed whorl snail population as widespread and locally abur |

d-pasture types or scrub.

te native trees e.g sessile or pedunculate ensuring a diversity of site-native tree , ash, birch, sycamore and oak. H9180 east 4 species e.g. ash/small-leaved lime/

bbvious browse line, lush ground vegetation mon in gaps.

eedlings of desirable species (measured t numbers in gaps, at the wood edge and/or

and shrubs e.g. oak, birch, rowan, holly, der-storey of which 95% is composed of

t of the Annex 1 habitat; H91A0 feature ramble Ground flora: heather Calluna ken or ferns such as with Blechnum, Is H91J0 feature Canopy – yew Ground hared with Tilio- cerion) can include falserb Robert Geranium robertianum; wood sus; common dog-violet Viola rivini1. sior; oak Quercus robur; hazel Corylus anum; wood avens Geum urbanum; ivy fruticosus; common dogviolet Viola vild cherry Prunus avium; spindle

ng minimal damage to the woodland

I, soil nutrient status and fungal:bacterial

which provide a critical functional

evel values given for this feature of the site

essary to sustain the woodland feature

nd processes to the detriment of the

upporting processes associated with the

habitat is moss-covered clints (the

licable its component vegetation types and

ental change, either within or external to the

vel values given for this feature of the site

undant within its supporting habitat.

| | | habitats of qualifying species The populations of qualifying species, and, The distribution of qualifying species within the site. The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely | | | |
|--|---------------|--|---|--|---|
| | River Derwent | Supplementary Advic dominated by water- | | Water courses of plain to montane levels with the Ranunculion fluitantis and Callitricho-Batrachion vege | |
| | | The extent and distribution of | Extent of the feature within the site | Restore the full extent of the H3260 habitat to that characteristic of the natural fluvial processes associ | |
| | | qualifying natural habitats and | River (habitat) mosaic | Restore the extent and pattern of inchannel and riparian habitats to that characteristic of natural fluvial | |
| | | habitats of qualifying species | Riparian zone | Maintain a mosaic of natural woody and herbaceous (tall and short swards) riparian vegetation to the r wide to act as a healthy and functional habitat zone within the river corridor. | |
| | | The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats The structure and function of the habitats of | Woody debris | Maintain the presence of coarse woody debris within the structure of the channel. In smaller watercour feature of channel dynamics. | |
| | | | Water course flow | Restore the natural flow regime of the river, with daily flows as close to what would be expected in the (the naturalised flow). | |
| | | | Sediment regime | Restore the natural supply of coarse and fine sediment to the river | |
| | | | Thermal regime | Maintain a natural thermal regime to the river (subject to a changing climate), ensuring that water temp artificially elevated | |
| | | qualifying species | Biological connectivity | Ensure the movement of river wildlife characteristic of the H3260 feature at this site is not significantly | |
| | | The supporting processes on which | Water chemistry – alkalinity | Maintain natural levels of alkalinity | |
| | | qualifying natural habitats and the | Water quality - nutrients | Restore the natural nutrient regime of the river, with any anthropogenic enrichment above natural/back which adverse effects on the H3260 feature's characteristic biodiversity are unlikely | |
| | | habitats of qualifying species rely | Water quality – organic pollution | Restore organic pollution levels to the following levels that have minimal impact on the characteristic b | |
| | | The populations of | Water quality - other pollutants | Achieve at least 'Good' chemical status (i.e. compliance with Relevant Environmental Quality Standard | |
| | | qualifying species, and, The distribution of qualifying species within the site. | Invasive, nonnative and/or introduced species | Ensure non-native species categorised as 'high-impact' in the UK under the Water Framework Directivare causing minimal damage to the H3260 feature. The species on the high-impact list known to be pr hogweed, Himalayan balsam, signal crayfish (some tributaries), Chinese mitten crab (lower reaches) | |
| | | | Key structural, influential and distinctive species | Maintain the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component of Water crowfoots – all Ranunculus spp. Starworts – all Callitriche spp. Pondweeds – all Potamogeton se Milfoils – Myriophyllum spp; lesser water parsnip Berula erecta, flowering rush Botumus umbellatus Fa including mayflies (Baetis buceratus, Heptagenia fusogrisea and Brachtcerus harisella) and stoneflies of dragonfly. Diverse assemblage of native fish species (including gudgeon, pike, roach, dace, barbell, bleak, bullhead, minnow, stone loach, river lamprey, sea lamprey, flounder, eel) Otter Lutra lutra | |
| | | | | Fisheries | Maintain fish densities at or below the natural environmental carrying capacity of the river, and no high stocking to previously un-stocked rivers or river sections). Trout stocking should not elevate densities of more than 1-3 fish 100m ² , this being the estimated range of natural trout densities in SAC rivers. |
| | | | Vegetation structure: riparian zone | Maintain a structurally diverse riparian zone by livestock grazing at suitably low levels. | |
| | | | Air quality | Maintain the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the site-relevant Critical Loa feature of the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk). | |
| | | | | | |

egetation ('rivers with floating vegetation

ociated with the river type.

/ial processes.

ne riparian zone, which should be sufficiently

ourses, temporary debris dams should be a

ne absence of abstractions and discharges

mperatures should) not be significantly

ly artificially constrained

ackground concentrations limited to levels at

biota;

ards).

ctive are either rare or absent but if present present include: Japanese knotweed, giant s)

nt of the H3260 Annex 1 habitat; Flora; n spp., plus Groenlandia & Zannichellia spp. Fauna A rich invertebrate assemblage es (Taeniopteryx nebulosi) and 11 species ell, grayling, chub, brown trout, perch, ruffe,

igher than historical levels (this means no es of adult trout (stocked plus natural) to

oad or Level values given for this H3260

| | | Cover of submerged | Maintain a sufficient proportion of all aquatic macrophytes to enable them to reproduce in suitable hab |
|---------------|--|--|--|
| | | macrophytes | |
| | | Screening of intakes and discharges | Ensure adequate screening of any intakes and discharges likely to trap a significant number of individu H3260 feature |
| | | Supporting offsite habitat | Maintain any habitats beyond the SAC boundary upon which characteristic biological communities ass depend |
| | | Adaptation and resilience | Maintain (or restore where resilience is degraded) the H3260 feature's ability, and that of its supporting environmental change, either within or external to the site |
| Supp | plementary Advic | e for Qualifying Features: S1095 | Sea lamprey Petromyzon marinus; S1099 River lamprey Lampetra fluviatilis; S1163 Bullhead Cottus go |
| distri | extent and ibution of | Conservation measures | Restore management or other measures (within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) nece and supporting processes associated with these features and their supporting habitats |
| | ifying natural tats and | Extent of supporting Habitat | Maintain the extent of river and stream habitatwhich supports the features at the baseline value of 368 |
| habit | tats of ifying species | Distribution of supporting habitat | Restore the distribution and continuity of the features and its supporting habitat, including where applic associated transitional vegetation types, across the site |
| The | structure and tion (including | Adaptation and resilience | Maintain the features ability, and that of their supporting habitats, to adapt or evolve to wider environm the site |
| | al species) of | Biotope mosaic | See the target above for the H3260 habitat feature |
| quai habit | ifying natural tats | Riparian zone | See the target above for the H3260 habitat feature |
| The | structure and | Woody debris | See the target above for the H3260 habitat feature |
| | tion of the tats of | Flow regime | See the target above for the H3260 habitat feature |
| | ifying species | Sediment regime | See the target above for the H3260 habitat feature |
| The | supporting | Biological connectivity | See the target above for the H3260 habitat feature |
| quali | esses on which ifying natural tats and the | Water quality – nutrients | Restore the natural nutrient regime of the river, with any anthropogenic enrichment above natural/back adverse effects on the feature are unlikely |
| habit | tats of ifying species | Water quality – organic pollution | See the target above for the H3260 habitat feature |
| rely | | Water quality – acidification | See the target above for the H3260 habitat feature |
| | populations of | Water quality - other pollutants | See the target above for the H3260 habitat feature |
| and, | ifying species, | Invasive non-native species | See the target above for the H3260 habitat feature |
| quali | distribution of ifying species | Fisheries – introduction of fish species | Ensure fish stocking/introductions do not interfere with the ability of the river to support self-sustaining |
| within | n the site. | Fisheries – exploitation | Ensure all exploitation (e.g. netting or angling) of lamprey species is undertaken sustainably without co populations |
| | | Vegetation structure: riparian zone | See the target above for the H3260 habitat feature |
| | | Screening of intakes and discharges | See the target above for the H3260 habitat feature |
| | | Integrity of off-site habitats | See the target above for the H3260 habitat feature |
| | | Population abundance | Restore the abundance of the bullhead and lamprey populations to levels which are close to that expe throughout the site (subject to natural habitat conditions and allowing for natural fluctuations), whilst as as indicated by the latest count or equivalent; Sea and River lamprey: ammocoete populations should average adult density>0.5 individuals/m ² with healthy age-class structure (>40% of individuals in the 0 |
| | | Juvenile densities | Restore juvenile densities to those expected under un-impacted conditions throughout the site (taking and allowing for natural luctuations) |
| Supp | plementary Advic | e for Qualifying features: S1355 C | Otter Lutra lutra |
| distri | extent and ibution of | Conservation measures | Maintain management or other measures (within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) nece functions and supporting processes associated with the feature are underway and are not being under |
| | ifying natural tats and | Extent of supporting habitat | Maintain the extent of habitat(s) which supports the Otter feature at or above 411.23 hectares, to inclu 20.9ha neutral grassland |
| | | I | |

abitat,

viduals of species characteristic of the

associated with the H3260 feature of the site

ting processes, to adapt or evolve to wider

gobio

ecessary to restore the structure, functions

68.8 hectares

blicable its component vegetation types and

nmental change, either within or external to

ackground concentrations to levels at which

ng populations of each of the Features

compromising any components of their

pected under unimpacted conditions t avoiding deterioration from current levels uld have at least two age classes Bullhead: e 0+ age class)

ng into account natural habitat conditions

ecessary to maintain the structure, dermined or compromised.

clude:368.8ha rivers and streams

| | | habitats of | | 8.7ha standing open water |
|--|---|--|--|---|
| | The struct function (i typical sp | qualifying species The structure and | | 6.9ha Wet woodland |
| | | function (including | | 2.8ha fen, marsh, swamp |
| | | typical species) of qualifying natural | Distribution of supporting habitat | Maintain the distribution and continuity of the feature and its supporting habitat, including where app associated transitional vegetation types, across the site |
| | | habitats The structure and | Adaptation and resilience | Maintain the feature's ability, and that of its supporting habitat, to adapt or evolve to wider environments ite |
| | | function of the habitats of | Habitat quality: river | Maintain the quality of supporting river habitat features, based on the advice above for the H3260 fe mosaic required by otters |
| | | qualifying species | Habitat quality - waterways | Maintain the quality of supporting waterway habitat such as associated tributaries of the Derwent |
| | | The supporting processes on which | Food availability | Maintain fish biomass at expected natural levels of biomass (subject to natural fluctuations). |
| | | qualifying natural habitats and the | Abundance of breeding and resting places | Maintain an abundance of natural breeding and resting sites within the site |
| | | habitats of qualifying species | Availability of refugia | Maintain an abundance of dense bankside vegetation to limit significant disturbance to animals |
| | | rely | Water quantity | See target for the H3260 feature above |
| | | The populations of qualifying species, | Water quality/quantity | Ensure water quality and quantity is restored to a standard which provides the necessary conditions H3260 feature should be met. |
| | | and, The distribution of | Water quality: Toxic chemicals | Avoid any increase in the level of pollutants which are potentially toxic to otters. |
| | | qualifying species within the site. | Connectivity within and to the site | Ensure there are no significant artificial barriers to the safe passage and movement of otters into, with |
| | | | Population abundance | Maintain the continued presence of an actively-breeding otter population within the SAC, whilst avoi indicated by the latest mean peak count, estimate or equivalent |
| | | | Anthropogenic mortality | Reduce otter mortality as a result of anthropogenic (man-made) factors to a level which is not adver viability of the population. |
| | Gang Mine | The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats The structure and function (including typical species) of | Extent of the feature within the site | Maintain the total extent of the H6130 grassland feature at between 2 – 3.5 hectares (to allow for ex |
| | | | Spatial distribution of the feature within the site | The current distribution and configuration of the H6130 feature, including where applicable, its comp maintained. |
| | | | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H6130 feature are referable to and characteria Classification type: OV37 Festuca ovina – Minuartia verna community |
| | | qualifying natural habitats, and | Key structural, influential and/or distinctive species | Maintain the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable componer site; Spring sandwort Minuartia verna, Alpine penny-cress Thlaspi caerulescens, Mountain pansyVia |
| | | The supporting processes on which the qualifying natural habitats rely | Vegetation: undesirable species | Maintain the frequency/cover of the following undesirable species at acceptable levels and are not e surface condition, soils, nutrient levels or changes to hydrology; cow parsley Anthriscus sylvestris, the hogweed Heracleum sphondylium, nettle Urtica dioica, coarse grasses including false oat-grass Arr Holcus lanatus and all tree and shrub species |
| | | | Vegetation community transitions | Maintain any natural vegetation zonations/transitions between the feature (OV37 Festuca ovina-Min grassland types present on the sites |
| | | | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | The properties of the underlying soil types, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, soil nu ratio, are maintained within typical values for the habitat |
| | | | Air quality | Restore concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the site-relevant Critical Load of the site on the Air Pollution Information System |
| | | | Supporting offsite habitat | Restore and maintain the extent, quality and spatial configuration of land or habitat surrounding or a support the feature In particular, the adjacent area of this lead rake and worked area where spoil he |
| | | | Functional connectivity with wider landscape | Any supporting features within the local landscape which provide a critical functional connection with overall extent, quality and function. |
| | | | Adaptation and resilience of the feature and the supporting processes on which it relies | The feature's ability, and that of its supporting processes, to adapt or evolve to wider environmental is not prejudiced |

blicable its component vegetation types and

ental change, either within or external to the

eature, to provide a characteristic biotope

s to support the feature. Flow targets for the

vithin and away from the site

iding deterioration from its current level as

rsely affecting the overall abundance and

xpected natural fluctuation)

ponent vegetation types, across the site is

ised by the following National Vegetation

nt of the qualifying H6130 feature at this ola lutea

encouraged or introduced by changes in thistles Cirsium arvense, Cirsium vulgare, rhenatherum elatius and Yorkshire fog

nuartia verna community) and other

utrient status and fungal:bacterial PLFA

or Level values given for the H6130 feature

adjacent to the SAC which is known to eaps were levelled prior to notification.

h the site are maintained in terms of their

change, either within or external to the site,

| | T | | I | 1 |
|--|--------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | Conservation measures | Management or other measures (within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) necessary to supporting processes associated with the H6130 feature are underway and are not being undermined |
| | Denby Grange Colliery Ponds | The extent and distribution of the | Overall Habitat Suitability Index score | For this SAC, restore and then maintain an overall Great Crested Newt Habitat Suitability Index score |
| | | habitats of qualifying species | Presence of ponds | Maintain the 3 ponds present within the SAC, which include 2 great crested newt breeding ponds (Old |
| | | The structure and function of the | Permanence of ponds | Maintain the permanence of water within Fire Pond, indicated by a minimum summer depth of 10cms Old Pond indicated by a minimum summer depth of 10cms |
| | | habitats of | Cover of macrophytes | Restore and then maintain a good cover of macrophytes to the breeding ponds of Fire and Old Pond |
| | | qualifying species The supporting | Invasive, nonnative and/or introduced species | Invasive non-native species should be rare or absent components of open water habitat supporting th |
| | | processes on which the habitats of qualifying species | Supporting terrestrial habitat | Maintain the quality of terrestrial habitat likely to be utilised by Great Crested Newts, with no fragmenta newt dispersal. |
| | | rely The populations of | Shading of ponds | Pond perimeters should generally be free of shade affecting less than 60% of the shoreline, with less shaded and less than 50% of southern margin in Fire Pond shaded |
| | | qualifying species, | Presence of fish and wildfowl | Fish and wildfowl are absent from all ponds. |
| | | and, The distribution of qualifying species | Water quality | Maintain and restore the quality of pond waters within the site as indicated by the presence of an abur community. Maintain water quality in Fire Pond, and restore water quality in both Old Pond and Northe |
| | | within the site. | Population size | The size of the great crested newt population is being maintained at or above a peak mean of at least |
| | | | Population viability | Maintain the presence of great crested newt eggs in breeding ponds at a level which is likely to mainta target level |
| | | | Supporting metapopulation | Maintain the connectivity of the SAC great crested newt population to other closely associated popula boundary), including those present at Stockmoor Common Maintain the woodland, hedgerow and grast and the nearby Stockmoor Common Nature Reserve. |
| | | | Extent of supporting terrestrial habitat | The overall extent of habitat which supports the Great Crested Newt feature is either being maintained |
| | | | Distribution of supporting terrestrial habitat | Maintain the distribution and continuity of the feature and its supporting habitat, including where applic associated transitional vegetation types, across the site is maintained. |
| | | | Conservation measures | Management or other measures (within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) necessary to Newt feature and/or its supporting habitat are underway and are not being undermined or compromise |
| | | | Adaptation and resilience of the feature and the supporting processes on which it relies | The feature's ability, and that of its supporting habitat, to adapt or evolve to wider environmental channel not prejudiced |
| | | | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | The properties of the underlying soil types, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, soil nutr ratio, are maintained within typical values for the supporting habitat |
| | | | Air quality | Maintain or restore as necessary the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the given for this feature of the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk). |
| | Kirk Deighton | The extent and distribution of the | Overall Habitat Suitability Index score | Restore an overall great crested newt Habitat Suitability Index score of not less than 0.8. |
| | | habitats of qualifying species | Presence of ponds | Maintain the number of ponds present within the site at not less than two |
| | | The structure and function of the | Permanence of Ponds | Maintain the permanence of water within ponds present within the site, with a minimum summer water three years out of four. |
| | | habitats of qualifying species | Cover of macrophytes | Restore a high cover of macrophytes, typically between 25-80%, within ponds. Additional target: 15% and emergent species, and 25% - 75% of pond bottom/ mid-water/ surface covered by submerged or |
| | | The supporting processes on which the habitats of | Supporting terrestrial habitat | Maintain the extent and quality of terrestrial habitat likely to be utilised by great crested newts, with no barriers to newt dispersal, by maintaining 3.91ha of grassland and the network of mature hawthorn he SAC |
| | | qualifying species rely The populations of | Shading of ponds | Pond perimeters should generally be free of shade (typically affecting less than 60% of the shoreline), main breeding pond solidly shaded |
| | | qualifying species, | Presence of fish and wildfowl | Maintain the absence of fish and wildfowl from all ponds |
| | | and, | Water quality | Restore the quality of pond waters within the site as indicated by the presence of an abundant and div |

to maintain the structure, functions and ed or compromised.

re to no less than 0.8.

Old Pond and Fire Pond).

ns Restore the permanence of water within

d within the site.

the great crested newt.

entation of habitat by significant barriers to

ss than 25% of southern margin in Old Pond

oundant and diverse invertebrate thern Pond

st 625 adults in April/May

ntain the abundance of the population to its

lations (either within or outside of the site rassland habitats present between the SAC

ned at or recovering to 18.01 ha of woodland

licable its component vegetation types and

to maintain or restore the Great Crested ised.

inge, either within or external to the site, is

trient status and fungal:bacterial PLFA

he site-relevant Critical Load or Level values

ter depth of 10cm for both ponds at least

% - 100% of margin supporting marginal or floating species.

no fragmentation of habitat by significant hedgerows and scattered trees within the

e), with <20% of the southern margin of the

diverse invertebrate community.

| | The distribution of qualifying species | Population abundance | Restore and then maintain the abundance of the population to a level which is above an average of deterioration from its current level as indicated by the latest mean peak count or equivalent. | |
|--|---|--|--|---|
| | | within the site. | Population viability | Maintain the presence of great crested newt eggs in breeding ponds |
| | | Supporting metapopulation | Maintain the connectivity of the SAC's great crested newt population to other closely associated pop boundary) | |
| | | | Conservation measures | Maintain the management measures (either within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) structure, functions and supporting processes associated with the feature and/or its supporting habi |
| | | | Distribution of supporting habitat | Maintain the distribution and continuity of the feature and its supporting habitat, including where app associated transitional vegetation types, across the site |
| | | | Adaptation and resilience | Maintain the feature's ability, and that of its supporting habitat, to adapt or evolve to wider environments site |
| | | | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Maintain the properties of the underlying soil types, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pl ratio, within typical values for the supporting habitat |
| | | | Air quality | Maintain or, where necessary, restore concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below values given for this feature of the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk). |
| | Lower Derwent | Supplementary Advid | ce for Qualifying Features: H6510. | . Lowland hay meadows (Alopecurus pratensis, Sanguisorba officinalis) |
| | Valley | The extent and distribution of | Extent of the feature within the site | Maintain the total extent of the H6510 feature at 172.65 Ha |
| | | qualifying natural habitats and habitats of | Spatial distribution of the feature within the site | Maintain the distribution and configuration of the feature, including where applicable its component |
| | | habitats of qualifying species The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats of qualifying species rely The populations of qualifying species, and, The distribution of qualifying species within the site. | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the H6510 feature are referable to and characteric classification type (s); MG4 Alopecurus pratensis - Sanguisorba officinalis grassland |
| | | | Key structural, influential and distinctive species | Restore the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component pratensis (meadow foxtail), Filipendula ulmaria (meadowsweet), Leontodon autumnalis (autumn have water dropwort), Sanguisorba officinalis (great burnet), Silaum silaus (pepper saxifrage), Succisa preflavum (common meadow-rue) Centaurea nigra (black knapweed), , Galium verum (lady's bedstraw Leucanthemum vulgare (oxeye daisy), Lotus corniculatus (common bird's-foot-trefoil), Primula veries Serratula tinctoria s(aw-wort), Stachys officinalis (betony), Tragopogon pratensis (goat's beard). Assewaders (snipe, lapwing, redshank and curlew) and nationally important numbers of whimbrel on spring. |
| | | | Vegetation: undesirable species | Maintain the frequency/cover of the following undesirable species to within acceptable levels (no mo singly or together more than 5% cover) and prevent changes in surface condition, soils, nutrient level spread; Anthriscus sylvestris (cow parsley), Cirsium arvense (creeping thistle), Cirsium vulgare (spe Rumex obtusifolius (broad-leaved dock), Senecio jacobaea (common ragwort), Urtica dioica (comm Deschampsia cespitosa (tufted hair-grass), large Carex spp. (sedges) large grasses i.e. Glyceria ma arundinacea (reed canary-grass), Phragmites australis (common reed). Tree and scrub species sho the sward or more than 1% cover |
| | | | Vegetation community transitions | Maintain the pattern of naturallyoccurring vegetation zonations and transitions within the H6510 feat |
| | | | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Maintain the properties of the underlying soil types, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pl ratio, to within typical values for the H6150 habitat. For this feature soil P index should typically be b |
| | | | Water quality | Where the feature is dependent on surface water and/or groundwater, maintain water quality and quality necessary conditions to support the H6510 feature |
| | | | Hydrology: Water table | Maintain a hydrological regime which provides a consistently near-surface water table which typical (spring), 70cm summer) and 60cm (autumn) below ground level |
| | | | Hydrology: Flooding regime | Maintain a hydrological regime which provides a cumulative duration of annual surface flooding (typ DecemberFebruary and less than 3 days between September-November, with no inundations during changes. |
| | | | Hydrology | At a site and catchment level mintain natural hydrological processes to provide the conditions neces |
| | | | Adaptation and resilience | Maintain the H6150 feature's ability, and that of its supporting processes, to adapt or evolve to wide external to the site |
| | | | Air quality | Restore the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the site-relevant Critical Lo the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk). |

247 great crested newts, whilst avoiding

oulations (either within or outside of the site

which are necessary to maintain the itats.

blicable its component vegetation types and

ental change, either within or external to the

H, soil nutrient status and fungal/bacterial

the site-relevant Critical Load or Level

vegetation types, across the site

ised by the following National Vegetation

nt of the H6510 habitat; Alopecurus wkbit), Oenanthe silaifolia narrow-leaved ratensis (Devil's-bit scabious), Thalictrum /), Lathyrus pratensis (meadow vetchling), s (cowslip), Rhinanthus minor (yellow rattle), semblage of birds including breeding ring passage.

ore than occasional throughout the sward or els or hydrology which may enc ourage their ear thistle), Rumex crispus (curled dock), non nettle). Juncus spp (rushes), axima (reed sweetgrass, Phalaris ould be no more than occasional throughout

ture

H, soil nutrient status and fungal: bacterial between index 0 and 1 (<15 mg/l)

uantity to a standard which provides the

lly averages depths of 35 cm (winter), 45cm

pically less than 10 days between ng March – August), subject to natural

ssary to sustain the feature within the site er environmental change, either within or

oad or Level values given for this feature of

| | Conservation measures | Maintain the management measures within and/or outside the site boundary) which are necessary to r supporting processes associated with the H6510 feature |
|---|---|---|
| Supplementary Advice | e for Qualifying Features: H91E0. | Alluvial forests with Alnus glutinosa and Fraxinus excelsior (Alno-Padion, Alnion incanae, Salicion alba |
| The extent and distribution of | Extent of the feature within the site | Maintain the total extent of the H91E0 feature at 6.59 hectares. |
| qualifying natural habitats and habitats of | Spatial distribution of the feature within the site | Maintain the distribution and configuration of the H91E0 feature, including where applicable its comport |
| The structure and function (including typical species) of | Vegetation community composition | Ensure the component vegetation communities of the feature are referable to and characterised by the Classification type(s); W6a Alnus glutinosa (alder) - Urtica dioica (nettle) W7 Alnus glutinosa (alder) - nemorum (yellow pimpernel) and W2a Salix cinerea (grey willow)- Betula pubescen (downy birch) – Ph meadowsweet sub community. |
| qualifying natural habitats | Vegetation structure - canopy cover | Maintain an appropriate tree canopy cover across the H91E0 feature, which will typically be between 3 |
| The structure and function of the | Vegetation structure - open space | Maintain areas of permanent/temporary open space within the woodland feature, typically to cover app |
| qualitying species | Vegetation structure – old growth | Maintain the extent and continuity of undisturbed, mature/old growth stands (typically comprising at leat time) and the assemblages of veteran and ancient trees (typically c.5 trees per hectare). |
| | Vegetation structure - dead wood | Maintain the continuity and abundance of standing or fallen dead and decaying wood, (typically betwee fallen timber or 3 fallen trees >30cm per hectare, and 4 standing dead trees per hectare) |
| habitats and the habitats of | Vegetation structure – age class distribution | Maintain at least 3 age classes (pole stage/ medium/ mature) spread across the average life expectan |
| qualifying species rely The populations of | Vegetation structure - shrub layer | Maintain an understorey of shrubs covering 10 - 60% of the stand area (this will vary with light levels a |
| qualifying species, | Vegetation structure - woodland edge | Maintain a graduated woodland edge into adjacent semi-natural open habitats, other woodland/wood-p |
| qualifying species | Adaptation and resilience | Maintain the resilience of the feature by ensuring a diversity (at least 3 species) of site-native trees (e.g. spp, black poplar) across the site. |
| | Browsing and grazing by herbivores | Maintain browsing at a (low) level that maintains a well-developed understorey with no obvious browse some grazing sensitive species evident (bramble, ivy etc.), and tree seedlings and sapling common in |
| | Regeneration potential | Maintain the potential for sufficient natural regeneration of desirable trees and shrubs; typically tree set by seedlings and <1.3m saplings - above grazing and browsing height) should be visible in sufficient n as regrowth form coppice stumps as appropriate; |
| | Tree and shrub species composition | Maintain a canopy and understorey of which 95% is composed of site-native trees and shrubs e.g. Aln (ash) and to a lesser extent Quercus robur (Oak)] |
| | Key structural, influential and distinctive species | Restore the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component of Alnus glutinosa, ash Fraxinus excelsior downy birch Betula pubescens willows Salix spp., sedges Care blackthorn (Prunus spinosa), holly (Ilex aquifolium). Nettle (Urtica dioica) wood sorrel (Oxalis acetosell and localised common reed (Phragmites australis). |
| | Invasive, nonnative and/or introduced species | Ensure invasive and introduced non-native species are either rare or absent, but if present are causing |
| | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Maintain the properties of the underlying soil types, including structure, bulk density, total carbon, pH, s ratio, to within typical values for the H91E0 habitat. |
| | Water quality/quantity | Where the feature is dependent on surface water and/or groundwater, maintain water quality and quar necessary conditions to support the H91E0 feature. |
| | Hydrology | At a site, unit and/or catchment level (as necessary, maintain natural hydrological processes to provide H91E0 feature within the site |
| | Functional connectivity with wider landscape | Maintain the overall extent, quality and function of any supporting features within the local landscape w connection with the site |
| | Conservation measures | Maintain the management measures within and/or outside the site boundary) which are necessary to r supporting processes associated with the H91E0 feature |

o maintain the structure, functions and

bae); Alder woodland on floodplains

oonent vegetation types, across the site

he following National Vegetation – Fraxinis excelsior (ash) - Lysimachia Phragmites australis (common reed); alder-

a 30-90% of the site

approximately 10% of area

east 10% of the H91E0 feature at any one

veen 30 - 50 m³ per hectare of standing or

ancy of the commonest trees

and site objectives)

d-pasture types or scrub.

(e.g. alder, willow Salix spp, ash, elm Ulmus

vse line and lush ground vegetation with in gaps.

seedlings of desirable species (measured t numbers in gaps, at the wood edge and/or

Alnus glutinosa (alder) Fraxinus excelsior

t of the H91E0 habitat; Higher plants; alder arex spp.hawthorn (Crataegus monogyna), ella), greater stitchwort (Stellaria holostea)

ing minimal damage to the H91E0 feature

I, soil nutrient status and fungal: bacterial

antity to a standard which provides the

ide the conditions necessary to sustain the

which provide a critical functional

o maintain the structure, functions and

| | | | Illumination | Ensure artificial light is maintained at a level which is unlikely to affect natural phenological cycles and feature and its typical species at this site. |
|-----|--|--|---|--|
| | | Supplementary Advid | ce for Qualifying Features: S1355. | Lutra lutra; Otter |
| | | The extent and distribution of | Conservation measures | Maintain the management measures (either within and/or outside the site boundary as appropriate) w structure, functions and supporting processes associated with the otter feature and/or its supporting h |
| | | qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species The structure and function (including typical species) of | Extent of supporting habitat | Maintain the total extent of the habitat(s) which support otter at approximately: 586.18ha wet grassland 274.77ha fens marsh and swamp 27.47ha standing open water 18ha deciduous woodland 9ha dry neutral grassland. |
| | | qualifying natural habitats | Distribution of supporting habitat | Maintain the distribution and continuity of the feature's supporting habitat, including where applicable associated transitional vegetation types, across the site |
| | | The structure and function of the habitats of | Adaptation and resilience | Maintain the feature's ability, and that of its supporting habitat, to adapt or evolve to wider environmen site |
| | | qualifying species The supporting | Water quantity/quality | Where the feature or its supporting habitat is dependent on surface water, maintain water quality and necessary conditions to support the feature |
| | | processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the | Air quality | Maintain or, where necessary, restore concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the values given for this feature of the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk) |
| | | habitats and the habitats of qualifying species | Habitat quality - river habitat | Maintain the quality of supporting river habitat features based on natural river function, which provides caters for otters. |
| | | rely The populations of | Habitat quality – waterway habitat | Maintain the quality of supporting waterways habitat features used by the otter population |
| | | qualifying species, | Food availability | Maintain fish biomass within expected natural levels for the supporting habitat (subject to natural fluctor |
| | | and, The distribution of qualifying species | Abundance of breeding and resting places | Maintain an abundance of natural breeding and resting sites for otter within the site |
| | | within the site. | Availability of refugia | Maintain an abundance of dense bankside vegetation to limit significant disturbance to animals |
| | | | Water flow [rivers] | Maintain the natural flow regime of the river to that close to what would be expected in the absence of 'naturalised' flow) |
| | | | Water quality/quantity | Maintain water quality and quantity to a standard which provides the necessary conditions to support |
| | | | Water quality : Toxic chemicals | Avoid any increase in the level of pollutants affecting the site which are potentially toxic to otters. |
| | | | Connectivity within and to the site | Ensure there are no significant artificial barriers to the safe passage and movement of otters into, with |
| | | | Population abundance | Maintain the continued presence of an actively-breeding otter population within the SAC, whilst avoidi indicated by the latest mean peak count, estimate or equivalent. |
| | | | Anthropogenic mortality | Reduce levels of mortality as a result of anthropogenic (manmade) factors so that they are not advers viability of the population. |
| SPA | Mosses distribution of qualifying natural habitats The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats, and The supporting | distribution of qualifying natural | Extent of the feature within the site | Avoid the further degradation of the extent of the H7120 feature, whilst restoring 172.81 of the H7120 2035 |
| | | Vegetation community composition | Restore the component vegetation communities of the H7210 feature to those resembling and charact Vegetation Classification type(s) typical of H7110 Active Raised Bog. M2 Sphagnum cuspidatum/fallax bog pool community, M3 Eriophorum angustifolium bog pool community and blanket mire and M25 Molinia caerulea – Potentilla erecta mire M18 Erica tetralix – Sphagnum papillosum raised & blanket mire (note that this is the target community though some of the developing habitat is starting to show affinities to this community) | |
| | | processes on which qualifying natural | Structural diversity | Restore the full range of typical structural features associated with active bogs at this site, e.g. vegeta hydrological zonations. There should be reduction in extent of micro-topographic features (e.g. bog postructural features (e.g. vegetation cover, surface patterning and natural drainage), in relation to the e |

nd processes to the detriment of the H91E0

which are necessary to Maintain the habitats.

le its component vegetation types and

ental change, either within or external to the

nd quantity to a standard which provides the

the site-relevant Critical Load or Level

les a characteristic river habitat mosaic that

ictuations).

of abstractions and discharges (the

rt the feature.

vithin and away from the site

iding deterioration from current levels as

ersely affecting the overall abundance and

20 feature to H7110 Active Raised Bog by

acterised by the following National

munity, M20 Eriophorum vaginatum raised

nity for this site and is not yet present,

etation cover, surface patterning and pools) and no obvious modification to established baseline

| q | nabitats of qualifying species ely | Key structural, influential and distinctive species | Restore the abundance of the species listed below to enable each of them to be a viable component of Assemblage of bog-mosses including Sphagnum capillifolium, S. magellanicum, S. papillosum, S. tend Heather Calluna vulgaris, crossleaved heath Erica tetralix, common cotton-grass Eriophorum angustific vaginatum, deer-grass Trichophorum cespitosum Bog rosemary Andromeda polifolia, sundew Drosera nigrum, bog asphodel Narthecium ossifragum and cranberry Vaccinium oxycoccos |
|----------|--|---|---|
| | | Invasive, nonnative and/or introduced species | Ensure invasive and introduced non-native species are either rare or absent, but if present are causing |
| | | Supporting offsite habitat | Restore the extent, quality and spatial configuration of land or habitat surrounding or adjacent to the si current H7210 feature or a H7110 active bog feature. |
| | | Hydrology | At a site, unit and/or catchment level restore natural hydrological processes to provide the conditions r feature and a H7110 active bog within the site. |
| | | Water chemistry | Restore the surface water and groundwater supporting the hydrology of the rain-fed bog at a low nutri |
| | | Soils, substrate and nutrient cycling | Avoid further degradation of the peat substrate of the H7120 feature and restore its properties, includin pH, soil nutrient status and fungal/bacterial ratio, to within typical values for H7110 Active Raised Bog |
| | | Adaptation and resilience | Restore the H7210 feature's ability, and that of its supporting processes, to adapt or evolve to wider evolve to the site |
| | | Air quality | Restore as necessary the concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to below the siterelevant Crit feature of the site on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk). |
| | | Functional connectivity with wider landscape | Restore the overall extent, quality and function of any supporting features within the local landscape w connection with the site |
| | | Conservation measures | Maintain the management measures within and outside the site boundary which are necessary to rest supporting processes associated with the H7120 feature to H7110 Active Raised Bog |
| | Supplementary Advic | e for Qualifying Features: A6.61a | Golden Plover Pluvialis apricaria (breeding) |
| p ti | The supporting processes on which he habitats of the | Water quality/ quantity | Where the supporting habitats of the SPA feature are dependent on surface water, maintain water qua provides the necessary conditions to support breeding Golden Plover, i.e. sufficient to maintain/restore wet heath and calcareous fens) in favourable condition |
| r | qualifying features ely | Conservation measures | Maintain management or other measures (whether within and/or outside the site boundary as appropr function and/or the supporting processes associated with breeding Golden Plover feature and its supp |
| | The population of each of the | Predation | Restrict the predation of and disturbance to breeding Golden Plover by native and non-native predator |
| q | qualifying features The structure and | Air quality | Restore concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the site-relevant Critical Load or supporting habitats on the Air Pollution Information System (www.apis.ac.uk) |
| h | unction of the nabitats of the | Extent and distribution of supporting breeding habitat | Maintain the extent, distribution and availability of suitable breeding habitat which supports breeding G its breeding cycle (courtship, nesting, feeding) |
| | qualifying features | Vegetation characteristics | Maintain a mosaic [1:3 ratio] of short (<5 cm) to tall (10-15 cm) vegetation within core breeding areas |
| d | distribution of the nabitats of the | Minimising disturbance caused by human activity | Restrict the frequency, duration and/or intensity of disturbance affecting nesting, roosting, foraging, feat that the breeding Golden Plover population is not significantly disturbed |
| | ualifying features | Landscape | Maintain the amount of suitable grassland feeding habitat within 4 km of moorland nesting areas |
| tl fe | The distribution of he qualifying eatures within the site | Population abundance | Maintain the size of the breeding Golden Plover population at a level which is above 526 pairs, whilst a level as indicated by the latest mean peak count or equivalent. |
| ç | Supplementary Advic | e for Qualifying Features: A6.50a | Merlin Falco columbarius (breeding) |
| p | The supporting processes on which | Water quality/ quantity | Where the supporting habitats of the SPA feature are dependent on surface water, maintain water qua provides the necessary conditions to support Merlin breeding within the SPA. |
| q | he habitats of the qualifying features ely | Conservation measures | Maintain or restore management or other measures (whether within and/or outside the site boundary a restore] the structure, function and/or the supporting processes associated with the supporting habitat |
| | The population of | Predation | Restrict the predation of and disturbance to breeding Merlin caused by native and non-native predator |
| | each of the | Air quality | Restore concentrations and deposition of air pollutants to at or below the site-relevant Critical Load or |

nt of the Annex 1 habitat; enellum, S. cuspidatum , S. pulchrum. stifolium, Hare's-tail cotton-grass E. era rotundifolia, crowberry Empetrum

sing minimal damage to the H7210 feature

e site which is known to support either the

ns necessary to sustain the current H7210

utrient status.

uding its structure, bulk density, total carbon, og habitat.

r environmental change, either within or

Critical Load or Level values given for this

which provide a critical functional

estore the structure, functions and

quality and quantity at a standard which ore wetland habitats (blanket bog, flushes,

opriate) necessary to maintain the structure, upporting habitats.

tors.

or Level values given for this feature's

Golden Plover for all necessary stages of

feeding, moulting and/or loafing birds so

st avoiding deterioration from its current

quality and quantity a standard which

ry as appropriate) necessary to maintain or tats of breeding Merlin.

tors

or Level values given for the supporting

| The structure and function of the | Extent and distribution of supporting breeding habitat | Maintain the extent, distribution and availability of suitable breeding habitat which supports the feature cycle (courtship, nesting, feeding). |
|--|--|---|
| habitats of the qualifying features | Vegetation characteristics | Maintain or restore a high proportion of medium to tall (>50 cm) ground vegetation within nesting habit |
| The extent and distribution of the habitats of the | Minimising disturbance caused by human activity | Restrict the frequency, duration and/or intensity of disturbance affecting nesting, roosting, foraging and Merlin population is not significantly disturbed |
| qualifying features | Landscape | Maintain a high proportion of open and unobstructed terrain within and around nesting and feeding are |
| The distribution of the qualifying features within the | Food availability within supporting habitat | Maintain or restore the overall availability of small birds throughout the year and day flying moths in the |
| site | Population abundance | Maintain or restore the size of the breeding Merlin population at/to a level which is above 35 pairs, whi level as indicated by the latest mean peak count or equivalent. |

re for all necessary stages of its breeding

bitat

and/or feeding birds so that the breeding

areas.

the breeding season.

hilst avoiding deterioration from its current

A.3. European Sites Vulnerabilities

All threats and vulnerabilities for each SAC and SPA designated site have been identified using <u>Natura 2000 summary data spreadsheet</u> (version UK Natura 2000_2017-01-30.zip accessed on 25th October 2017) found on the JNCC website (<u>http://jncc.defra.gov.uk</u>). Each threat for SAC's and SPA's have a code and description, detailed in below in Table A-2. The threats have been grouped together in Table A-3 where it is considered appropriate, all codes that have been grouped are provided in the heading.

All threats and vulnerabilities for each Ramsar designated site have been identified using Ramsar summary data spreadsheet (version is 21 October 2015, filename UK_RAMSAR_DATA_20151021.xls accessed on 25th October 2017) found on the JNCC website. The adverse factor's⁷ listed were grouped where deemed appropriate with threat headings listed for SAC's and SPA's.

CODE DESCRIPTION A01 Cultivation A02 Modification of cultivation practices A03 Mowing / cutting of grassland Grazing A04 A05 Livestock farming and animal breeding (without grazing) A06 Annual and perennial non-timber crops A07 Use of biocides, hormones and chemicals A08 Fertilisation A10 Restructuring agricultural land holding A11 Agriculture activities not referred to above B01 Forest planting on open ground Forest and Plantation management & use B02 Forest exploitation without replanting or natural regrowth B03 Use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (forestry) B04 B06 Grazing in forests/ woodland B07 Forestry activities not referred to above C01 Mining and quarrying C02 Exploration and extraction of oil or gas C03 Renewable abiotic energy use D01 Roads, paths and railroads Utility and service lines D02 D03 Shipping lanes, ports, marine constructions D04 Airports, flightpaths D05 Improved access to site E01 Urbanised areas, human habitation E02 Industrial or commercial areas E03 Discharges E04 Structures, buildings in the landscape E06 Other urbanisation, industrial and similar activities F01 Marine and Freshwater Aquaculture F02 Fishing and harvesting aquatic resources F03 Hunting and collection of wild animals (terrestrial), including damage caused by game (excessive density), and taking/removal of terrestrial animals (including collection of insects, reptiles, amphibians, birds of prey, etc., trapping, poisoning, poaching, predator control, accidental capture (e.g. due to fishing gear), etc.) F04 Taking / Removal of terrestrial plants, general F05 Illegal taking/ removal of marine fauna F06 Hunting, fishing or collecting activities not referred to above Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities G01 G02 Sport and leisure structures G03 Interpretative centres G04 Military use and civil unrest G05 Other human intrusions and disturbances H01 Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish)

Table A-2 – Threat Codes for SACs and SPAs

| H03 | Marine water pollution |
|-----|---|
| H04 | Air pollution, air-borne pollutants |
| H05 | Soil pollution and solid waste (excluding discharges) |
| H06 | Excess energy |
| H07 | Other forms of pollution |
| 101 | Invasive non-native species |
| 102 | Problematic native species |
| 103 | Introduced genetic material, GMO |
| J01 | Fire and fire suppression |
| | |

⁷ UK_RAMSAR_DATA_20151021.xls Adverse factors - contains summary information on significant natural or human-caused factors which have been adversely affecting Ramsar sites, and any measures being taken to address these issues, as reported to the Ramsar Secretariat. This information is sourced from the latest Ramsar UK National Report. Note that some minor factors may not be listed. The worksheet also includes Adverse factors reported previously, but which are not considered to be operating at present, or which have been addressed effectively. This information is sourced from the previous Ramsar UK National Report to provide an audit trail.

Pollution to groundwater (point sources and diffuse sources)

H02

| CODE | DESCRIPTION |
|------|---|
| J02 | Human induced changes in hydraulic conditions |
| J03 | Other ecosystem modifications |
| K01 | Abiotic (slow) natural processes |
| K02 | Biocenotic evolution, succession |
| K03 | Interspecific faunal relations |
| K04 | Interspecific floral relations |
| K05 | Reduced fecundity/ genetic depression |
| L05 | Collapse of terrain, landslide |
| L07 | Storm, cyclone |
| L08 | Inundation (natural processes) |
| L10 | Other natural catastrophes |
| M01 | Changes in abiotic conditions |
| M02 | Changes in biotic conditions |
| U | Unknown threat or pressure |
| ХО | Threats and pressures from outside the Member State |

Transport for the North HRA - Stage 1 Screening | Version 1.0 | October 2017 | 5153450Atkins F 2019

Table A-3 – Information about the negative threats, pressures and activities on European sites: STP area and up to 20 km from its boundaries

| | | | | | | | | | | Vulner | abilitie | es / Threa | Its ⁸ | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|-------------------------------------|------------------|---|------------------------|---|-----------------|--|---|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Designation | Sites | No threats/vulnerabilities reported | Grazing / Mowing | Interspecific faunal and floral relations, ecosystem modifications and natural processes (including erosion*9) | Fire/ fire suppression | Modification of Agricultural practices | Air Pollution | Use of Fertilisers/chemicals, eutrophication* | Forest management and associated activities | Water pollution | Problem native/ non native species | Change to hydraulic conditions, including sedimentation/siltation* | Changes to Abiotic and/or biotic conditions | Unknown threat or pressure | Human disturbance/Recreation (including Fishing/Hunting) | Industry and other urbanisation | Urbanisation (Airports, Roads, paths, railroads and utilities | Mining and quarrying | Renewable energy use | Military activities | Other forms of pollution | Soil pollution and solid waste |
| ١ | Threat codes | N/A | A03, A04 | K01-K05, J03, | J01 | A01,A02, A05,A11 | H04 | B04, A07, A08, | B02, B07 | H01- H03 | 101, 102 | J02 | M01, M02 | U | G01- G05, F01- F05 | E02, E04, E06 | D01, D02, D04 | C01 | C03 | G04 | H07 | H05 |
| SAC | Arnecliff & Park Hole Woods | | | | | | B ¹⁰ | | I | | В | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Asby Complex | | | | | II | | | | В | В | В | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Bees Nest & Green Clay Pits | | | | | | В | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Beast Cliff-Whitby (Robin Hood's Bay) | | I | | | | | | | | | В | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Berwickshire & North Northumberland Coast | | | | | | | | | В | В | В | | | 11 | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Bolton Fell Moss | | | 1 | | | | | | | | В | В | | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Border Mires, Kielder-Butterburn | | | | | I | В | | I | | | В | В | | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Borrowdale Woodland Complex | | I | 1 | | | В | | I | | В | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SPA SAC | Bowland Fells Calf Hill & Cragg Woods | | | 1 | | | В | | | | | | В | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Castle Eden Dene | | | | | | В | | I | | BB | | | | | | | | | | | + |

⁸ Threats and Vulnerabilities have been taken from <u>Natura 2000 summary data spreadsheet</u> found on the JNCC website <u>http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-1461</u> for SAC and SPA and from <u>Download Ramsar summary data spreadsheet</u> found on the JNCC website (http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-2392) These have been groups together where seemed appropriate.

| | Threat and Pressures Identified from Supplementary Advice |
|----|--|
| | |
| | |
| 05 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

⁹ Those threats that have asterisk only apply to Ramsar sites.

¹⁰ Threats, pressures and activities upon SACs and SPA are marked with an I, B or O. having an impact when occurring inside (I), outside (O) or both (both) for SAC and SPA.

| | | | | | | | | - | | | | | 1 | | I I | - | 1 | | 1 1 | |
|--------|------------------|-------|------------------------|----|------|---|---|---|----|---|----|---|----|---|-----|---|---|---|-----|--|
| SPA | Castleloch, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | В | | | | |
| | Lochmaben | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CA C | Clinto Ouerra | | | | | | | - | _ | D | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Clints Quarry | | | | | | | | | В | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| SPA | Coquet Island | | | 1 | | | | | BB | | В | | | | | | | | | |
| SFA | Coquet Island | | | 1 | | | | | DD | | D | | 11 | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Craven Limestone | | 1 | | | | | В | | В | В | | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Complex | | 1 | | | | | D | | D | D | | | | | | | | | |
| | Complex | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Cumbrian Marsh | | | BI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Fritillary Site | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | , | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Dee Estuary | | | | | | | | В | | BB | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | , | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Denby Grange | | | В | | | 1 | В | В | В | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Colliery Ponds | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SPA | Din Moss- | | | | | | | | | | В | | | | | В | | В | | |
| | Hoselaw Loch | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Drigg Coast | | 1 | 1 | В | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ramsar | Duddon Estuary | | X ¹¹ | x | | Х | | х | | | | | Х | Х | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Duddon Mosses | | | 1 | В | | | | В | В | В | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Durham Coast | | | 1 | | В | | | BB | В | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Ellers Wood & | | | | В | | | | | | В | | | | | | | | | |
| | Sand Dale | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Domoor | Esthwaite Water | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ramsar | Estriwalle water | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SPA | Farne Islands | | | 1 | | | | - | В | | В | | | | | | | | | |
| SF A | | | | 1 | | | | | D | | D | | 11 | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Fen Bog | | 1 | 1 | В | | | | В | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| 0/10 | T CIT DOG | | ' | 1 | | | | | | | | | · | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Flamborough | | | | | | | | В | _ | В | | 11 | | | | | | | |
| 0,10 | Head | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SPA | Flamborough | | | 1 | | | | | В | | В | | BI | | | | | | | |
| | Head & Bempton | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cliffs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| pSPA | Flamborough | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Head Extension | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Ford Moss | | | | В | | 1 | | | В | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Gang Mine | hatfi | | | В | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| pSPA | Greater Wash | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 05. | | | | | | | | - | _ | | | 1 | | | | - | | | | |
| SPA | Greenlaw Moor | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | В | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

 $^{^{\}mbox{\scriptsize 11}}$ Threats, pressures and activities upon each Ramsar are marked with an X

| Dans | One ender Maria | V | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | - | | |
|--------|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Ramsar | Greenlaw Moor | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Harbottle Moors | | | | | В | | | В | | | I | | | | | | |
| SAC | Hatfield Moor | | | I | | В | | | В | В | | I | | | | | | |
| SAC | Helbeck & Swindale Woods | | | 1 | | I | I | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ramsar | Holburn Lake & Moss | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SPA | Holburn Lake & Moss | | | I | | | | | | В | В | | | | | | | |
| Ramsar | Holburn Lake and Moss | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SPA | Hornsea Mere | | | | | | | В | | В | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Ramsar | Humber Estuary | | х | х | | | x | x | | x | | x | | | | | | |
| SAC | Humber Estuary | | | 1 | | | | В | | В | В | | 0 | | | | | |
| SPA | Humber Estuary | | | 1 | | | | | В | | BB | 1 | | | | | | |
| SAC | Ingleborough Complex | | I | I | 1 | В | | | | В | | | | | | | | |
| Ramsar | Irthinghead Mires | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Kirk Deighton | | | В | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Lake District High Fells | | I | | | В | | | В | | В | I | | | | | | |
| SPA | Langholm- Newcastleton Hills | | I | В | | | | | | | | I | | | | | | |
| Ramsar | Leighton Moss | | | | | | х | Х | | x | | | | | | | | |
| SPA | Leighton Moss | | | | | | | В | В | В | В | | | | | | | |
| Ramsar | Lindisfarne | | | | | | х | x | х | x | | | | | | | | |
| SPA | Lindisfarne | | | 1 | | | | В | В | | В | 1 | | | | | | |
| SPA | Liverpool Bay | | | | | | | | | В | | I | | В | В | | | |
| pSPA | Liverpool Bay Extension | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ramsar | Lower Derwent Valley | | | | | | | | | x water diversio n/reserv oir/dam/t looding | | | | | | | | |

| | | - |
|-------|--|-----|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | _ |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | ┥ |
| | | |
| | | - |
| | | |
| | | _ |
| | | |
| | | _ |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | _ I |
| | | |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; | |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | _ |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | _ |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | _ |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | _ |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | _ |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | _ |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | _ |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | _ |
| • | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | |
| | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | _ |
| | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | _ |
| | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | |
| | Cover of macrophytes; Shading of ponds. | |

| | | 1 | 1 - | | | | | | | 1 | _ | 1 | | 1 | | | | 1 | |
|--------|---|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|---|----|---|--|---|--|
| SAC | Lower Derwent Valley | | I | 1 | | | I | | | | В | | | | 1 | | | | |
| SPA | Lower Derwent Valley | | I | В | | | | | | | В | В | | | 1 | | | | |
| SAC | Manchester Mosses | | | | | | В | | | | | В | | | | | | | |
| Ramsar | Martin Mere | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SPA | Martin Mere | | | | | | | | | В | В | В | | | | | | | |
| Ramsar | Mersey Estuary | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SPA | Mersey Estuary | | | | | | | | | | В | | В | | I | | | | |
| Ramsar | Mersey Narrows & North Wirral Foreshore | | | x | | | | | | | | | | Х | x | | | | |
| SPA | Mersey Narrows & North Wirral Foreshore | | | | | | | | | | В | | BB | | 1 | | | | |
| Ramsar | Midland Meres & Mosses - Phase 1 | | | | | | | x | | | x | | | | x | | | | |
| Ramsar | Midland Meres & Mosses Phase 2 | | | | | | | X | | | х | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Moor House- Upper Teesdale | | I | I | I | I | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ramsar | Morecambe Bay | | | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | | |
| SAC | Morecambe Bay | | | | | | В | | | | | | | | II | | | | |
| SPA | Morecambe Bay and Duddon Estuary | | | 1 | | | I | | | В | | | В | | 1 | 1 | | | |
| SAC | Morecambe Bay Pavements | | I | I | | | | | I | | В | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Naddle Forest | | | | | | В | | | | В | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Newham Fen | | | | | | В | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | North Northumberland Dunes | | | 1 | | | | | | В | В | | В | | 1 | | | | |
| SAC | North Pennine Dales Meadows | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | North Pennine Moors | | I | 1 | 1 | I | | | | | | В | | | | | | | |

| A. Norm I </th <th>SPA</th> <th>North Pennine</th> <th></th> <th>1</th> <th>1</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>В</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>1</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> | SPA | North Pennine | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | В | | | 1 | | | | | |
|--|--------|-------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|---|---|---|----|--|---|---|--|--|
| SFA North York Moors I | SFA | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | D | | | 1 | | | | | |
| Ramara Northumbra X <thx< th=""> X X</thx<> | SAC | North York Moors | | | I | I | | В | | | | В | | В | | | | | | | |
| Coast Coast <th< td=""><td>SPA</td><td>North York Moors</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>I</td><td></td><td>В</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>В</td><td></td><td>В</td><td></td><td>I</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<> | SPA | North York Moors | | | | I | | В | | | | В | | В | | I | | | | | |
| Coast | Ramsar | | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AC Ox Close I | SPA | | | | | | | | | | В | | В | В | | II | | | | | |
| SAC Peak District I | SAC | Oak Mere | | | | | | В | | | В | В | В | | | | | | | | |
| Dales I <td>SAC</td> <td>Ox Close</td> <td></td> <td>I</td> <td>I</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>I</td> <td></td> <td>В</td> <td>В</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | SAC | Ox Close | | I | I | | | | | I | | В | В | | | | | | | | |
| Moors (South Phase 1) Moors (South Phase 1) x | SAC | | | I | 1 | | | | В | | В | | В | | | | | | | | |
| Estuaries I </td <td>SPA</td> <td>Moors (South Pennine Moors</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>I</td> <td>I</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>В</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>11</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | SPA | Moors (South Pennine Moors | | | I | I | | | | | | | В | | | 11 | | | | | |
| Estuaries I | Ramsar | Ribble & Alt Estuaries | | x | x | | | Х | | | x | | | | | x | | x | x | | |
| And A | SPA | | | | I | | | В | | | | В | В | В | | | | | | | |
| Bassenthwaite I <td< td=""><td>SAC</td><td>River Derwent</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td> </td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>В</td><td>В</td><td>В</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<> | SAC | River Derwent | | | | | | | | | В | В | В | | | | | | | | |
| SAC River Kent I | SAC | Bassenthwaite | | | | | | | | | В | В | В | | | | | | | | |
| And Clay Pits Control of the contro | SAC | River Eden | | | | | I | | | | В | BB | В | В | | | | | | | |
| And A | SAC | River Kent | | | | | I | | | | 1 | I | I | | | | | | | | |
| And | SAC | Rixton Clay Pits | | | | | | | | | | | | | | I | | | | | |
| LoughsLoughsII | SAC | Rochdale Canal | | | | | | В | | | | | В | | | | | | | | |
| SAC Roudsea Wood & Mosses B B B B B B B C <thc< th=""> C <thc< th=""> C<</thc<></thc<> | SAC | Roman Wall Loughs | | | | | | | | | В | В | | | 0 | | | | | | |
| Mosses | Ramsar | Rostherne Mere | | | | | | | х | | | x | | | | | | | | | |
| SAC Simonside Hills I | SAC | Roudsea Wood & Mosses | | | I | | | | | I | | В | В | В | | | | | | | |
| | SAC | Sefton Coast | | | В | | | В | | | | В | В | В | | | | | | | |
| SAC Skipwith Common I B B B I | SAC | Simonside Hills | | | | | I | | | | | В | I | | | II | | | | | |
| | SAC | Skipwith Common | | | 1 | | | В | | | | | В | | | I | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | _ | | | |
|--------|---|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----------------------|--|---|--|
| SAC | Solway Firth | I | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | В | |
| SAC | South Pennine Moors | | | I | В | В | | | | | В | | | I | | | | |
| SPA | South Pennine Moors Phase 2 | | I | I | | | | | | | В | | | 11 | | | | |
| SAC | South Solway Mosses | | | | | I | | | | I | I | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Strensall Common | | I | | | В | | | | | | | | I | | | | |
| SAC | Subberthwaite, Blawith & Torver Low Commons | | | | I | В | | | | | В | | | 11 | | | | |
| SAC | Tarn Moss | | | | | I | | 1 | I | I | | | | | | | | |
| Ramsar | Teesmouth and Cleveland Coast | | | | | | x | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SPA | Teesmouth and Cleveland Coast | | | | | | | | В | | В | | | 11 | 0 | | | |
| Ramsar | The Dee Estuary | | x | | | | | | | X | | | | x | x (pollutio n) | | | |
| SPA | The Dee Estuary | | | | | | | | | В | | В | | 1 | | | | |
| SPA | Thorne & Hatfield Moors | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | B (only E06) | | | |
| SAC | Thorne Moor | | I | | | В | | | | В | В | | | I | | | | |
| SAC | Thrislington | | | | | В | | | | | | В | 0 | | | | | |
| SAC | Tweed Estuary | | | | | | | | В | В | В | | | П | | | | |
| SAC | Tyne & Allen River Gravels | | I | | | В | | | | В | | | | | | | | |
| SAC | Tyne & Nent | | 11 | | | В | | | | | | | 0 | 1 | | | | |

| River processes on the Tyne and Nent continue to have significant impacts on the distribution of Calaminarian grassland through the ongoing erosion and deposition of sediment and shingle. |
|---|
| Fluvial processes operating on the rivers Tyne and Nent continue to have significant impacts on the distribution of Calaminarian grassland through the ongoing erosion and deposition of sediments and shingle. |

| SAC | Ullswater Oakwoods | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | I | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| Ramsar | Upper Solway Flats & Marshes | х | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SPA | Upper Solway Flats & Marshes ¹² | | I | | | | | | | | В | | | В | | |
| SAC | Walton Moss | | | | I | В | | | | В | | | | | | |
| SAC | Wast Water | | | | I | В | | В | В | | В | | | | | |
| SAC | Witherslack Mosses | | | · | | В | | | В | В | | | | | | |
| SAC | Yewbarrow Woods | | 1 | | | | | | В | | | | | | | |

| • | soil compaction, (such as caused by vehicles or construction works); agricultural operations or other soil, disturbance (like trenches); and agro chemicals or other chemicals which get into the soil |
|---|---|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

¹² Upper Solway Flats and Marshes SPA has been extended and renamed Solway Firth pSPA, it is included in this assessment as it is still listed on JNCC website.

Appendix B. Findings of Stage 1 – Screening Assessment

B.1. HRA Results Tables

This appendix contains Tables B1 and B2 (see below) which summarise the broad interventions that apply to the TfN STP. The results determine whether the interventions are considered to have a likely significant effect on the European sites. The likely significant effects take into account the measures in the TFN STP which seek to protect European sites.

- Policy Type 1: Policies that will not themselves lead to development (e.g. because they relate to design or other qualitative criteria for development, or they are not a land use planning policy);
- Policy Type 2: Policies intended to protect the natural environment, including biodiversity;
- Policy Type 3: Policies intended to conserve or enhance the natural, built or historic environment, where enhancement measures will not be likely to have any negative effect on a European site; and
- Policy Type 4: Policies that positively steer development away from European sites and associated sensitive areas.

This has been based on The Habitats Regulations Assessment of Regional Spatial Strategies and sub-Regional Strategies (Draft Guidance) produced by Natural England in March 2007

Where possible, interventions have been categorised into sub policy types based on Natural England published guidance¹³, as summarised below.

| Category | Sub Category | Description |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| | A1 | Policies that will not themselves lead to development e.g. because they relate to design or other qualitative criteria for development, or they are not a land use planning policy. |
| | A2 | Policies intended to protect the natural environment, including biodiversity. |
| A – no negative | A3 | Policies intended to conserve or enhance the natural, built or historic environment, where enhancement measures will not be likely to have any negative effect on a European site. |
| effect | A4 | Policies that positively steer development away from European sites and associated sensitive areas. |
| | A5 | Policies that would have no effect because no development could occur through the policy itself, the development being implemented through later policies in the same plan, which are more specific and therefore more appropriate to assess for their effects on European sites and associated sensitive areas. |
| B – no significant effect | N/A | Policies that could have a negative effect but would not be likely to have a significant effect on a European site alone or in combination with other plans or projects. |
| C – likely significant | C1 | The policy could directly affect a European site because it provides for, or steers, a quantity or type of development onto a European site, or adjacent to it. |
| effects alone | C2 | The policy could indirectly affect an European site e.g. because it provides for or steers, a quantity or type of development that may be very close to it, or ecologically, hydrologically or physically connected to it or it may increase disturbance as a result of increased recreational pressures. |

Table B-4 – Categories of Potential Effects of Land-Use Plans on European Sites

¹³ The Habitat Regulations Assessment of Local Development Projects (Revised Draft Guidance) (David Tyldesley & Associates for Natural England, February 2009).

| | C3 | Proposals for a magnitude of development that no matter where it was located, the development would be likely to have a significant effect on a European site. |
|--|----|--|
| | C4 | A policy that makes provision for a quantity/ type of development but the effects are uncertain because the detailed location of the development is to be selected following consideration of options at a later, more specific plan. |
| | C5 | Policies for developments or infrastructure projects that could block options or alternatives for the provision of other development or projects in the future which will be required in eh public interest that may lead to adverse effects on European sites, which would otherwise be avoided. |
| | C6 | Policies which depend on how the policies etc are implemented in due course. There is a theoretical possibility that if implemented in one or more particular ways the proposals could possibly have a significant effect on a European site. |
| | C7 | Any policies that would be vulnerable to failure under the Habitat Regulations at project assessment stage to include them in the plan would be regards by the EC as 'faulty planning'. |
| | C8 | Any other proposal that may have an adverse effect on a European site which might try to pass the tests of the Habitat Regulations at project assessment stage by arguing that the plan provides the imperative reasons of overriding public interest to justify its consent despite a negative assessment. |
| | D1 | The policy alone would not be likely to have significant effects but if its effects are combined with the effects of other policies or proposals provided for or coordinated by the LDD (internally) the cumulative effects would be likely to be significant. |
| D – likely significant effects in combination | D2 | Policies that alone would not be likely to have significant effects but if their effects are combined with the effects of other plans or projects and possibly the effects of other developments provided for in the LDD as well the combined effects would be likely to be significant. |
| | D3 | Policies that are or could be part of a programme or sequence of development delivered over a period where the implementation of the early stages would not have a significant effect on the location, timing of the whole project, the later stages of which could have an adverse effect on such sites. |

The above guidance sets out criteria to assist with the screening process and addresses the management of uncertainty in the assessment process. Proposals falling with categories A and B are considered not to have an effect on a European site and can be eliminated from the assessment procedure. Proposals falling within category C and category D require further analysis, including the consideration of 'in-combination' effects to determine whether they should be included in the next stage of the HRA process.

Table B-5 – HRA Stage 1 Screening Findings for Objectives and Principles

TfN Strategic Transport Plan Objectives

| Objective | Will the broad interventions lead to likely significant effects on the European sites? | Justification of Findings |
|--|--|---|
| Transforming economic performance This objective aims to secure investment in transport between the important urban and rural economic centres and assets to support sustainable transformation of the North's economic performance. The objective focuses on addressing the challenges identified in the Northern Powerhouse Independent Economic Review. This includes securing investment in transport interventions, which improve productivity, unlock investment and deliver agglomeration benefits between the North's important economic centres and assets, both rural and urban. It is also vital to connect the North to the world's most important economic markets to enhance trade, tourism and inward investment through international gateways. | No | Category A1: Policies that will not themselves lead to development qualitative criteria for development, or they are not a land use planni securing funding and addressing challenges to secure funding. The objective does not outline any development proposals and the elocated other than general areas, their design and/or when (or if) the stated. The Strategic Transport Plan and Investment Programme seeks to p 'TfN's principle for Pan-Northern Transport Investment' on page 86 - potential direct or indirect impacts on these sites that may arise from will be appropriately assessed, mitigated, or, as a last resort, compe and relevant legislation across the life span of the Plan. Therefore, s the interventions, the need for HRA will be highlighted and undertake. |
| Promoting and enhancing the built, historic, and natural environment <i>This objective will</i> ensure that through collaboration with TfN's Partners, stakeholders and communities, transport interventions across the strategic transport system protect and enhance the natural, historic and built environment, making sure that the North's strategic transport system is as sustainable as possible. It covers a range of issues, including the need to provide sustainable travel choices for the movement of people and goods across the North, reducing air pollutant and carbon emissions from transport, making best use of existing transport infrastructure before investing in new capacity and ensuring that new infrastructure is designed to minimise the negative impacts on the natural, historic and built environment, including on biodiversity and where possible result in net environmental gains. Promoting access to the natural and green environment will also promote physical and mental health. | No | Category A3: - Policies intended to conserve or enhance the natural enhancement measures will not be likely to have any negative effect. The Strategic Transport Plan and Investment Programme seeks to p 'TfN's principle for Pan-Northern Transport Investment' on page 86 - potential direct or indirect impacts on these sites that may arise from will be appropriately assessed, mitigated, or, as a last resort, comper and relevant legislation across the life span of the Plan. Therefore, s the interventions, the need for HRA will be highlighted and undertake. This objective actively seeks to protect the environment (including E considered to have no likely significant effects on the European sites |
| Improving inclusivity, health, and access to opportunities for all This objective will ensure that the Strategic Transport Plan works for everyone who lives and works in the North through improved access to opportunities. Ultimately, transport is a means to an end. Economic growth in the North should be as inclusive as possible, avoiding transport poverty where the transport network limits access opportunities in communities. Investment in the strategic transport network should enable better access to key opportunities, including employment, health, social activities and education, regardless of an individual's age, income level, location and mobility, and promoting active and sustainable travel will also improve people's health, reduce air pollution and improve the environment. A carefully co-ordinated approach is required to ensure strategic and local transport investment programmes and policies are aligned and complementary. | No | Category A1: Policies that will not themselves lead to development qualitative criteria for development, or they are not a land use planni securing funding and addressing challenges to secure funding. Interventions in this category include increased access, pricing and a The objective does not outline any development proposals and the elocated other than general areas, their design and/or when (or if) the stated. The Strategic Transport Plan and Investment Programme seeks to p 'TfN's principle for Pan-Northern Transport Investment' on page 86 - potential direct or indirect impacts on these sites that may arise from will be appropriately assessed, mitigated, or, as a last resort, compe and relevant legislation across the life span of the Plan. Therefore, s the interventions, the need for HRA will be highlighted and undertake This objective is considered to have no likely significant effects on the |
| Increasing efficiency, reliability, integration, and resilience in the transport system This objective aims to improve the performance and integration of the North's strategic transport network by making the case for interventions that improve its efficiency, reliability and resilience. The North's strategic transport networks and its connections with more local networks, must meet the needs of its users, whether they are residents, businesses or visitors. The management of these networks will need to be able to adapt to changing demands over the period to 2050, such as shifting commuter patterns, changing leisure aspirations, more extreme weather conditions as a result of climate change, and the emergence of new disruptive technologies, such as connected and autonomous vehicles. TfN will also identify opportunities to improve travel choices for the movement of both people and freight and to boost the resilience and sustainability of pan-Northern networks across the whole journey. This will include a | No | Category A1: The objective will not itself lead to development e.g. b qualitative criteria for development, or they are not a land use planni The objective does not outline any development proposals and the e located other than general areas, their design and/or when (or if) the stated. Interventions in this category include the increased access, performa The Strategic Transport Plan and Investment Programme seeks to p 'TfN's principle for Pan-Northern Transport Investment' on page 86 – potential direct or indirect impacts on these sites that may arise from will be appropriately assessed, mitigated, or, as a last resort, compe |

nt e.g. because they relate to design or other nning policy. Intentions in this objective include

e exact details of where development may be these sites will be constructed upon are not

o protect European sites (through text under the 6-87 of the Strategic Transport Plan). Any om new and/or upgraded transport interventions pensated for, in-line with existing best practice e, should infrastructure development arise from aken at the development management stage.

ural, built or historic environment, where ect on an European site.

o protect European sites (through text under the 6-87 of the Strategic Transport Plan). Any om new and/or upgraded transport interventions pensated for, in-line with existing best practice e, should infrastructure development arise from aken at the development management stage. If European site) and there the objective is tes.

nt e.g. because they relate to design or other nning policy. Intentions in this objective include

d availability.

e exact details of where development may be these sites will be constructed upon are not

o protect European sites (through text under the 6-87 of the Strategic Transport Plan). Any om new and/or upgraded transport interventions pensated for, in-line with existing best practice e, should infrastructure development arise from aken at the development management stage.

J. because they relate to design or other nning policy.

e exact details of where development may be these sites will be constructed upon are not

mance and resilience.

o protect European sites (through text under the 6 – 87 of the Strategic Transport Plan). Any om new and/or upgraded transport interventions pensated for, in-line with existing best practice

| particular focus on making more sustainable travel options as attractive as possible, | and relevant legislation across the life span of the Plan. Therefore |
|--|---|
| acknowledging that mode choice in often influenced by the ease of the initial part of any journey. | the interventions, the need for HRA will be highlighted and underta |
| TfN will also promote measures that help support modal shift and make the best of our existing | This objective is considered to have no likely significant effects on |
| networks, exploring new technologies and demand management tools that help to maximise | |
| network efficiency. | |

Seven Strategic Development Corridors

| Strategic Development Corridors | Broad Interventions | Will the broad interventions lead to likely significant effects on the European sites? | Justification of Findings |
|--|--|---|---|
| Connecting the Energy Coasts Improving connectivity for people and goods between the nationally significant non-carbon energy and research assets located in Cumbria, Lancashire, North Yorkshire, the North East, and Tees Valley. | Strategic and economic context: This corridor seeks to enhance the strategic connectivity, for people and goods, between the advanced manufacturing and energy generation research centres and assets. This is crucial to support the transformational growth potential within this economic area. There is a strong presence of the North's prime capabilities within this corridor. These economic centres and assets need to be better connected within the corridor, as well as to the north-south transport corridors. Strategic transport investment in this corridor will support nationally significant infrastructure investment, unlock opportunities for employment, support the supply chain, and housing construction, such as the proposed garden villages. Enhanced connectivity will also support tourism and leisure connectivity to some of the North's natural assets, such as the National Parks. To the west of the corridor, strategic connectivity improvements can support the delivery and operation of a range of major projects including investment at Sellafield; this will also support wider growth in the centre of European excellence for the nuclear sector found in Cumbria. There are also growth aspirations for the Port of Workington and Barrow, and strengths in advanced manufacturing and renewable energy schemes in the south of Cumbria, such as BAE Systems. To the east of the corridor. There is significant growth potential in the energy generation industries in the North East and Tees Valley, as well as in logistics at Teesport, Port of Tyne and Port of Blyth. Specialisation in manufacturing and production is a key asset in this corridor. Transport context: East-West movements are constraining opportunities for investment, and connectivity to ports and airports. Improvements have supported the existing rail network and Strategic Road Network for movements north-south. However, the corridor is significantly affected by efficiency and resilience issues and poor East-West connectivit | Yes | Category C6: Policies which depend on how the policies etc are implemented in due course. There is a theoretical possibility that if implemented in one or more particular ways the proposals could possibly have a significant effect on a European site. The Connecting the Energy Coasts Strategic Development Corridor does not outline any development proposals and the exact details of where development may be located other than general areas, their design and/or when (or if) these site will be constructed upon are not stated. Using the precautionary approach this policy may lead to a likely significant effect on European protected sites and as such will require a Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment to be undertaken. |
| Nest and Wales Improving connectivity, for people and goods, to, from and through the important economic centres and assets of Cheshire, Liverpool City Region and Greater Manchester, with strategic connectivity in to North Wales and the Midlands. | Strategic and economic context: This corridor can strengthen the connectivity between important and densely populated economic centres and assets, including some of the North's largest cities, such as Liverpool and Manchester. This corridor will also strengthen strategic cross-border connectivity in to North Wales and the Midlands. There is significant economic and population growth forecast within this corridor, with associated transport demand. Economically, there is a strong representation of all the prime and enabling capabilities, along with nationally important economic assets that will support economic growth across the North as a whole. Strategic connectivity improvements can support growth at Manchester Airport, Liverpool John Lennon Airport, the Cheshire Science Corridor Enterprise Zones, the Atlantic Gateway, the North Wales Arc, the Port of Liverpool, and the Crewe HS2 Hub. Work by Growth Track 360, including connectivity with the Constellation Partnership, has highlighted how connectivity improvements would transform the North Wales and Cheshire regional economies. Transport economic context: This corridor has a complex, dense transport network but future interventions need to be focussed on the key economic assets and adjacent markets for goods and labour. For example, there is currently poor southern and western access to Manchester Airport, the largest airport in the North. Current investment plans provide capacity in the short term. The Halton Curve re-instatement will unlock direct journey opportunities beyond Chester to North Wales in the medium term. Significant congestion, efficiency, capacity, and reliability impacts on the road and rail networks are constraining economic growth, such | Yes | Category C6: Policies which depend on how the policies etc are implemented in due course. There is a theoretical possibility that if implemented in one or more particular ways the proposals could possibly have a significant effect on a European site. The West and Wales Strategic Development Corridor does not outline any development proposals and the exact details of where dvelopment may be located other than general areas, their design and/or when (or if) these sites will be constructed upon are not stated. Using the precautionary approach this policy may lead to a likely significant effect on European protected sites and as such will require a Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment to be undertaken. |

, should infrastructure development arise from iken at the development management stage. the European sites.

| | as on parts of the West Coast Main Line and M6 Motorway. The freight and logistics industry require enhanced connectivity on both the road and rail networks, as well as exploring opportunities for greater use of waterborne and intermodal freight. | |
|---|---|-----|
| | • Major strategic interventions can allow the important economic centres within the corridor to capitalise on inward investment and ensure that centres and assets continue to stimulate investment. Significant investment in rail, benefitting both passengers and freight, including the enhancement of Liverpool Lime Street Station and the redevelopment of Liverpool Central, will further enhance its capabilities. | |
| Central Pennines | Strategic and economic context: | Yes |
| Improving strategic east-west connectivity for some of the North's important economic centres and assets in North Yorkshire, West Yorkshire, East Riding and Hull and | • This corridor has some of the North's key economic and population centres, with a diverse mix of strategic movements. With enhanced strategic connectivity, there is the potential to uncap the significant economic growth potential. Addressing East-West connectivity is a priority for TfN, and a failure to address current connectivity constraints would critically restrict the transformational growth potential of this corridor and the wider Northern economy. | |
| Humber through to Greater Manchester, Lancashire and Liverpool City Region. | • This corridor is a major economic area of the North, and is home to globally significant businesses, supply chains and economic assets across all the North's prime and enabling capabilities. The corridor has the largest aerospace cluster in the UK, including BAE Systems and Rolls Royce, with major sector representation and Europeanly competitive advantages in sectors such as automotive and other advanced manufacturing. | |
| | • Enhanced connectivity can support complementary high-growth, high-value economic sectors and clusters and could attract new high-value business activity and inward investment to the corridor and the North. Freight and logistics is a key element of this corridor, connecting the Port of Liverpool with the Ports on the Humber. Leeds Bradford and Liverpool John Lennon Airport are situated within this corridor, providing important air connectivity which is enhanced by the catchment areas of other airports such as Manchester Airport. The visitor economy is also a key element of this corridor. Blackpool remains the UK's largest seaside resort, with economic renewal a key priority locally. | |
| | Transport context: | |
| | • There is a need to provide enhanced, additional road and rail capacity across the Pennines to provide alternatives to existing routes and to open up new opportunities. Across the corridor there is a diverse mix of strategic movements to cater for. Freight and logistics support the ports, airports and inland ports as well as servicing the businesses located across the corridor. Improving connectivity would accelerate increased employment, new housing developments, and increase the scale of the overall growth opportunity. | |
| | • There is currently strong road and rail demand between Liverpool, Manchester and Leeds, with demand exceeding the current capacity on the rail network and the M62, with alternative connections along this corridor not providing a strong alternative movement option. | |
| Southern Pennines | Strategic and economic context: | Yes |
| Improving the strategic East-West, multi-modal connectivity between the important economic centres, assets and ports within Liverpool City Region, | • This corridor provides connectivity between some of the major economic and population centres of the North, including Liverpool, Manchester, Sheffield and Hull, along with four major ports, and three European airports. East-West connectivity will need to be transformed in order to support the forecasted economic and population growth. | |
| Greater Manchester, Cheshire, Sheffield City Region, East Riding and | • The North's prime and enabling capabilities are highly represented in this economic area. The corridor is home to globally significant businesses, supply chains and economic assets with major sector representation and | |
| Hull and Humber, as well as cross- border movements to the Midlands. | • European competitive advantages in advanced manufacturing, low-carbon/energy and logistics, including the Energy Estuary in Hull and the Humber. Advanced manufacturing is a particular strength with a strong | |
| | • cluster in the Sheffield City Region, which is home to the Advanced Manufacturing Research Centre managed by the University of Sheffield and the top Enterprise Zone for Modern Manufacturing and Technology in the UK. Greater Manchester also offers significant opportunities for growth in the advanced materials sector and advanced manufacturing is one of four specific areas of 'smart specialisation' identified by the Liverpool City Region. This corridor has the opportunity for freight and logistics to continue to strengthen the operations and investment at the corridor's ports, airports and inland ports. Enhancing strategic connectivity to the growth plans of Doncaster Sheffield Airport, Manchester Airport, and the Ports of Liverpool and the Humber, can have associated economic growth benefits along the corridor and the wider Northern economy. Grimsby and Immingham ports are the busiest in the UK by combined freight tonnage. | |
| | • Investment in the corridor will also need to be sensitive to sustainability considerations, particularly the Peak District National Park, as well as identifying the visitor economy benefits from the enhanced strategic connectivity. | |
| | Transport context: | |
| | Providing transport routes to complement the M62 corridor and linking the Sheffield City Region west and east more | |

Category C6: Policies which depend on how the policies etc are implemented in due course. There is a theoretical possibility that if implemented in one or more particular ways the proposals could possibly have a significant effect on a European site.

The Central Pennines Strategic Development Corridor does not outline any development proposals and the exact details of where development may be located other than general areas, their design and/or when (or if) these sites will be constructed upon are not stated.

Using the precautionary approach this policy may lead to a likely significant effect on European protected sites and as such will require a Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment to be undertaken.

Category C6: Policies which depend on how the policies etc are implemented in due course. There is a theoretical possibility that if implemented in one or more particular ways the proposals could possibly have a significant effect on a European site.

The Southern Pennines Strategic Development Corridor does not outline any development proposals and the exact details of where development may be located other than general areas, their design and/or when (or if) these sites will be constructed upon are not stated. Using the precautionary approach this

policy may lead to a likely significant effect on European protected sites and as such will require a Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment to be undertaken.

| e) improve connections to the gravity Humber ports. The important economic certises and subjected to grave and inself trinciple digital anglemestical connectivity would address the economic challenges and ambiging the businesses. North west to Sheffield CIP Region Strategic and economic context: Strategic and economic context: The important function of the condition of the condition. The important context is the strate of the part model model of the condition of the condition. The internation of the condition of the condi | | | |
|--|---|--|-----|
| Strengthening rail connectivity Image: Connectivity and connectonecone connectivity and connectivity and connectonecone | | through significant agglomeration benefits gained through improved, efficient, resilient strategic road and rail connectivity. Improved multi-modal connectivity would address the economic challenges and ambitions of the corridor. Improving | |
| between the extended memiltacturing issues and assess in Cumbia, anadiacturing, headh tacturing, headh | North west to Sheffield City Region | Strategic and economic context: | Yes |
| Shelfled City Region, with improved connectivity from the North in to Scotland. The corridor is home to globally significant businesses, supply chains and economic assets. Important centres including connectivity from the North in to Scotland. Scotland. The corridor is home to the Nuclear Institute in Cumbra, Manchesteria Papot, and the Shelfled City Region Advanced Manufacturing Park, which is home to the Nuclear Citaputh Research Centre, and in Barrowin-Furness and its major role in subase technologies and maine engineering. The Fylde Coast is an established base for polymer science, nuclear and rerevende energy. • Greater Manchester site between these two clusters and, in addition to forming part of the expertise in these soctors, it provides access to proteins also inpronent for sevices which support the prime capabilities. Enging all these centres of research closer together by improving connectivity will increase productivity and support collaboration and innovation. • The location for the Ward in the corridor research closer together by improving connectivity will increase productivity and support collaboration. • The location for the Ward in the corridor. There is also a strong whistor and together whorth can support. • Transport context: • The potential economic links between the two areas are not served well by the existing rail network, and so this corridor through to such as on the Hope Valley Line, where freight flows are driven by the contents. There are also significant freight flows, such as on the Hope Valley Line, where freight flows are driven by the content in daggregates industries. Yes Strategic and ethics corridor. • The coridid is conoridid will be content and suggregates industries. | between the advanced manufacturing clusters and assets in Cumbria, | manufacturing, health technology, digital businesses, and research centres in the Sheffield City Region and those in | |
| provides access to professional and financial services which support the prime capabilities. Bringing all these centres of research closer together by improving connectivity will increase productivity and support collaboration and innovation. • The logistics industry is also important for servicing the businesses located across the corridor, and within the corridor. There is also a strong visitor and tourism offer from two of the UK's national parks, which enhanced strategic connectivity and access to European gateways across the North can support. • The potential economic links between the two areas are not served well by the existing rail network, and so this corridor needs to complement other investments being pursued in road. for passengers and freight. On the line between Blackpool North, Preston and Manchester, journey times and freugencies are being improved. Locations north-west of Manchester are poorly connected to Sheffield City Region and the Vest Coast Main Line has capacity constraints. There are also significant freight flows, such as on the Hope Valley Line. Where fright flows are driven by the common and aggregizes industries. Current challenges on the Hope Valley Line. Where fright flows are driven by the common and aggregizes industries. Strategic and economic context@ Strategic and economic context@ Strategic and Assented Hope Valley Line. The corridor size contains several nationally significant assets, such as the European Advanced Manufacturing Park (IMAP), instruction frequency improvements are also a provide remeavable energy assets at Doubard Manufacturing is a particular the rescale Strategic and Walney Extension and Magregio and Walney Extension and Magregio and Walney Extension and Magregio and magregio and magregio and magregio and magregio and magregio and mag | Sheffield City Region, with improved connectivity from the North in to | Samlesbury Enterprise Zone, Blackpool Airport Enterprise Zone, which is home to the National Energy College, the University of Manchester's Dalton Nuclear Institute in Cumbria, Manchester Airport, and the Sheffield City Region Advanced Manufacturing Park, which is home to the Nuclear Catapult Research Centre, and in Barrow-in-Furness and its major role in subsea technologies and marine engineering. The Fylde Coast is an established base for polymer science, nuclear and | |
| is also a strong visitor and tourism offer from two of the UKs national parks, which enhanced strategic connectivity and access to European gateways across the North can support. Transport context: The potential economic links between the two areas are not served well by the existing rail network, and so this corridor meeds to complement other investments being pursued in road improvements in the North West and across the Pennines. There is also strong demand for growth on this corridor through to Scotland, for passengers and freight. On the line between Blackpool North, Preston and Manchester are poorty connected to Sheffield City Region and the West Coast Main Line has capacity constraints north oeP region. Frequency of through pervices across Manchester are insufficient, and journey times are also poor. This issue extends in to Cumbria with speed and capacity constraints. There is also significant trieght flows, such as on the Hope Valley Line, where freight flows are driven by the cement and aggregates industries. Current challenges on the Hope Valley line is the current two fast and stopping passenger services and freight services. Journey time and frequency improvements are also an issue on the South Fyde line. Strategic and economic context@ This rail corridor looks to strengthen the significant economic development in this corridor. These developments include the major ports. air/ports including Newcastle and Leeds Bradford, major rail hubs, strategic and locat form insure of advanced manufacturing Park (IAMP) is strengic and locat context with a strong automotive sector in the North East and the Tees Valley and advanced manufacturing in the Sheffield City Region. The orifor also contains several nationally significant essential maling capabilities including provessinal services and freight inder wore station and major renewable e | | provides access to professional and financial services which support the prime capabilities. Bringing all these centres of | |
| The potential economic links between the two areas are not served well by the existing rail network, and so this corridor needs to complement other investments being pursued in road improvements in the North West and across the Pennines. There is also storing demand for growth on this corridor through to Scolland, for passengers and freight. On the line between Blackpool North, Preston and Manchester, journey times and frequencies are being improved. Locations north-west of Manchester are porty councy times and frequencies are being improved. Locations north-west of Manchester are porty councy times and frequencies are also significant freight flows, such as on the Hope Valley Line, where freight flows are driven by the cement and aggregates industries. Current challenges on the Hope Valley Line, where freight flows are driven by the cement and aggregates industries. Journey time and frequency improvements are also an issue on the South Fylde line. Strategic and economic context@ This rail comidor looks to strengthen the significant economic development in this corridor. These developments include the major ports, airports including Newcastle and Leeds Bradford, major rail hubs, strategic rail freight interchanges and intermodal terminals. The corridor also contains several nationally significant assets, such as the European Advanced Manufacturing Park (IAMP), in Sunderland and South Tyneside, Tees CCPP NSIP, vikr Notash Harbour Facilities and Walney Extension offshore wind farm. There is potential for thure longer term investment at Hartlepool nuclear power station and major renewable energy assets at Dogger Bank and Blyth, with links to those whith Hull and the Humber. Advanced manufacturing is a particular strength with a strong automotive sector in the North East and the Tees Valley and advanced tranging calboration and connectivity across the corridor. These the apabilities are supported by strengths in the health se | | is also a strong visitor and tourism offer from two of the UK's national parks, which enhanced strategic connectivity and | |
| needs to complement other investments being pursued in road improvements in the North West and across the Pennines. There is also strong demand for growth on this corridor through to Scotland, for passengers and freight. On the line between Blackpool North, Preston and Manchester, journey times and frequencies are being improved. Locations north-west of Manchester are poorly connected to Sheffield City Region and the West Coast Main Line has capacity constraints north of Preston. Frequency of through services across Manchester are insufficient, and journey times are also poor. This issue extends in to Cumbria with speed and capacity constraints. There are also significant treight flows, such as on the Hope Valley Line, where freight flows are driven by the cement and aggregates industries. Current challenges on the Hope Valley line is the current mix of fast and stopping passenger services and freight services. Journey time and frequency improvements are also an issue on the South Fylde line. Strategic and economic context@ This rail corridor looks to storengthem the significant economic development in this corridor. These developments include the major ports, airports including Newcastle and Leeds Bradford, major rail hubs, strategic rail freight interchanges and intermodal terminals. The corridor also contains several nationally significant assets, such as the European Advanced Manufacturing Park (IAMP), in Sunderland and South Tyneside, Tees CCPP NiP. York Potash Harbour Facilities and Walney Extension offshore wind farm. There is potential for thure longer term investment Harlepool nuclear power station and major renewable energy assets at Dogger Bank and Blyh, with links to those within Hull and the Humber, Advanced manufacturing in the Sheffield City Region. There ris is a growing renewable energy sector in the North East and the Tees Valley and advanced manufacturing in the Sheffield City Region. These prime aso ha | | Transport context: | |
| capacity constraints north of Preston. Frequency of through services across Manchester are insufficient, and journey times are also poor. This issue extends in to Cumbria with speed and capacity constraints. There are also significant freight flows, such as on the Hope Valley Line, where freight flows are driven by the cement and aggregates industries. Yes East Coast to Scotland Strategic and economic context@ Yes Strengthening rail connectivity and capacity constraints. There are also significant freight services. Journey time and frequency improvements are also an issue on the South Fylde line. Yes This rail corridor looks to strengthen the significant economic development in this corridor. These developments include the major ports, airports including Newcastle and Leeds Bradford, major rail hubs, strategic rail freight interchanges and intermodal terminals. The corridor also contains several nationally significant assets, such as the European Advanced Manufacturing Park (IAMP), in Sunderland and South Tyneside, Tees CCPP NSIP, York Potash Harbour Facilities and Walney Extension offshore wind farm. There is potential for future longer term investment at Hartlepool nuclear power station and major renewable energy assets at Dogger Bank and Blyth, with links to those within Hull and the Humber. Advanced manufacturing is a particular strength with a strong automotive sector in the North East and the Tees Valley and advanced manufacturing is a particular strength. In the North East and the Tees Valley and advanced manufacturing is a particular strength. There is also a growing renewable energy sector along the east coast, requiring collaboration and connectivity across the corridor. These prime capabilities are supported by strengths in the enabiling capabilities including professional services (particularly | | needs to complement other investments being pursued in road improvements in the North West and across the Pennines. There is also strong demand for growth on this corridor through to Scotland, for passengers and freight. On the line between | |
| Journey time and frequency improvements are also an issue on the South Fylde line. Yes East Coast to Scotland Strategic and economic context@ Yes Strengthening rail connectivity and capacity along the East Coast Main Main Coast Main Coast Main Main Coast Main Coast Main Coa | | capacity constraints north of Preston. Frequency of through services across Manchester are insufficient, and journey times are also poor. This issue extends in to Cumbria with speed and capacity constraints. There are also significant freight flows, | |
| Strengthening rail connectivity and capacity along the East Coast Main Line and other key parallel rail lines, such as the Durham Coast Line, to provide enhanced strategic and local connectivity in the North East, Tees Valley, East Riding and North Yorkshire. The corridor also contains several nationally significant assets, such as the European Advanced Manufacturing Park (IAMP), in Sunderland and South Tyneside, Tees CCPP NSIP, York Potash Harbour Facilities and Walney Extension offshore wind farm. There is potential for future longer term investment at Hartlepool nuclear power station and major renewable energy assets at Dogger Bank and Blyth, with links to those within Hull and the Humber. Advanced manufacturing is a particular strength with a strong automotive sector in the North East and the Tees Valley and advanced manufacturing in the Sheffield City Region. There is also a growing renewable energy sector along the east coast, requiring collaboration and connectivity across the corridor. The North East also has particular strengths in the health sector, which are complemented by emerging growth areas within the Tees Valley, and Sheffield City Region, and a strong and growing health and life science sector in the Leeds City Region. There are significant freight and logistics centres along the corridor with key national links within the North East, as well as to the Midlands and Scotland. Both air and freight hubs provide a focus for growth in the movements of goods, supported by a growing inland port and distribution capability. There is also a strong visitor and tourism offer, including Hadrian's Wall World Heritage Site, Northumberland Dark Skies Park, Northumberland and North Yorkshire Moors National Parks. | | | |
| capacity along the East Coast Main Line and other key parallel rail lines, such as the Durham Coast Line, to provide enhanced strategic and local connectivity in the North East, Tees Valley, East Riding and North Yorkshire. The corridor also contains several nationally significant assets, such as the European Advanced Manufacturing Park (IAMP), in Sunderland and South Tyneside, Tees CCPP NSIP, York Potash Harbour Facilities and Walney Extension offshore wind farm. There is potential for future longer term investment at Hartlepool nuclear power station and major renewable energy assets at Dogger Bank and Blyth, with links to those within Hull and the Humber. Advanced manufacturing is a particular strength with a strong automotive sector in the North East and the Tees Valley and advanced manufacturing in the Sheffield City Region. There is also a growing renewable energy sector along the east coast, requiring collaboration and connectivity across the corridor. The North East also has particular strengths in the health sector, which are complemented by emerging growth areas within the Tees Valley, and Sheffield City Region), and a strong and growing health and life science sector in the Leeds City Region. These or Valley, and Sheffield City Region) and logistics associated with the corridor's ports, airports and freight hubs. There are significant freight and logistics centres along the corridor with key national links within the North East, as well as to the Midlands and Scotland. Both air and freight hubs provide a focus for growth in the movements of goods, supported by a growing inland port and distribution capability. There is also a strong visitor and tourism offer, including Hadrian's Wall World Heritage Site, Northumberland Dark Skies Park, Northumberland and North Yorkshire Moors National Parks. | East Coast to Scotland | Strategic and economic context@ | Yes |
| Provide enhanced strategic and local connectivity in the North East, Tees Valley, East Riding and North Yorkshire. The corridor also contains several nationally significant assets, such as the European Advanced Manufacturing Park (IAMP), in Sunderland and South Tyneside, Tees CCPP NSIP, York Potash Harbour Facilities and Walney Extension offshore wind farm. There is potential for future longer term investment at Hartlepool nuclear power station and major renewable energy assets at Dogger Bank and Blyth, with links to those within Hull and the Humber. Advanced manufacturing is a particular strength with a strong automotive sector in the North East and the Tees Valley and advanced manufacturing of the Sheffield City Region. There is also a growing renewable energy sector along the east coast, requiring collaboration and connectivity across the corridor. The North East also has particular strengths in the health sector, which are complemented by emerging growth areas within the Tees Valley, and Sheffield City Region, and a strong and growing health and life science sector in the Leeds City Region. These prime capabilities are supported by strengths in the enabling capabilities including professional services (particularly in the North East and Leeds City Region) and logistics associated with the corridor's ports, airports and freight hubs. There are significant freight and logistics centres along the corridor with key national links within the North East, as well as to the Midlands and Scotland. Both air and freight hubs provide a focus for growth in the movements of goods, supported by a growing inland port and distribution capability. There is also a strong visitor and tourism offer, including Hadrian's Wall World Heritage Site, Northumberland Dark Skies Park, Northumberland and North Yorkshire Moors National Parks. | capacity along the East Coast Main Line and other key parallel rail lines, | major ports, airports including Newcastle and Leeds Bradford, major rail hubs, strategic rail freight interchanges and | |
| corridor. The North East also has particular strengths in the health sector, which are complemented by emerging growth areas within the Tees Valley, and Sheffield City Region, and a strong and growing health and life science sector in the Leeds City Region. These prime capabilities are supported by strengths in the enabling capabilities including professional services (particularly in the North East and Leeds City Region) and logistics associated with the corridor's ports, airports and freight hubs. There are significant freight and logistics centres along the corridor with key national links within the North East, as well as to the Midlands and Scotland. Both air and freight hubs provide a focus for growth in the movements of goods, supported by a growing inland port and distribution capability. There is also a strong visitor and tourism offer, including Hadrian's Wall World Heritage Site, Northumberland Dark Skies Park, Northumberland and North Yorkshire Moors National Parks. | provide enhanced strategic and local connectivity in the North East, Tees Valley, East Riding and North | in Sunderland and South Tyneside, Tees CCPP NSIP, York Potash Harbour Facilities and Walney Extension offshore wind farm. There is potential for future longer term investment at Hartlepool nuclear power station and major renewable energy assets at Dogger Bank and Blyth, with links to those within Hull and the Humber. Advanced manufacturing is a particular strength with a strong automotive sector in the North East and the Tees Valley and advanced manufacturing in the Sheffield | |
| the Midlands and Scotland. Both air and freight hubs provide a focus for growth in the movements of goods, supported by a growing inland port and distribution capability. There is also a strong visitor and tourism offer, including Hadrian's Wall World Heritage Site, Northumberland Dark Skies Park, Northumberland and North Yorkshire Moors National Parks. | | corridor. The North East also has particular strengths in the health sector, which are complemented by emerging growth areas within the Tees Valley, and Sheffield City Region, and a strong and growing health and life science sector in the Leeds City Region. These prime capabilities are supported by strengths in the enabling capabilities including professional services (particularly in the North East and Leeds City Region) and logistics associated with the corridor's ports, airports and freight | |
| Transport Context: | | the Midlands and Scotland. Both air and freight hubs provide a focus for growth in the movements of goods, supported by a growing inland port and distribution capability. There is also a strong visitor and tourism offer, including Hadrian's Wall World | |
| | | Transport Context: | |

Category C6: Policies which depend on how the policies etc are implemented in due course. There is a theoretical possibility that if implemented in one or more particular ways the proposals could possibly have a significant effect on a European site.

The North west to Sheffield City Region Strategic Development Corridor does not outline any development proposals and the exact details of where development may be located other than general areas, their design and/or when (or if) these sites will be constructed upon are not stated.

Using the precautionary approach this policy may lead to a likely significant effect on European protected sites and as such will require a Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment to be undertaken.

Category C6: Policies which depend on how the policies etc are implemented in due course. There is a theoretical possibility that if implemented in one or more particular ways the proposals could possibly have a significant effect on a European site.

The East Coast to Scotland Strategic Development Corridor does not outline any development proposals and the exact details of where development may be located other than general areas, their design and/or when (or if) these sites will be constructed upon are not stated.

Using the precautionary approach this policy may lead to a likely significant effect on European protected sites and as such will require a Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment to be undertaken.

| | Although the East Coast Main Line provides a key spine for North-South freight and passenger movements, this rail corridor is wider than just that route, encompassing parallel rail lines, including the Durham Coast Line where journey time and peak capacity are key issues that constrain opportunities. The wider connectivity requirements along the Eastern Corridor link several key economic centres and also include links to the Northern Powerhouse Rail and HS2 programmes. | |
|--|--|-----|
| | Investment is required at rail stations including Darlington, Middlesbrough, Newcastle, York, Hartlepool, Morpeth and Sunderland to increase capacity, promote economic growth, and make the most of the opportunities provided by HS2. There are existing capacity, operability, timetabling, and reliability constraints along the corridor, which is limiting economic growth and the movement of people. | |
| Yorkshire to Scotland | Strategic and economic context: | Yes |
| Strengthening road connectivity between the Midlands, South Yorkshire, West Yorkshire, North Yorkshire, East Riding, Tees Valley, | • This road corridor looks to strengthen and complement the East Coast Corridor to Scotland road corridor and will examine the transformational requirements to better connect the economic centres in this corridor beyond the current Road Investment Strategy commitments. | |
| the North East, and Scotland, building on the existing road investment | • The significant economic developments in this corridor include ports (Tyne, Tees, Sunderland), airports (Newcastle, Durham Tees Valley and Doncaster Sheffield), major rail hubs (Newcastle and Doncaster), and intermodal freight terminals. | |
| commitments. | • The corridor also contains several nationally significant assets, such as the European Advanced Manufacturing Park (IAMP), in Sunderland and South Tyneside, Tees CCPP NSIP and York Potash Harbour Facilities. There is potential for future longer term investment at Hartlepool nuclear power station and major renewable energy assets at Dogger Bank and Blyth, with links to those within Hull and the Humber. Advanced manufacturing is a particular strength with a strong automotive sector in the North East and the Tees Valley and advanced manufacturing in the Sheffield City Region. There is also a growing renewable energy sector along the east coast, requiring collaboration and connectivity across the corridor. The North East also has particular strengths in the health sector, which are complemented by emerging growth areas within the Tees Valley, and Sheffield City Region, and a strong and growing health and life science sector in the Leeds City Region. These prime capabilities are supported by strengths in the enabling capabilities including professional services (particularly in the North East and Leeds City Region) and logistics associated with the corridor's ports, airports and freight hubs. | |
| | • There are significant freight and logistics centres along the corridor with key national links within the North East, as well as to the Midlands and Scotland. Both air and freight hubs provide a focus for growth in the movements of goods, supported by a growing inland port and distribution capability. There is also a strong visitor and tourism offer, including Hadrian's Wall World Heritage Site, Northumberland Dark Skies Park, Northumberland and North Yorkshire Moors National Parks. | |
| | Transport context: | |
| | • The major North-South routes of the A1 and A19 must provide a consistent level of service and resilience to meet the needs of the important economic centres they link and the strategic journeys they facilitate. Improved transport connectivity between the cities and surrounding economic centres, such as along the A19, will increase productivity and support the growth of complementary industrial capabilities. | |
| | • This corridor can transform the movement of people and goods within this corridor, as well as strategic movements between Scotland and the Midlands. This will complement Midlands Connect and Transport Scotland's aspirations for additional north-south connectivity and resilience. | |

Category C6: Policies which depend on how the policies etc are implemented in due course. There is a theoretical possibility that if implemented in one or more particular ways the proposals could possibly have a significant effect on a European site.

The Yorkshire to Scotland Strategic Development Corridor does not outline any development proposals and the exact details of where development may be located other than general areas, their design and/or when (or if) these sites will be constructed upon are not stated.

Using the precautionary approach this policy may lead to a likely significant effect on European protected sites and as such will require a Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment to be undertaken. Atkins Ecology Atkins Chadwick House, Birchwood Park, Warrington, Cheshire, WA3 6AE

Contact: ecology@atkinsglobal.com

© Atkins Ltd except where stated otherwise.

The Atkins logo, 'Carbon Critical Design' and the strapline 'Plan Design Enable' are trademarks of Atkins Ltd.